

East Tennessee State University

Digital Commons @ East Tennessee State University

ETSU Faculty Works

Faculty Works

1-1-2010

Hormone and Adpokine Alterations across Eleven Weeks of Training in Division I Collegiate Throwers: an Exploratory Study

W. Guy Hornsby
West Virginia University

Christian R. Carter

Guy Gregory Haff
Edith Cowan University

Micheal R. Ramsey
East Tennessee State University

Andy R. Dotterweich
East Tennessee State University, dotterwa@etsu.edu

Below this page find additional works at <https://dc.etsu.edu/etsu-works>



Part of the [Recreation, Parks and Tourism Administration Commons](#), [Sports Management Commons](#), and the [Sports Medicine Commons](#)

Citation Information

Hornsby, W. Guy; Carter, Christian R.; Haff, Guy Gregory; Ramsey, Micheal R.; Dotterweich, Andy R.; Triplett, N. Travis; Stuart, Charles A.; Stone, Margaret E.; and Stone, Michael H.. 2010. Hormone and Adpokine Alterations across Eleven Weeks of Training in Division I Collegiate Throwers: an Exploratory Study. Poster Presentation. *East Tennessee State University Center of Excellence in Sport Science and Coach Education: Annual Coaches and Sport Science College*, Johnson City, TN. <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.36690.76487>

This Presentation is brought to you for free and open access by the Faculty Works at Digital Commons @ East Tennessee State University. It has been accepted for inclusion in ETSU Faculty Works by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons @ East Tennessee State University. For more information, please contact digilib@etsu.edu.

Hormone and Adpokine Alterations across Eleven Weeks of Training in Division I Collegiate Throwers: an Exploratory Study

Creator(s)

W. Guy Hornsby, Christian R. Carter, Guy Gregory Haff, Micheal R. Ramsey, Andy R. Dotterweich, N. Travis Triplett, Charles A. Stuart, Margaret E. Stone, and Michael H. Stone

Hormone and Adipokine Alterations across 11 Weeks of Training in Division 1 Collegiate Throwers: An Exploratory Study

W. G. Hornsby III, C.R. Carter, G.G Haff, M. R. Ramsey, A.R. Dotterweich, N. T. Triplett, C.A. Stuart, M. E. Stone, and M.H. Stone
Center of Excellence for Sport Science and Coach Education, Sports Science Laboratory,
East Tennessee State University, Johnson City, TN



Introduction

Conceptually, it is important to understand the underlying physiological mechanisms of any training program model. This understanding aids the coach/sport scientist in making better choices in manipulating variables in formulating the training model. These underlying mechanisms can be associated with training variable manipulation and fatigue management aspects as well as the overall health of the athlete. Hormone and cytokine concentrations can be linked to alterations resulting from the manipulation of training variables and to subsequent alterations in performance (Haff et al., 2008; Ishigaki et al., 2005; Jurimae et al., 2010; Stone et al., 2007). For example, alterations in the testosterone: cortisol ratio (T:C) has been associated with alterations in training volume as well as physiological aspects such as lean body mass (LBM), fat content and strength/power performance (Haff et al., 2008; Häkkinen, 1989; Stone et al., 2007). Although cytokine production is part of the adaptive process, markedly increased/excessive cytokine production has been related poor fatigue management and over training (Angeli et al., 2004; Jurimae et al., 2010; Smith, 2000). The present study followed NCAA division 1 (D-1) collegiate throwers over a period of an 11 week fall semester preparation-phase block form of periodized training. Volume and intensity alterations and their effects on physiological variables (e.g. neuromuscular, hormonal, cytokine) are a key component in understanding the effects of a training process. Alterations in these physiological variables were tracked over time. A better understanding of physiological adaptations to a training program assists a throws coach in constructing a more optimal periodization plan.

