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INTRODUCTION
The well-being of graduate students has become a common concern for higher education institutions (Mistler, Reetz, Krylowicz, & Barr, 2012). Many students are moving to new places, balancing a job along with schoolwork, while simultaneously fulfilling roles as a spouse, parent, or caregiver. The overwhelming process that is graduate school, particularly doctoral programs, can often prove to be too much, leading to struggles with anxiety and depression and a high rate of attrition (Ali & Kohun, 2006). The estimated attrition rate of 40% to 70% (Ali & Kohun, Gardner, 2008b, Gardner, 2009) for doctoral students appears to be a clear symptom of these struggles. Gardner (2008b) reported that doctoral students frustrations resulting from lack of support and direction, coupled with too much isolation with their independent work as the leading cause of attrition. Students in Gardner’s study repeatedly commented on support from an adviser as the most crucial factor in their success (Gardner, 2008b, p.340).

Isolation was a frequently cited reason for anxiety, depression, and attrition (Ali & Kohun, 2006, Grady, LaTouche, Oslawski-Lopez, Powers, & Simacek, 2013). Ali and Kohun (2006) found the most common reasons for attrition to be related to financial troubles, overwhelming family obligations, emotional upset, feeling isolated, and confu-
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ABSTRACT
Among doctoral programs, attrition rates and student feelings of isolation are high. In an attempt to determine the current levels and sources of support and encouragement from students enrolled in a Doctor of Education program, a survey was sent to students. There were 94 respondents to the online survey. Fifty-two (65%) of the respondents were female, and 28 (35%) were male. Fourteen respondents did not self-identify. Using an independent samples t-test, it was determined that female and male doctoral students report very similar experiences in support and encouragement. The majority of doctoral students reported the highest level of support (Total Support) for almost all of the areas of survey.

When asked to rank a list of sources of support and encouragement, over 71% ranked Spouse, Partner, or Significant Other as being most important. Other sources that were ranked as important were Immediate Supervisor, Children, and Workplace Peers. Most financial support for doctoral students came from a combination of Self (72%), Employer (66%), and Financial Aid/Scholarships (59%).

INTRODUCTION
The well-being of graduate students has become a common concern for higher education institutions (Mistler, Reetz, Krylowicz, & Barr, 2012). Many students are moving to new places, balancing a job along with schoolwork, while simultaneously fulfilling roles as a spouse, parent, or caregiver. The overwhelming process that is graduate school, particularly doctoral programs, can often prove to be too much, leading to struggles with anxiety and depression and a high rate of attrition (Ali & Kohun, 2006). The estimated attrition rate of 40% to 70% (Ali & Kohun, Gardner, 2008b, Gardner, 2009) for doctoral students appears to be a clear symptom of these struggles. Gardner (2008b) reported that doctoral students frustrations resulting from lack of support and direction, coupled with too much isolation with their independent work as the leading cause of attrition. Students in Gardner’s study repeatedly commented on support from an adviser as the most crucial factor in their success (Gardner, 2008b, p.340).

Isolation was a frequently cited reason for anxiety, depression, and attrition (Ali & Kohun, 2006, Grady, LaTouche, Oslawski-Lopez, Powers, & Simacek, 2013). Ali and Kohun (2006) found the most common reasons for attrition to be related to financial troubles, overwhelming family obligations, emotional upset, feeling isolated, and confu-
sion regarding requirements and expectations of the program (p.24). Positive experiences with faculty and advisors greatly decreased the stress experienced by one group of doctoral students in a study on role strain and the effects that strain has on mental health (Grady et al., 2013). Once again, doctoral students’ isolation and lack of support led to a great deal of stress and feelings of not being connected to the university and those closest to them at home and in their program of study.

The importance of providing support to doctoral students as they navigate their new world as students and independent researchers is even more difficult in the age of online learning, a format which can be isolating. Findings from an annual survey through the College Board reported that in the fall of 2010, 6.1 million students were enrolled in at least one online course (Allen & Seaman, 2011). In addition, a recent report from the National Center for Educational Statistics declared that women are completing higher education degrees at a higher rate than men, a dramatic shift over the past 20 years (The Condition of Education, 2013, p.1). Zembylas (2008) found that women experience higher rates of anxiety in the online environment due to a lack of connectedness and support in the online environment (p.77). These overwhelming emotions, paired with the added pressure of studying at home while not being relieved of mothering or household responsibilities, emphasizes the need for support environments for students to be healthy and successful (Zembylas, 2008, p.83).

With an increased demand for fully online programs, and an increase in the number of nontraditional students, support structures that facilitate degree completion are needed. A 15 question survey regarding perceived levels of support, and departmental support to be the most critical factors in supporting students to complete their degrees (p.230). More specifically, Pauley et al. (1999) determined that those who did not complete their degrees were less likely to have received a great deal of support from their families (p.230). Maher, Ford, and Thompson (2004) reported that doctoral students of gender who experienced family struggles throughout the course of their studies had a particularly difficult time (p.388). They also determined that women who finished their degree early were more likely than women who finished later to have reported having experienced support from their families (76% of early-finishers versus 53% of late-finishers) (Maher et al., 1999).