Methods

Subjects

Nine (9) Division 1 collegiate throwers and 4 control subjects participated in this study. The ability level of the throwers (6 male and 3 female) ranged from conference champions and potential NCAA Division I regional qualifiers to conference non-scorers. Throwing performance (taken from NCAA sanctioned meets) ranged from 10.98m to 16.9m in the shot put and 12.03m to 18.6m in the weight throw. The control subjects (3 males and 1 female) were sedentary individuals and were instructed to not change their dietary habits and to remain sedentary throughout the study. Prior to the initiation of the study, the throwers had just completed a 4 wk period of moderately high volume resistance, conditioning and throwing period.

Experimental Design

The present investigation was a time series study, analyzing physiological and performance changes over 11 weeks of training in 9 D-1 collegiate throwers. It was a collaborative effort between the sport coach (track and field), the event coach (throws), the strength and conditioning staff, and sport scientists at East Tennessee State University (ETSU). Daily training outcomes were recorded and “monitored” while the throwers executed a periodized throws and resistance training program that was structured and sequenced with the objective of enhancing various strength characteristics to potentially optimize performance for the indoor conference championships and produce a foundation for training for the outdoor season. A series of three testing periods were implemented periodically throughout the study (weeks 1, 7, and 11) to measure hormonal alterations, and cytokine concentrations. The control group took part in pre and post (T1 and T3) measurements 11 weeks apart.

Serum Collection Procedures

Blood was collected from an antecubital vein into clot activator blood collection tubes. After standard preparation serum samples were analyzed in one data set at the end of the study. Testosterone (T), Cortisol (C), adiponectin, leptin, and resistin were measure by ELISA; intra-assay CV's were < 4.1 % used successfully with collegiate throwers (Stone et al., 2003).

Training Protocol

The development of the training program was a collaborative effort and involved input from the strength and conditioning coach, as well as the throws coach, multiple scientific sources served as its foundation (Bompa & Haff, 2009; Garcia-Pallares et al., 2009; Harris et al., 2000; Plisk & Stone, 2003; Stone et al., 2007).

The resistance training program was sequenced with a series of three 3-4 week blocks (summated micro cycles) of training. The beginning of the preparatory phase focused on a short period of higher volume and less technical work with an emphasis on strength endurance, while the end of the preparatory phase there was a shift towards a focus on strength and a small increase in technical work. Block 1 consisted of a strength-endurance emphasis while during block 2 and block 3 the emphasis shifted towards strength. Exercises were chosen in concert with the set/repetition scheme in an attempt to achieve the goals and objectives of each block. Alterations in relative intensities were incorporated into the weekly training structure to produce heavy and light days. Similar programs have been used successfully with collegiate throwers (Stone et al., 2003).

Figure 2. The Set and Repetition Scheme

| B1 | Strength-Endurance |
|---------|--------------------|
| Week 1 | 3x10 |
| Week 2 | 3x5 (1x10) |
| Week 3 | 3x5 (1x10) |
| B2 | Strength Phase 1 |
| Week 4 | 5x5 |
| Week 5 | 3x5 (1x5) |
| Week 6 | 3x3 (1x5) |
| Week 7 | 3x3 (1x5) |
| B3 | Strength Phase 2 |
| Week 8 | 3x10 |
| Week 9 | 3x5 (1x5) |
| Week 10 | 3x3 (1x5) |
| Week 11 | 3x2 (1x5) |

Figure 1. Exercises

| Block 1 | Block 2 | Block 3 |
|--|--|--|
| Monday | Monday | Monday |
| AM Squats Press | AM Squats Push Press | AM Squats Push Jerk |
| PM Bench Press Front Raise (dumbbells) | Incline Press 45° Front Raise (dumbbells) | PM Incline Press 10° Front Raise (dumbbells) |
| Wednesday | Wednesday | Wednesday |
| AM Light Power Snatch CGSS CG Mid-thigh pulls | AM Light Power Snatch CGSS CG Mid-thigh pulls | AM Light Power Snatch CGSS CG Mid-thigh pulls |
| PM Light Power Snatch CGSS (50% of AM) CG pulls from the Knee CGSLDL | PM Light Power Snatch CGSS (50% of AM) Cleans 1 set at 70-75% CGSLDL | PM Light Power Snatch CGSS (50% of AM) Cleans 1 set at 75-80% CGSLDL |
| Friday | Friday | Friday |
| AM Squats Push Jerk | AM Squats Push Jerk | AM Squats Push Jerk |
| PM Incline Press 10° Front Raise (dumbbells) | PM Incline Press 10° Front Raise (dumbbells) | PM Incline Press 10° Front Raise (dumbbells) |
| Saturday | Saturday | Saturday |
| Light Power Snatch Ball Throws Pull Ups | Light Power Snatch Ball Throws | Light Power Snatch Ball Throws |