Students who do not receive support and encouragement from their family members are likely to feel isolated. Moore, Lampley, and Moore (2010) explained that a lack of support may be the result of a family member not understanding the nature of the graduate student life (p.85).

Support in the Workplace

Many doctoral students anticipate finding a job upon the completion of their degree program (Most, 2008, p.172). Sutton (2014) reported that students in the online setting have the opportunity to interact with peers, department faculty and staff, advisers, and dissertation chairpersons. Sutton (2014) reported that students in the online setting have the opportunity to interact with others while developing a community that is encouraging (p.6). Furthermore, online interaction with faculty developed appropriate boundaries, expectations, and idea sharing in an academic setting (Sutton, p.6).

Support and Encouragement from Program Peers

Research has determined that students benefit socially and academically from the support received from a cohort (Cockrell & Shelley, 2011, p.480). Pauley et al. (1999) determined that support from student peers was positively associated with, and an important factor determining whether or not a student completed the doctoral program (p.231). Moore et al. (2010) found that support from friends may be the most important and least received type of support for female doctoral students (p.98). Finding support and connection among fellow students may be particularly important for nontraditional students. Gardner (2008a) noted that the culture of many doctoral programs continues to be structured primarily for white males; as such, those who do not match that profile are more likely to feel isolated (p.128, 135). Learning to participate appropriately within the social norms of an academic field is an important component of doctoral work. Determining these frequently hidden rules of conduct is a result of positive interaction with peers and faculty.

Support and Encouragement from Faculty

Advisers and faculty support are undeniably important for the success of a doctoral student. Barnes (2010) reported that advisers assist in providing students with valuable experience and relationships, planning for the future, structuring academic life, connecting with research and publication opportunities and presenting at conferences (p.324). Additionally, the adviser helped to teach doctoral students the social norms of the field (Barnes, 2010, p.324). Maher, Ford, and Thompson (2004) reported that many students enter doctoral programs with little experience conducting research; as such, many students have to learn about research prior to partnering with faculty and finding employment (p.387-389). Research projects are important opportunities for interacting with faculty, and lead to greater productivity throughout the degree program. Students who conduct research are more likely to complete the degree (Maher et al., 2004, p.388).

Pauley et al. (1999) determined that students (regardless of gender) who reported a high level of support from faculty members were more likely to complete the degree (p.234). Similarly, Mansfield et al. (2010) reported that women who finished their doctoral degrees earlier had experienced good mentoring and research opportunities with capable faculty members (p.729). Female students who had relationships with female faculty were more like-
ly to complete their programs (Mansfield et al., 2010, p. 729). Maher et al. (2004) determined that early-finishing women in doctoral programs were more likely to have received good advising and good relationships with faculty members (p. 397). Alternately, women who took longer to complete their degree reported having experienced poor advising (47%) and obstruction from faculty members (56%) (Maher et al., 2004, p. 397).

In researching the common expectations exceptionally successful advisers had for their doctoral students, Barnes (2010) determined that these advisers expected 1) Advisers will be committed to the degree process; 2) Advisers will have integrity; 3) Advisers will work hard; 4) Advisers will make progress; and 5) Advisers will be good departmental/disciplinary citizens (p. 331). Previous studies indicated that students expected their advisers to serve as role models, mentors, guides, professional development experts, and sources of information (Barnes, 2010, p. 325-6).

Developing collegial and meaningful relationships between faculty and students is key for providing students with needed support. Golde recommended fostering relationships through departmental traditions and events (as cited in Cockrell & Shelley, 2011, p. 480). Paulay et al. (1999) found that students believed more non-classroom interactions with faculty would be beneficial (p. 233). Mansfield et al. (2010) recommended utilizing resources from external sources to ensure that female students are fully supported and encouraged throughout their programs of study (p. 735).

**Support and Encouragement from Dissertation Chair**

In addition to the support required from faculty members and academic advisers, support from the dissertation chair is key. Paulay et al. (1999) found that students who reported receiving support from their dissertation chairperson were more likely to finish their degree program (p. 232). Furthermore, Barnes (2010) reported on a study that determined 44% of students who completed coursework but not their dissertations attributed their failure to negative relationships with their dissertation chairperson (as cited in Barnes, p. 32).

**Financial Concerns for Doctoral Students**

As in all iterations of higher education, funding a doctoral program is a concern for many students. Paulay et al. (1999) determined that the amount of funding available was positively connected with degree completion (p. 229). Furthermore, a lack of funding can be connected to a longer time spent completing the program (Maher et al., 2004, p. 387). Maher also reported that “women were more likely than men to be dependent on personal resources (40.5% versus 25.76%)” (p. 387). As many students accrue student loan debt throughout their undergraduate and graduate school educations, it becomes difficult to continue borrowing to pay for education.

**METHODS**

The purpose of this quantitative study was to determine the ways in which men and women experienced support and encouragement during their Doctor of Education program. Using the following research questions, we determined that a survey would be the best method for seeking the answers to our questions.

**Research Question 1**

Is there a significant difference on the mean scores of the Family dimension of the Support and Encouragement survey between female and male doctoral students?

**Research Question 2**

Is there a significant difference on the mean scores of the Place of Employment dimension of the Support and Encouragement survey between female and male doctoral students?

**Research Question 3**

Is there a significant difference on the mean scores of the Program Peers/Faculty dimension of the Support and Encouragement survey between female and male doctoral students?

**Research Question 4**

Is there a significant difference on the mean scores of the Dissertation Chair dimension of the survey between female and male doctoral students?

**RESULTS**

Data were collected over a three-week span from the initial population. There were 59 respondents to the online survey. Fifty-two (65%) of the respondents were female, and 28 (35%) were male. Fourteen respondents did not self-identify. Using an independent samples t-test, it was determined that female and male doctoral students reported very similar experiences in support and encouragement among all dimensions. The majority of doctoral students reported the highest level of support (Total Support) for almost all of the areas of survey. Spouse, Partner, or Significant other was the highest rated level of support, 67%. Other high level rankings were for family members (52%), employment peers (54%), immediate supervisor (59%), student peers (52%), and program adviser (48%).

For Research Question 1 an independent-samples t test was conducted to evaluate whether the mean scores on the Family dimension (spouse, children, and other family members) of the Support and Encouragement survey were significantly different between female and male doctoral students. The perceived level of support and encouragement received from family was the test variable and the grouping variable was gender. The test was not significant. t(92) = -1.74, p = .162. The h2 index was .006, which indicated a small effect size. Male doctoral students’ (M = 4.00, SD = 1.42) perceived level of support and encouragement from their dissertation chair was about the same as female doctoral students’ perceived level (M = 4.24, SD = .90). The 95% confidence interval for the difference in means was -1.62 to 12.

When asked to rank a list of sources of support and encouragement, over 71% ranked Spouse, Partner, or Significant other as being most important. Other sources that were ranked as important were Immediate Supervisors, Children, and Workplace Peers. In regards to financial support, most reported a combination of Self (72%), Employer (66%), and Financial Aid/Scholarships (59%) funding their pursuit of their doctoral degree.

**DISCUSSION**

Respondents of the survey were doctoral students in an Educational Leadership program. The department’s primary purpose “is the graduate preparation of individuals who will serve as educational leaders in K-12 schools, community/technical colleges, four year colleges and universities, and organizations/agencies” (Welcome, n.d., para. 4). Students in this department are approximately 12-15 students. Course offerings are exclusively online, but the department is based on a campus, with faculty members and the participating university employs a Graduate Student Success Specialist whose job is to provide specialized as-
sistance to students as they face academic and personal hardships. Based on survey results, these current support structures seem to be providing adequate support for stu-
dents at this time.

Doctoral students reported feeling supported and encour-
egaged, but there continues to be room for growth in this area. Responses from the question inviting participants to share other comments regarding their support and encouragement throughout the program indicated that some students perceived the need for increased support for each dimension of the study.

Limitations
It is important to note that this study was conducted in one department at a mid-sized, regional university. The homogeneity of the participants could be a meaningful limitation. Further research in this regard could expand into other departments, programs of study, and universities. Additionally, further research could examine the ways in which support and encouragement is conveyed, and the ways in which individuals communicate their need for support.

Recommendations
We recommend department administrators consider creating opportunities for students and their families to interact in relaxed, fun environments. By bringing those separate spheres together, families may respond with more support. Furthermore, spending time together may help students and faculty members build meaningful, supportive relationships. Academic advisors and faculty could consider implementing “check-in” communications with students throughout the semester. Standardizing due dates and communication response times may also provide support to students. Finally, administrators should ensure that students are aware of the various resources that are available to students.

CONCLUSION
Educating, retaining, and preparing doctoral students re-
quires a high level of support and encouragement. Pauley et al. (1999) determined that the most significant predic-
tor of degree completion was the student’s self-reported level of motivation (p. 232). Nonetheless, through the in-
frastucture of supportive systems provided by faculty and administration, this internal motivation can be bolstered and student outcomes can be improved.

As evidenced by the results in the survey, the majority of students currently enrolled in the participating doctoral program, regardless of gender, are feeling high levels of support from most dimensions in their life. Doctoral students have diverse backgrounds and correspondingly, diverse needs for support and encouragement. The results indicated that most respondents reported that they were getting the support they needed. While improvements to provide more support on an administrative level may be necessary, doctoral students in this study are receiving the levels of support and encouragement needed to persist to completion.
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