Statistical Analysis

Differences between male and females were determined using partially adjusted t-tests. Due to the Exploratory nature of the observation and the relatively small number of subjects differences over time were determined using effect sizes and %Δ. A small control group was measure over the same time period (pre-post).

Results

The Control group did not show a meaningful alteration over time. Group means, standard deviations, and statistical differences for the throwers hormone concentrations are presented in Table 1. Based on moderate effect sizes and %Δ, Cortisol, T:C, and adiponectin showed trends suggesting that training may have had an effect on resting concentrations. Interestingly both cortisol and adiponectin showed consistent alterations; cortisol concentrations decreased over all 3 testing sessions, while adiponectin demonstrated increases over all 3 testing sessions. This suggests an inverse relationship between cortisol and adiponectin. In support of this contention; there was an r = -0.57 correlation for the % gain from T1 – T3 between these two hormones

Males had statistically greater T, lower C concentrations and larger T:C ratios compared to females. No other differences between males and females were noted. Indeed in most cases the directions of the alterations across time were quite similar, thus males and females were analyzed as one group. Additionally, the throwers as a group showed several statistically significant alterations (repeated measures ANOVA) over time for performance variables (e.g. increased strength, RFD) not shown in this data set.

Table 1. Hormone and Adipokine Data

| Variable | Testing 1* | Testing 2* | Testing 3* | η ² | %Δ |
|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|-------|
| T | 14.6±10.3 | 18.9±15.7 | 14.9±11.1 | 0.24 | 0.2 |
| C | 673±197 | 612±265 | 586±235 | 0.39 | -12.9 |
| T/C ratio | 0.025±0.01 8 | 0.039±0.03 6 | 0.032±0.02 9 | 0.23 | 28 |
| Adipo | 6573±3539 | 7181±5175 | 7842±4501 | 0.29 | 19.3 |
| Leptin | 19877±107 39 | 17902±163 63 | 20851±121 80 | 0.066 | 4.9 |
| Res | 30.7±12.3 | 37.9±16.6 | 25.4±14.4 | 0.48 | -17.3 |

Discussion

Potential trends in the data may be associated with important alterations in physiology and performance. Only three potential trends (Cortisol, T:C and adiponectin) noted in the data are discussed.

In the present study, based on the consistency of change across time, a moderate effect size, and %Δ, cortisol appears to have steadily decreased from T1 to T3. This trend indicates that “stress” may have decreased over time. The decreases appear to have followed alterations in volume load as T2 and T3 corresponded to periods in which the volume load had been decreased which should reduce training stress; the alterations in resting C support this contention.

Training induced alterations in the T:C may affect body composition as well as strength-power performance. Furthermore it has been suggested that only very small alterations in the T:C ratio are necessary to effect performance alterations and preparedness for sport (Haff et al., 2008; Häkkinen et al., 1989; Stone, & Sands, 2007). Before the present study was initiated the throwers had just completed a moderate - high volume of training lasting several weeks, this may explain the relatively low T:C ratio noted at T1. Furthermore, the T/C ratio was markedly higher at weeks 7 and 11 when training volume load was reduced. These trends agree with previous findings among well-trained strength athletes (Haff et al., 2008; Häkkinen et al., 1989).

Resting adiponectin concentrations are generally inversely related to these inflammatory conditions; however, paradoxically adiponectin appears to be positively correlated with non-obesity related inflammatory conditions (Fantuzzi, 2008). This indicates that adiponectin is regulated in the opposite direction in typical versus obesity-associated inflammatory conditions and therefore may exert differential effects (Fantuzzi, 2008). These findings also suggest that all inflammation is not the same or at least is produced as a result of different mechanisms. Furthermore, considering the relationship of adiponectin to joint synovium inflammation it may be possible that training induced increases in adiponectin concentrations could be associated with more typical inflammation responses to training.

One potential trend was noted (Figure 1.1), based on consistency, effect size and %Δ, there was an increase in the throwers adiponectin levels This is a potentially advantageous trend since adiponectin is generally associated with reductions in obesity related inflammation (Bouassida et al., 2010), on the other hand it could be related to training increased joint inflammation a more negative outcome of training. Adiponectin has been shown to have an inverse relationship with resting cortisol concentrations (Fallo et al., 2004; Yang et al., 2004). Interestingly, in the present study the decrease in cortisol was accompanied by a steady increase in adiponectin.

Based on the hormonal and adipokine data, it appears that the training program produce some positive effects. These effects indicate a reasonable degree of fatigue management in that C decreased (Figure 1.2) and the T:C ratio was increased as volume load decreased. Assuming that increases in adiponectin is a positive outcome of a sound training protocol, the present observation indicates that adiponectin increased in concert with decreases in cortisol and increases in the T:C. ratio. Considering effects of these hormones and cytokines; these alterations over time indicate a lesser degree of obesity related inflammation and a higher degree of “fitness” and preparedness (Mujika, 2009).

References

- Angeli, A., Minetto, M., Dovio, A., & Paccotti, P. (2004). The overtraining syndrome in athletes: A stress-related disorder. *Journal of Endocrinological Investigation*, 27(6), 603-612.
- Bompa, T. O., & Haff, G. G. (2009). *Periodization: Theory and methodology of training* (5th ed.). Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics.
- Fantuzzi, G. (2008). Adiponectin and inflammation: Consensus and controversy. *The Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology*, 121(2), 326-330.
- Garcia-Pallares, J., Sanchez-Medina, L., Carrasco, L., Diaz, A., & Izquierdo, M. (2009). Endurance and neuromuscular changes in world-class level kayakers during a periodized training cycle. *European Journal of Applied Physiology*, 106(4), 629-638.
- Haff, G. G., Jackson, J. R., Kawamori, N., Carlock, J. M., Hartman, M. J., Kilgore, J. L., et al. (2008). Force-time curve characteristics and hormonal alterations during an eleven-week training period in elite women weightlifters. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, 22(2), 433-446.
- Häkkinen, K. (1989). Neuromuscular and hormonal adaptations during strength and power training. A review. *The Journal of Sports Medicine and Physical Fitness*, 29(1), 9-26.
- Harris, G. R., Stone, M. H., O'Bryant, H. O., Proulx, C., & Johnson, R. (2000). Short term performance effects of high power, high force, or combined weight-training methods. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, 14, 14-20.
- Jurimae, J., Maestu, J., Jurimae, T., Mangus, B., & von Duvillard, S. P. (2010). Peripheral signals of energy homeostasis as possible markers of training stress in athletes: A review. *Metabolism: Clinical and Experimental*.
- Plisk, S., & Stone, M. H. (2003). Periodization strategies. *Strength and Conditioning Journal*, 25(19), 37.
- Smith, L. L. (2004). Tissue trauma: The underlying cause of overtraining syndrome? *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research / National Strength & Conditioning Association*, 18(1), 185-193.
- Stone, M. H., Sanborn, K., O'Bryant, H. S., Hartman, M., Stone, M. E., Proulx, C., et al. (2003). Maximum strength-power-performance relationships in collegiate throwers. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, 17(4), 739-745.
- Stone, M. H., Stone, M. E., & Sands, W. A. (2007). *Principles and practice of resistance training*. Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics.
- Stone, M. H., Sanborn, K., O'Bryant, H. S., Hartman, M., Stone, M. E., Proulx, C., et al. (2003). Maximum strength-power-performance relationships in collegiate throwers. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, 17(4), 739-745.
- Mujika, I. (2009). *Tapering and peaking for optimal performance*. Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics.