8-2015

AlgR Directly Controls rsmA in Pseudomonas aeruginosa

Tyler Speaks
East Tennessee State University

Follow this and additional works at: https://dc.etsu.edu/etd
Part of the Bacteriology Commons, and the Pathogenic Microbiology Commons

Recommended Citation

This Thesis - Open Access is brought to you for free and open access by the Student Works at Digital Commons @ East Tennessee State University. It has been accepted for inclusion in Electronic Theses and Dissertations by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons @ East Tennessee State University. For more information, please contact digilib@etsu.edu.
AlgR Directly Controls rsmA in Pseudomonas aeruginosa

A thesis
presented to
the faculty of the Department of Biology
East Tennessee State University

In partial fulfillment
of the requirements for the degree
Master of Science in Biology

by
Tyler Speaks
August 2015

Dr. Christopher Pritchett, Chair
Dr. Bert Lampson
Dr. Zachary Walls

Keywords: Pseudomonas aeruginosa, AlgR, RsmA, mucoid
ABSTRACT

AlgR Directly Controls rsmA in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*

by

Tyler Speaks

*Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is a bacterial pathogen that can infect any human tissue. The lungs of cystic fibrosis patients become chronically infected with *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Virulence factor gene expression is under elaborate regulatory control that remains poorly characterized. Understanding the regulatory hierarchy involved during infection is essential for identifying novel drug targets. RsmA is a post-transcriptional regulatory protein that controls expression of several virulence factors. Previous studies demonstrated alginate regulatory components AlgU and AlgR as regulators of *rsmA* expression. The aim of this study was to determine how AlgR controls *rsmA* expression. Western blot analysis of HA-tagged RsmA confirmed lower RsmA levels in an *algR* mutant. An electrophoretic mobility shift assay using purified AlgR demonstrated direct binding of AlgR to the *rsmA* promoter. These results indicate AlgR directly controls *rsmA* expression. We propose a mechanism whereby AlgR and AlgU work together to regulate *rsmA*. 
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CHAPTER 1
INTRODUCTION

*Pseudomonas aeruginosa*

*Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is a Gram negative, opportunistic pathogen capable of surviving in a diverse array of environmental and host reservoirs. *P. aeruginosa* exploits a compromised host immune system to cause opportunistic infections, and is able to infect any tissue within the human body (3, 42). *P. aeruginosa* commonly causes nosocomial infections and is a major agent of sepsis in burn patients, eye infections, urinary tract infections, ventilator associated pneumonia and fatal infection in lungs of cystic fibrosis patients (3, 29, 42). *P. aeruginosa* is able to infect such diverse tissues because of a wide array of virulence factors under elaborate genetic control (26, 62). The pathogen’s ability to persist in a biofilm is also a major virulence determinant that results in immune evasion and persistence (13, 29, 39). *P. aeruginosa* infects cystic fibrosis patients at an early age and eventually sets up a chronic infection that becomes the leading cause of mortality for these patients (23).

*Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Infection in Cystic Fibrosis Patients

Cystic fibrosis (CF) is the most commonly inherited lethal disorder among Caucasians, occurring in roughly 1 per 2,500 individuals (10). The disorder results from a loss of function mutation in *CFTR*, which encodes an ion channel transfer protein (20). Individuals possess lungs with sticky mucus and diminished mucociliary clearing capacity. The patient’s diminished respiratory innate defense results in colonization and infection with a variety of bacteria (8). In previous years, patients succumbed at an early age to infections from *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Haemophilus influenzae*. With the advent of new antibiotic therapies came the infiltration and
dominance of new infecting strains, with *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* emerging as the deadliest pathogen (11, 23). Patients become colonized with *P. aeruginosa* from the environment at an early age, and the lungs become subject to repeat acute infections, causing inflammation related lung damage (8, 23, 29). Clinical isolates from acute lung infections resemble strains found infecting other areas of the body (4, 66). Isolates are typically motile, by mechanisms involving flagellar swimming, twitching by Type IV pili, swarming as a combination of both, and finally gliding motility enabled by production of biosurfactants (4, 5, 9, 53). Acute infecting strains also possess a Type III secretion system that targets toxins to human cells, resulting in damage to host cell machinery and cell death (60). *P. aeruginosa* is also capable of producing other secreted toxins, proteases, elastases and hemolysins to damage the host (50, 54). Though biofilms are a hallmark of chronic infecting strains, acute strains are also capable of such a lifestyle. Biofilms are regulated by the *pel* and *psl* genes, which produce a different polysaccharide than the alginate typical of chronic isolates (53, 58). During the course of acute infection, repeated stress to the bacteria within the lungs results from antibiotics, immune reactive oxygen species, and osmotic/cell membrane stress. These stressors place selective pressure on the virulent bacteria that eventually lead to the emergence and prevalence of sessile, chronic infecting strains (29, 41, 61). Chronic infecting strains possess different genetic and phenotypic markers from their acute counterparts, and can often be designated by attenuated virulence and heavy alginate biofilms (13, 29, 66). Commonly, chronic isolates overproduce the exopolysaccharide alginate, resulting in the mucoid colony morphology (13, 29, 65 and *Figure 1*). The conversion to mucoidy is associated with declining lung function and poor prognosis for the patient (23, 29). The alginate produced by mucoid strains protects *P. aeruginosa* from phagocytic pseudopods, opsonin deposition on the cell surface, and free radicals produced by immune cells (29, 30, 57). A
damaging feedback loop results within the lung, where neutrophils are unable to clear the bacteria but continually secrete inflammatory cytokines for further phagocyte recruitment. This inflammation, along with damage caused by the bacteria, leads to severe lung damage and eventual death to the patient from loss of lung function (23, 29, 57). This serious pathology has resulted in a large amount of study over the last several decades in elucidating the means by which \textit{P. aeruginosa} converts to a mucoid strain.

\textbf{Mucoid Phenotype and Alginate Regulation}

Mucoid clinical isolates differ from acute infecting strains as a result of genetic mutations that lead to production of different virulence factors (66). To fully understand the reasons for this, it is necessary to review the main regulatory system involved in conversion to a mucoid strain. At the center of this switch are the products of the \textit{algUmucABCD} operon, which illustrate a system involved in responding to stresses on the cell membrane (36, 40).

In a typical acute infecting strain, the MucA anti-sigma factor bound within the cytoplasmic membrane sequesters the sigma factor AlgU, also known as AlgT or \( \sigma^{22} \) (40, 51, 66). AlgU bears homology to \textit{E. coli} \( \sigma^E \), a membrane stress response sigma factor (1, 29). AlgU controls a large regulon of genes involved in osmotic stress responses, metabolite production and alginate biosynthesis (22, 56). Activation of this regulon by the normally bound AlgU can occur in two ways. First, membrane or oxidative stress can result in direct or proteolytic degradation of MucA, which releases AlgU. AlgU then increases its own expression and controls expression of genes to combat the environmental stresses (29, 40, 56). In the CF lung, the bacteria are subject to hypermutation that results in a wide variety of phenotypic variants to increase survivability (17). Mutations in DNA mismatch repair systems can lead to \textit{mucA} loss of function mutations (41). In addition, peroxide stress has been shown to increase the recruitment of DinB,
an error-prone *Pseudomonas* DNA polymerase (59). The mucoid phenotype eventually emerges through a chromosomal mutation in *mucA*, which results in a protein unable to bind AlgU and thus overexpression of the AlgU regulon (15, 22, 40). AlgU controls the production of alginate through a large 12 gene operon responsible for manufacture and transport of alginate biosynthetic products (36, 40). In addition, AlgU regulates other genes like *amrZ* and *algR*, which encode transcriptional regulators that directly control the *alg* operon (40, 56, 66 and Figure 1).

![Figure 1: A model depicting a genetic mutation that leads to mucoidy. In strain mucA22 (PDO300), AlgU is no longer bound by MucA and can regulate expression of genes leading to overproduction of alginate.](image)

The transcriptional regulator AlgR is required for alginate production, but also controls additional virulence factors used during acute or chronic infections (32, 37, 51, 52). *P. aeruginosa* and many pathogens use two-component systems (TCS) to translate extracellular
signals into changes in genetic expression (26). Typical TCS possess a transmembrane sensor kinase and a cognate response regulator. AlgR is part of one such TCS, though it has been shown to regulate some genes independent of its sensor kinase AlgZ (19, 68). AlgR directly binds the promoter regions of genes it controls at a conserved CCGTTCGTC sequence (25, 35). AlgR frequently binds multiple sequences upstream of controlled genes, and may control expression through multimerization and subsequent alterations of chromosomal topography (25, 35).

AlgR was initially identified as an alginate regulator, but further studies illustrate its role in initial attachment during infection (30, 44, 45). P. aeruginosa uses Type IV pili for twitching motility, loose attachment, and biofilm formation (5, 9, 29, 53). AlgR is required for twitching motility, and enacts its control by binding upstream of the fimU operon, which encodes several proteins involved in construction and extrusion of Type IV pili (38, 64).

AlgR also influences quorum sensing. Quorum sensing is a population dependent cell signaling mechanism used by bacteria to coordinate expression of collective virulence factors, such as biofilm formation, pyocyanin production, and swarming motility (49, 50, 66). AlgR suppresses quorum sensing directly at the rhlI promoter, preventing production of a C4-homoserine lactone autoinducer (14, 45, 51). Rhamnolipids are secreted to facilitate swarming motility and micro-colony formation, and are repressed by AlgR through direct binding at the rhlA promoter (51, 52). AlgR’s control of quorum sensing components is important for biofilm formation, and an algR mutant is defective in 6-day biofilm formation (45).

AlgR is also a negative regulator of Type III secretion (T3SS), and works in two separate but coordinate ways to downregulate Type III gene expression (32, 34). First, AlgR represses Vfr, a cAMP- binding protein that positively regulates Type III secretion (34). Additionally,
AlgR negatively regulates Type III secretion by controlling expression of the RsmA/Y/Z system, resulting in a net decrease of RsmA, which itself is required for full expression of the T3SS (32). Further understanding of AlgR’s role in controlling rsmA expression is a current goal of this lab.

AlgR is a major virulence determinant, and algR mutants are attenuated for virulence in acute septicemia and pneumonia models in mice (37). Interestingly, overexpressed algR attenuates virulence and cell growth, suggesting that AlgR levels are tightly maintained within the cell (37). The diverse array of virulence factors controlled by AlgR illustrates the protein’s application in both acute and chronic infection backgrounds.

Gac/Rsm Regulatory System

Another important regulatory system sits at the intersection of acute and chronic infection, and has been the source of much study and characterization in recent years. The GacS/A two-component system is a phosphorelay system using posttranscriptional regulation to affect gene expression. The GacS/A system is controlled by ancillary proteins. GacS, a hybrid sensor kinase, is inhibited through dimerization with an orphan sensor kinase RetS, and inversely supported through interaction with a second sensor kinase LadS (27, 28). LadS causes autophosphorylation of GacS, followed by phosphotransfer to the response regulator GacA. Phosphorylated GacA then directly controls the expression of two non-coding sRNAs, rsmY and rsmZ. These are the only known genes GacA controls, and the sole purpose of the sRNAs appears to be regulation of RsmA (6, 27, 28). RsmA, a post-transcriptional regulator in the CsrA family of proteins, reciprocally controls expression of genes involved in acute and chronic infection (6, 7, 26, 64). RsmA’s full characterization in P. aeruginosa is ongoing. RsmA binds the GGA motifs present on the single stranded, exposed portions of RsmY/Z stemloops (27, 28). Each sRNA is capable of binding 4-6 RsmA dimers, resulting in a net decrease of free RsmA in
the cell (6, 53). When RsmA is not bound by the small RNAs it is free to enact its genetic control at the post transcriptional level. A representation of components of the GacR/S/M system is illustrated in Figure 2.

![Image of the Gac/Rsm regulatory system]

**Figure 2**: A model depicting the Gac/Rsm regulatory system. In acute strains (1), RsmA is free to bind messages leading to negative regulation of Type VI Secretion and biofilms. In chronic strains (2), phosphorylated GacA controls expression of rsmY/Z, which bind and inhibit RsmA.

RsmA and other CsrA family proteins control translation by directly binding mRNA at conserved GGA motifs in the 5’ untranslated region of target genes (6, 64). Though direct, positive regulation of messages occurs in *E. coli* CsrA, RsmA in *P. aeruginosa* has only been shown to negatively regulate mRNA (6, 53, 64). RsmA dimers bind in close proximity to the Shine-Dalgarno (SD) sequence and prevent translation through occlusion of the ribosome binding site (2, 33, 43). Additionally, RsmA influences mRNA secondary structure to prevent ribosomal recruitment (55, 64). A microarray performed on *P. aeruginosa* strain PAK showed
several genes are downregulated in an *rsmA* mutant (6). RsmA failed to bind these messages in an mRNA binding assay, and positive regulation of gene expression by RsmA in *P. aeruginosa* is believed to be indirect, perhaps by directly repressing expression of negative regulators for the genes shown to be downregulated in the microarray.

RsmA directly represses several chronic virulence factors (21, 55, 64, 67). Type VI Secretion (T6SS) is a chronic associated virulence factor used to attack neighboring bacteria with a phage-tail like syringe. Type VI in *P. aeruginosa* damages competing bacteria through toxin secretion as a retaliation for initial attack from competitors (6, 31, 46). RsmA represses expression of the T6SS by direct binding to mRNA for multiple genes involved in formation of the Type VI syringe (6). RsmA is also a negative regulator of genes involved in Psl polysaccharide formation, and an *rsmA* mutant was able to hypersecrete Psl (24, 33).

RsmA is required for full expression of acute associated virulence factors like Type IV pili and Type III Secretion, which it regulates in a currently unknown manner (6, 48). Since direct positive regulation of mRNA has not been shown by RsmA, it is possible that RsmA positively influences Type IV pili and Type III Secretion by directly inhibiting translation of a negative transcriptional regulator of genes for Type IV Pili and Type III Secretion.

These lines of evidence suggest RsmA transitions the cell from expressing acute to chronic virulence factors through genetic regulation at the post-transcriptional level. Further evidence of this is the fact that an *rsmA* mutant was attenuated in a murine model of acute pneumonia, but was able to better persist in a chronic model of infection (47). Given RsmA’s global role in control of virulence factor gene expression, understanding the molecular and biochemical signals involved in regulating *rsmA* expression may provide insight into the way *P. aeruginosa* transitions from acute to chronic infection.
Transcriptional Regulation of *rsmA*

The GacS/A proteins and the small RNAs RsmY and RsmZ are known to modulate levels of free RsmA protein, but transcriptional regulation of *rsmA* in *P. aeruginosa* has not yet been defined. A study of the RsmA homolog in *E.coli*, CsrA, identified five promoters (70). Two promoters were shown to be under control of RpoS (\(\sigma^s\)), a stress-response sigma factor. Expression from one of these promoters was shown to be indirectly dependent on CsrA itself. Additionally, CsrA negatively regulates its own translation, similar to RsmA (64, 70). Given the elaborate transcriptional control of *csrA* in *E.coli*, *rsmA* regulation in *P. aeruginosa* is likely to be complex. Understanding *rsmA* regulation is a current goal of this lab. A primer extension on *rsmA* was conducted previously to identify transcriptional start sites (69). An upstream promoter was shown to be under the control of AlgU by showing reduced *rsmA* transcription and lower RsmA protein levels in an *algU* mutant (69). Sequence adjacent to the AlgU dependent promoter also showed a possible AlgR binding site (*Figure 3*).

![Figure 3: A possible AlgR binding site (underlined) exists on the rsmA promoter. Red sequence denotes the -35 and -10 of the AlgU promoter. The blue ATG is the rsmA start codon.](image)

Data from this lab and a study conducted by Intile, et al (32) suggests AlgR is responsible for regulating *rsmA* expression, but a mechanism is currently unknown. One aim of this study was to confirm AlgR regulation of *rsmA* transcription and to assess RsmA protein levels in *algR* mutant strains. Overexpression of *algR* was attempted using a single copy chromosomal insert under control of an inducible promoter as a tool to further analyze *rsmA* control by AlgR. The second aim of this study was to describe a mechanism by which AlgR regulates *rsmA*, which
resulted in the hypothesis that AlgR binds the putative AlgR consensus sequence upstream of rsmA. To show *in vivo* relevance of this control, we attempted site-directed mutagenesis on the AlgR binding site within an *rsmA-lacZ* transcriptional fusion. Understanding the mechanism for AlgR control of *rsmA* expands upon the novel regulatory interaction between AlgU and *rsmA* described previously, and helps to illuminate the complex feedback patterns the cell uses to keep RsmA levels controlled. The signals processed by many of these global regulatory systems are unknown, and understanding the biochemical and genetic control of virulence factor gene expression may help identify potential drug treatment targets. New drug targets capable of eliminating *P. aeruginosa* infection will yield a better prognosis for the CF patient.
CHAPTER 2

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial Strains and Growth Conditions

All strains and their sources are described in Appendix C. PAO1 was used as the acute infecting wild type lab strain. A strain possessing a frameshift mutation in the mucA gene has a defective anti-sigma factor and is mucoid. This strain, denoted mucA22 in the text was used as the wild type mucoid strain. All Pseudomonas strains were maintained on PIA plates and grown at 37˚ unless otherwise noted. In broth, Pseudomonas strains were grown in LB at 37˚ with ~200 RPM shaking for aeration unless otherwise noted. E. coli strains SM10, JM109 and NEB5α were used to maintain and conjugate all plasmids for mating. Plasmids are listed in Appendix C. SM10pRK2013 was used as a donor of the sex pilus when conjugating JM109. BL21 (DE3) from New England Biolabs was used for protein expression and purification. E. coli strains were grown on LB plates or broth at 37˚ unless stated otherwise. Antibiotic concentrations for Pseudomonas and Escherichia growth media are available in Appendix A.

For long term storage of strains, a sterile loop was used to add the desired strain to 1.5 ml 10% skim milk or 20% glycerol in a cryotube and then stored at -80˚C.

Strains used in Western blot analysis possess a hemagluttinin epitope tag fused to RsmA and were constructed previously by allelic exchange.

Quadparental Mating

For AlgR overexpression and complementation, quadparental conjugation was used to introduce inducible algR into a neutral site on the P. aeruginosa chromosome downstream of the glmS gene. The coding region of algR was cloned in frame downstream of the PbAD promoter of pTJ1 previously in this lab (Appendix C). P. aeruginosa recipient strains were grown overnight
on PIA at 43˚C. *E. coli* strains bearing pTJ1 or pTJ1algR were grown at 37˚C. A strain bearing pTNS3 helper plasmid was used to provide the integrase and was also grown at 37˚C. pRK2013 was used to provide a sex pilus for pTJ1 and was grown at 30˚C. Following overnight growth in broth, 500 ul of each *E. coli* strain was pelleted at 4000 x g and resuspended in 100 ul LB. Resuspended cells were combined in a single tube and a sterile stick was used to add *P. aeruginosa*. The suspension was pipetted up and down to mix and spotted on LB overnight. Successful integration of pTJ1 confers trimethoprim resistance, so LB*tmp1500* (Appendix A) was used to select for strains possessing successful integration. Strains were then screened via colony PCR to confirm insertion.

**β-galactosidase Assay**

Strains to be assayed were grown overnight on PIA and a single colony was inoculated into 5 ml LB broth and grown overnight at 200 RPM. Overnight culture (50 ul) was used to inoculate another 5 ml LB, which was grown for 8 hours. From this 8-hour culture, 700 ul was pelleted at 5000 x g and resuspended in 1 ml Z buffer + BME (Appendix B). Optical density (O.D.) at 600 nm was taken and sample concentration was adjusted so OD*$_{600}$* was 0.4-1.0. SDS (50 ul of 0.1%) and 100 ul chloroform were added and the sample was vortexed for 15 seconds and allowed to sit 10 minutes. 500 ul of the lysate was added to a fresh tube with 300 ul Z buffer + BME. Z buffer with ortho-nitrophenyl-β-galactoside (200ul) was added to start the colorimetric reaction. After a deep yellow color developed, the reaction was stopped with 500 ul 1 M Na$_2$CO$_3$ and the reaction time recorded. O.D. 420nm and 550nm were taken and used to determine Miller Units as follows:

\[
1000 \times \frac{(O.D.\, 420\text{nm} - 1.75 \times O.D. \, 550\text{nm})}{\text{Time} \times \text{Volume of sample (ml)} \times \text{O. D. 600nm}} = \text{Miller Units}
\]
Western Blotting

For RsmAHA: strains to be assayed were grown overnight in 5 ml LB. From the overnight culture, 250 ul were used to inoculate 25 ml LB and grown 8 hours at 37˚C at ~200 RPM. Entire cultures were spun at 5000 x g for 10 minutes and pellets were resuspended in 2.0 ml 0.85% NaCl in a 2.0 ml tube. For the reminder of the procedure, samples were kept on ice. Samples were sonicated in 20 second bursts for a total of 1 minute each with 3-5 minute breaks on ice between bursts. Lysates were centrifuged at 14000 x g for 10 minutes and 1 ml lysate was removed to a new tube for quantification using the Bradford assay. Bradford reagent (5X) was diluted to 1X in ddH2O and 3.5 ml were aliquoted into test tubes. Bovine serum albumin (BSA) was used as a protein standard in the following concentrations: 2 mg/ml, 1 mg/ml, 0.5 mg/ml, 0.25 mg/ml, 0.125 mg/ml and 0.0625 mg/ml. A standard curve was generated using 30 ul of BSA or protein sample, which were added to 3.5 ml 1X Bradford reagent and OD 595nm was measured. A standard curve was generated in Microsoft Excel and trend line equation was used to determine protein concentration. Only curves with R² values of 0.98 or higher were used. 10 ug protein was mixed with 4X SDS-PAGE loading dye and boiled for 10 minutes before loading into 15% SDS-PAGE with 4% stacking gel. The gel was run in Tris-glycine running buffer (Appendix B) at 180 V for ~ 1.5 hours. Gel was removed from cassette and sandwiched between double layer blotter paper soaked in transfer buffer (Appendix B) adjacent to a polyvinylidenediflouride membrane that had been activated in methanol. Proteins were transferred to the membrane at 150 mA for 1.5 hours. Membrane was blocked for ~2 hours in blocking buffer and then split based on MW marker for separate staining of HA and OmlA loading control. Primary antibody solution with either anti-HA or anti-OmlA (1:20,000) was used overnight at 4˚C on a rocker. The membrane was washed for 15 minutes 3 times in...
TBS-T. Anti-rabbit (OmlA) or anti-mouse (HA) secondary-antibody (1:20,000) solution containing conjugated horseradish peroxidase was used for 1 hour at room temp. The membrane was again washed 3 times and placed on a single layer of plastic wrap. Pierce ECL Western Blotting Detection was used by mixing 3 ml of Substrate A with 75 ul Substrate B and treating membrane for 5 minutes before visualization in ProteinSimple FlourChem M CCD camera.

**Twitching Assay**

A sterile toothpick was dabbed onto a single colony and stabbed into 1% LB agar with or without arabinose (Appendix A). Plates were grown upside down at 30˚ C for 48 hours. Agar was removed and the bottom of plate was flooded with 0.1% crystal violet for 1 minute, then rinsed and allowed to dry. Twitching ability was measured as the diameter (mm) that each strain had migrated from the initial stab line.

**Colony PCR**

To confirm the presence of fusions or expression vectors, PCR was performed as follows: A toothpick was used to dab a single colony which was dispersed into 50 ul sterile, double distilled (sdd) H₂O in a microtube. For a 25 ul reaction, the following was assembled (*Table 1*):

*Table 1: PCR master mix*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount per 25 ul rxn:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.5 ul 10X ThermoPol Buf.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5 ul 10 mM dNTP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5 ul 10 uM F primer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5 ul 10 uM R primer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 ul DMSO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 ul sddH₂O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.11 ul Taq Polymerase (add last)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mix components in order and keep on ice. Add 23 ul Master Mix to 2 ul template in a PCR tube. Cover w/ mineral oil and place into preheated block.
The master mix (23 ul) was aliquoted into PCR tubes containing 2 ul template. 30 ul mineral oil was added and tubes were placed in a pre-heated thermal cycler with following run settings (see Table 2):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Temp.</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1) Initial Denature</td>
<td>95 C</td>
<td>5&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) Denaturation</td>
<td>95 C</td>
<td>1’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) Annealing</td>
<td>62 C</td>
<td>30”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4) Extension</td>
<td>72 C</td>
<td>1’/kb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repeat Steps 2-4 30X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5) Final Extension</td>
<td>72 C</td>
<td>10’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Following the Final Extension, tubes were stored at 4˚ and a 4-6 ul sample was analyzed on an agarose gel.

**AlgR Purification**

**Transformation**

Prior to this study, the complete sequence for *algR* was cloned in frame within the pGEX-4T-2 expression vector (Appendix C) and its sequence was verified. A plasmid prep was completed previously and was used for transformation into BL21 expression cells per New England Biolabs manufacturer’s protocol. One tube containing 50 ul NEB BL21 cells was removed from -80˚ storage and placed on ice for 8 minutes. The plasmid prep was quantified using absorbance at O.D.₆₀₀ nm and 100 ng of plasmid was added to the tube and flicked 3-4 times to gently mix. The tube was placed back on ice for 20 minutes and then heat shocked in a
42˚ C water bath for exactly 10 seconds. In subsequent cases where lab-prepared chemically
competent BL21 cells were used, the heat shock was carried out for 45 seconds to 1 minute. The
tube was placed back on ice for 2 minutes and 950 ul SOC (Appendix A) was added. Cells were
rescued at 37˚ C with high aeration for one hour. Several dilutions of the cells were plated onto
LB<sub>amp100</sub> plates and grown overnight at 37˚ C.

**Plasmid Prep Using Alkaline Lysis**

To confirm correct transformation, a single colony transformant was used to inoculate 5
ml LB<sub>amp100</sub> broth and grown overnight. This culture was used to obtain a purified plasmid for
further analysis to confirm the <i>algR</i> insert. The entire 5 ml culture was pelleted at 5000 x g for
10 minutes and resuspended in 150 ul TE with 2 ul RNAse A. Just prior to use, a solution of 0.2
N NaOH/ 1% SDS was prepared. Lysis solution (300 ul) was added to the cells and mixed by
inverting the tube 4-6 times. Tubes were placed on ice for 5 minutes, then 250 ul 3M potassium
acetate was added and tube inverted 6 times, then again incubated on ice for 5 minutes. To
separate the fractions, tubes were spun in centrifuge at 14,000 x g for 10 minutes. The
supernatant was removed to a new tube and an equal volume of 24:25:1 chloroform, phenol and
isoamyl alcohol (CPI) was added to denature and remove the protein before spinning again for
10 minutes. The top phase was removed to a new tube and equal volume of chloroform/isoamyl
alcohol (24:1) was added to extract the phenol. The tubes were again centrifuged for 10 minutes
and the supernatant was removed to a new tube. Cold 95% ethanol (EtOH) was added to
precipitate the plasmid and the tube was incubated 10 minutes on ice before again spinning for
10 minutes. The EtOH was carefully extracted and the pellet was allowed to dry briefly before
adding 1 ml 70% cold EtOH. The tube was centrifuged a final time for 5 minutes before
removing EtOH and allowing pellet to dry by laying tube open on a kimwipe. Pellets were
resuspended in 50 ul sddH₂O and quantified spectrophotometrically based on absorbance at O.D. 260nm.

**Restriction Digest**

Digestion of purified plasmid was performed to confirm presence of *algR* sized insert. Purified plasmid was diluted to ~100 ng/ul and 2 ul was added to a centrifuge tube. 2 ul NEB 10X CutSmart Buffer and 14 ul sddH₂O were added. Restriction enzymes (1 ul) Not1-HF and Sal1-HF were added and tube was flicked to mix. The 20 ul reaction was incubated at 37°C at least two hours before inactivation of enzymes in 65°C water bath for 5 minutes. Digests were run on agarose gel to observe an *algR* insert from purified pGEXalgR (Appendix F).

**Inducing Protein Expression**

A single colony transformant was used to inoculate two separate 1.5 ml LB<sub>amp100</sub> broths and was grown overnight at room temperature with high aeration. The next day, the entire cultures were used to inoculate two 150 ml LB<sub>amp50</sub> in a 500 ml flask. These cultures were placed at 37°C under high aeration until growth reached O.D.<sub>600</sub> of ~0.45. IPTG at 0.5 uM was then used to induce one of the cultures, then cultures were moved to a room temperature shaker. Samples were taken at different time points to determine optimal expression. The samples (10 ml) were taken from the cultures at the following times: Pre-induction, followed by 2, 4 and 8 hours post-induction. These 10 ml samples were pelleted at 4000 x g for 5 minutes before resuspension in 0.85% NaCl. Sonication was conducted the same as for Western Blotting samples. Lysates were added in equal volumes to a 7% SDS-PAGE and run at 180 V for 1 hour. The gel was removed and stained in a Pyrex dish with 25 ml 1% Coomassie staining solution (Appendix B) overnight. The gel was destained using SDS-PAGE destaining solution.
(Appendix B) for 1 hour with several kimwipes added to absorb the stain, then was visualized on a ProteinSimple FlourChem M CCD camera.

**Protein Purification Using GST Spin Columns**

Culture conditions above were used with 0.5 uM IPTG induction and cells were allowed to grow for 4 hours. Four separate 20 ml samples were taken from the flask and pelleted at 4000 x g for 5 minutes. Each pellet was washed with 1X cold PBS and resuspended in 2 ml cold lysis buffer (Appendix B). For the remaining steps, cells were kept on ice. Samples were sonicated in 2 ml tubes using one second sonication bursts at 40% amplitude for a total of thirty seconds sonication. This was repeated three times and tubes were spun at 15,000 x g for 10 minutes. Supernatant was removed to a new tube and used to pass over the GST spin columns. Pierce (0.2 ml resin bed volume) GST-spin columns were used to bind the AlgR-GST fusion. Two columns were used for each round to balance out the centrifuge and increase yield. All further steps were performed at room temperature while lysates were kept on ice. The lysate obtained above (usually about 4 ml from two samples) was passed in 500 ul aliquots (of 400 ul lysate and 100 ul GST buffer) into each column. Columns were plugged and taped to a rocker for 30-45 minutes before spinning out the lysate at 700 x g for 2 minutes. Fractions were saved for downstream analysis. After the final aliquot was passed over column, the column was washed 3-5 times with 400 ul GST wash buffer and plugged. A 50 ul tube of thrombin (1 unit/ul) was thawed on ice and 950 ul cold PBS (Appendix B) was added. Each column was washed 2x with cold PBS before 400 ul of the thrombin mixture was added to each tube. Tubes were kept at gentle rotation at room temp overnight (about 12 hours). The flow through was collected and immediately aliquoted into microtubes in 10 ul volumes. 30 ul 4X protein storage buffer
(Appendix B) was added and tubes were immediately placed at -20˚ C for storage. Several fractions were analyzed on Coomassie stained SDS-PAGE and are shown in the Chapter 3.

**Quantification of the Purified Lysate**

Purified diluted fractions were quantified using the Bradford assay as well as absorbance at O.D.\textsubscript{280} nm and concentration was found to be ~1 mg/ml in 800 ul total volume purified. The purified flow-through (10 ul) was diluted into 30 ul 4X Storage Buffer (Appendix B) leaving 10 ug per stock. According to visual estimation from SDS-PAGE, about 75% percent of the fraction was AlgR, giving a dilution factor of 0.75, or 7500 ng AlgR per 40 ul stock. Since the diluted stocks (to eliminate unspecific proteins) were diluted 1:10, this gave ~750 ng AlgR per stock. As AlgR is 26.7 kDa this gives a 700 nM concentration of AlgR in each 40 ul stock. For binding reactions: \((700nM \text{ stock})(2 \text{ ul}) = (x \text{ nM})(20 \text{ ul binding volume}) = 70 \text{ nM AlgR/2 ul for use in binding reactions.}

**Electrophoretic Mobility Shift Assay (EMSA)**

**Generating Unlabeled Probes**

For the \(pscE/F\) gene, 50 base-pair (bp) annealed oligos were used as the binding target. \(pscE/FGS1F\) (Appendix C) was 3’-biotinylated (see below) and then mixed in an annealing reaction with the unlabeled complementary oligo to generate a double stranded probe as follows: Each probe was adjusted to 1 uM in TNE buffer (Appendix B). A 20 ul volume of each probe was combined in a microcentrifuge tube and placed in a 95˚ heat block for ten minutes. Power to the heat block was removed until it cooled to room temperature, then annealed probes were stored at -20˚ C.

The \(rsmA\) and \(algD\) probes were generated by PCR amplification of ~160 bp regions of their respective promoters, followed by gel extraction and 3’ biotin end labeling of the DNA.
Sequences of annealed oligos for \textit{pscE/F} and primers used to amplify promoters for \textit{algD} and \textit{rsmA} are listed in Appendix C.

\textbf{Biotin 3’ End Labeling}

Gel extracted PCR products and single oligos were labeled for visualization in the EMSAs using ThermoScientific 3’ Biotin End DNA Labeling Kit. For labeling reactions: All kit components (except TdT) were thawed on ice. Immediately prior to making reaction mix, terminal-deoxynucleotidyl-transferase (TdT) was removed from freezer and diluted to a conc. of 2 U/ul (ex.: 1 ul TdT Buffer + 3.5 ul sddH$_2$O + 0.5 ul TdT = 5 ul TdT at 2 U/ul). The following components were assembled in a centrifuge tube (see \textit{Table 3}):

\textit{Table 3: Biotin 3’ End Labeling reaction mix}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Combine in tube:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25 ul sddH20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 ul TdT Rxn Buffer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 ul 100 nM DNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 ul Biotin-11-UTP(SuM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 ul Diluted TdT (2U/ul)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textbf{Incubate in 37 degree bath for 30 minutes.}

After 30 minutes, the reaction was halted by adding 2.5 ul 0.5M EDTA. The TdT was extracted by adding 50 ul CI (24:1) and vortexing, followed by centrifugation to separate the phases. The aqueous layer was decanted, quantified on ThermoFisher NanoDrop (O.D.$._{260\text{nm}}$) and stored at -20°C until use in EMSA.
Quantifying Probes for Use in Binding Reactions

For determining the concentration of annealed oligos:

100 nM conc. of the forward oligo was used in the biotinylation reaction. Manufacturer estimates ~80% labeling efficiency with this method, leaving 80 nM concentration following labeling reaction. An 80 nM stock was made of complementary R oligo and annealing reaction was set up using equal amounts of each primer to give final concentration of 80 nM following annealing. Following absorbance reading at O.D. 260 nm, dilutions were made at 10 ng/ul in 20 ul stocks, or 200 ng/ stock. 200 ng/ 20 ul stock = 6 pmoles (for 50 bp) / 20 ul stock, or 0.3 pmoles/ul. In each binding reaction, 0.25 ul was used, so ~225 fmols/ 20 ul reaction was present.

Concentration of PCR generated probes:

PCR amplicons were gel extracted and quantified, then dilutions were created as above to generate a ~10 ng / ul stock in 20 ul. For this 200 ng at 160 bp, there are roughly 2 pmoles/ 20 ul or 100 fmoles / ul. Since 0.25 ul was used in each binding reaction, ~25 fmoles labeled probe were used per assay.
Gel Shift (EMSA)

For all EMSAs, components of the ThermoScientific LightShift EMSA Kit were used for binding reactions and visualization. Following successful use of the control components, purified AlgR was used in a binding reaction with different labeled probes. All components except AlgR stocks were thawed on ice and the following reaction mix was added to each tube:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Combine in tube:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2 ul 10X Binding Buffer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 ul Ultrapure Water (variable)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 ul Poly dI:dC (1ug/ul)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 ul 50% glycerol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 ul 100 mM MgCl2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 ul Protein Extract (variable)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25 ul (~20 fmol) probe</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Volume: 20 ul
Incubate 30 min at room temp.

Reagents were added in the order listed above and allowed to incubate at room temperature for 30 minutes. Meanwhile, a prepared 5% polyacrylamide TBE gel (Appendix B) was placed in a chamber with cold 0.5X TBE (Appendix B) as a running buffer. The gel was pre-run for 30 minutes during the binding reaction at 100V. 5 ul of 5X Blue Juice (Appendix B) was added to each reaction tube and slowly pipetted to mix. The entire reaction mix was loaded onto the gel and run for an hour. The probes were then transferred to a nylon membrane that was soaked in 0.5X TBE using a semi-dry apparatus. The gel was sandwiched on top of the nylon and between a soaked blotter paper bilayer. The probes were transferred for 30 minutes at 380 mA. The membrane was removed, dabbed on a kimwipe and crosslinked by exposure to 120 mJ of UV
light in a crosslinker at 254 nm for ~60 seconds. The membrane was then stored or immediately visualized.

**EMSA Chemiluminescent Detection**

All components for nucleic acid detection came with the ThermoScientific Chemiluminescent Detection Kit. Blocking buffer and 4X Wash buffer were warmed in a 42° water bath until all particulate had dissolved. All other components were kept at 4° C until ready for use. To block the membrane, 15 ml Blocking buffer was added to a clean tray and the membrane was rocked for 15 minutes. A conjugate/blocking buffer solution was prepared by adding 50 ul Streptavidin-HRP Conjugate to 15 ml Blocking buffer. This was added to the membrane and rocked for another 15 minutes. Membrane was then removed to a clean tray and rinsed briefly with 15 ml 1X Wash buffer. Four additional wash steps were performed for 5 minutes each. 30 ml Substrate Equilibration Buffer was added to a clean tray and the membrane was treated for 5 minutes. During this treatment, a substrate visualization solution was prepared by adding 3 ml Luminol Enhancer to 3 ml Stable Peroxide. The membrane was removed from buffer, dabbed on a kimwipe and placed on plastic wrap. Visualization solution was added for 5 minutes. The membrane was sealed in plastic wrap and visualized using ProteinSimple FlourChem M CCD on the chemiluminescent setting.
Statistical Analysis

For the transcriptional fusions, experiments were performed in triplicate and subject to mean, standard deviation, and standard error analysis in Microsoft Excel. Standard error is depicted on each graph using positive error bars. To determine statistically significant difference between more than two groups, one-way ANOVA analysis was performed in Excel. To determine significance between two groups, a post-hoc two-tailed unpaired t-test with Bonferroni correction was performed in Excel. “***” denotes p value < 0.001 between respective group means.
CHAPTER 3

RESULTS

Induced algR Expression Restores Twitching Motility to an algR Mutant

Previous studies by this lab and others identified AlgR as a regulator of rsmA expression (32). One goal of this study was to use inducible algR expression to further understand AlgR’s effect on rsmA. Expressed algR was used by Intile, et al to show an increase in rsmA activity using transcriptional fusions (32). To measure rsmA activity, this study used transcriptional fusions with the rsmA promoter containing the putative AlgR binding site fused to lacZ. Another way to measure RsmA is through Western blotting to observe changes in RsmA protein levels. Overexpression was attempted by placing an inducible Tn7-based algR expression construct into the chromosome of strains possessing either an rsmA-lacZ transcriptional fusion or HA-tagged RsmA.

Previously in this lab, the algR open-reading frame was cloned into the pTJ1 expression vector (Appendix C), which can be integrated into an att:Tn7 site on the P. aeruginosa chromosome downstream of the highly conserved glmS gene. Quadparental mating (Chapter 2) was used to introduce pTJ1algR into strains bearing the rsmA-lacZ transcriptional fusion, as well as strains with an epitope-tagged RsmA-HA generated previously. Colony PCR was used to verify correct insertion of the complementation vector and is shown in Figure 4.
Figure 4: Conjugated strains possess pAlgR. Colony PCR was performed using primers pHHERDSF and pTJ1R (Appendix C), which flank regions of the multiple cloning site for pTJ1.

The second lane of the gel in Figure 4 represents amplification from JM109 cells possessing the pAlgR construct and amplifies a ~700 bp band. Cells bearing the empty vector produce a much smaller (~50 bp) band in the next lane. Successfully conjugated P. aeruginosa strains produce a band that corresponds in size to the positive control, indicating pAlgR is present in these strains.

After successful conjugation, it was necessary to determine whether or not the algR overexpression system was able to restore an AlgR dependent phenotype to an algR mutant, thus confirming that algR was correctly being induced in the presence of arabinose. AlgR regulates twitching motility/Type IV pili by direct binding upstream of the fimUpilVWX1Y2E operon, and the twitching phenotype is lost in an algR mutant (38, 64). Production of alginate in the mucoid strains is another phenotype dependent on AlgR, but complementation and
overexpression studies have shown that this phenotype is sensitive, and that \textit{algR} overexpression will not result in restoration of alginate production (18, 38). A subsurface twitching assay was thus performed to investigate the ability of the \textit{algR} overexpressing strains to restore twitching motility.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure5.png}
\caption{\textit{pAlgR} restores twitching motility to an \textit{algR} mutant. Strains above were grown on 1\% LB agar with 1\% arabinose for 48 hours and twitching zones were stained with crystal violet.}
\end{figure}

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\caption{Twitching diameter in wild type, mutant and complement strains}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline
Strain & Twitching (mm) on 1\% LB & Twitching (mm) w/1\% arab. \\
\hline
PAO1 & 13 ± 1 & 11 ± 0.3 \\
\hline
PAO1\textit{ΔalgR} & 2 & 2 ± 0.16 \\
\hline
\textit{ΔalgR} + \textit{pAlgR} & 6 ± 0.7 & 16 ± 2 \\
\hline
\textit{ΔalgR} +\textit{pTJ1} & 6 ± 0.3 & 5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}
The results of the assay (Figure 5 and Table 5) show that the wild-type PAO1 is capable of twitching motility on both media with and without 1% arabinose. PAO1ΔalgR is defective for twitching motility, and this is restored by induced expression of pAlgR. The complemented strain (ΔalgR+pAlgR) also shows twitching on medium without arabinose, indicating transient induction of pAlgR. Some twitching motility is also restored to ΔalgR+pTJ1 on media with and without arabinose. This may indicate that the empty vector alone restores twitching motility. However, the pTJ1 vector inserts into a chromosomally neutral location and this has not been shown to disrupt expression (12, 16). It is possible that the pTJ1 empty vector was conjugated into a mixed culture of ΔalgR and an unknown contaminant that was capable of twitching motility, thus explaining the erroneous twitching results seen in ΔalgR+pTJ1. This would explain the unexpected twitching despite PCR verified presence of only the empty vector.

Restoration of twitching motility is observed in the algR mutant bearing pAlgR. In addition, this increase is responsive to arabinose, which indicates that despite transient expression and apparent partial restoration by the empty vector, pAlgR may be useful for investigating AlgR control of rsmA. Strains with algR deletions also possess a defect in swarming motility (52, 64). A swarming assay on M9 Minimal Media with the strains above, combined with re-conjugation with the empty vector could provide clarification for the misleading ability of ΔalgR+pTJ1 to twitch.
mucA22ΔalgR Has a Defect in rsmA Expression That is Not Restored by pAlgR

This lab and Intile, et al (32) used transcriptional fusions to show that rsmA expression decreased in an algR mutant. The fusions used by this lab include both rsmA promoters identified in the primer extension of rsmA completed in a previous study (69). First, we sought to confirm an increase in rsmA expression previously seen in mucA defective strains (32, 69). In addition, rsmA expression was measured in algR mutants in PAO1 and mucA22 and compared to wild type (Figure 6).

Figure 6: algR mutants have decreased rsmA expression. Cultures were grown for 8-hours and β-galactosidase assay was performed with ONPG and quantified in Miller Units. “***” denotes p-value < 0.001.

The results of Figure 6 show that rsmA expression is increased in the mucoid background, which agrees with previous studies (32, 69). This increase seen in mucA22 is abrogated in the mucA22ΔalgR strain, suggesting that AlgR may be required for full rsmA expression in mucA22. A slight decrease in rsmA expression was seen in ΔalgR compared to PAO1, though these results have yet to be confirmed in further study. Since it appeared AlgR
was required for full \textit{rsm}A expression in \textit{muc}A22, expression of \textit{pAlgR} was hypothesized to result in an increase in \textit{rsm}A. \textit{pAlgR} was integrated into PAO1\textit{rsm}A-\textit{lacZ} as described in Chapter 2, and \(\beta\)-galactosidase activity was measured to determine inducible \textit{alg}R’s effect on \textit{rsm}A transcription (\textit{Figure 7}).

\begin{center}
\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure7.png}
\caption{\textit{pAlgR} does not increase \textit{rsm}A expression in PAO1. Cultures were grown as in Figure 6, and \textit{pAlgR} was induced with 1\% arabinose at log phase and grown to 8 hours before measuring \(\beta\)-galactosidase. “***” denotes \textit{p-value} < 0.001.}
\end{figure}
\end{center}

The results in \textit{Figure 7} show that no increase in \textit{rsm}A expression occurs with induced expression of \textit{alg}R in PAO1. In fact, expression is slightly reduced from wild-type. If overexpressed \textit{alg}R is unable to increase \textit{rsm}A expression in PAO1, it is possible that \textit{rsm}A may be sensitive to optimal levels of AlgR that are disrupted with overexpression. In addition, this promoter may require a combined effect of AlgU and AlgR. To examine this further, complementation studies were attempted in \textit{muc}A22\textit{alg}R\textit{rsm}A-\textit{lacZ} to look for restoration of \textit{rsm}A expression (\textit{Figure 8}).
Figure 8: pAlgR does not complement rsmA expression loss in mucA22ΔalgR. pAlgR was induced with 1% arabinose at log phase and grown to 8 hours before measuring β-galactosidase. “***” denotes p-value < 0.001.

The results of Figure 8 show that there is a drastic loss of rsmA expression in mucA22ΔalgR that is not restored by pAlgR. Taken together, the results of Figures 6, 7 and 8 suggest that an algR mutant in the mucoid background has diminished rsmA expression. This may indicate AlgR control of rsmA, but overexpression and complementation with pAlgR was unable to increase rsmA activity in our strains, indicating further study is needed to determine how AlgR is controlling rsmA expression in mucA22. The inability of pAlgR to increase rsmA is addressed further in Chapter 4.
*algR* Mutants Possess Decreased Levels of RsmA-HA

The results of the transcriptional fusions suggest *algR* mutants have decreased *rsmA* transcription, and that overexpressing *algR* does not increase *rsmA* expression in PAO1 or complement the loss in *mucA22ΔalgR*. To determine if protein levels of RsmA are also affected by *algR* mutation, an epitope-tagged RsmA-HA constructed previously was used to analyze RsmA in various strains. The epitope tag has no adverse effect on RsmA’s function because *mucA22rsmAHA* remains mucoid, a phenotype lost in *mucA22ΔrsmA* (unpublished, data not show). Culture conditions used in *Figures 6, 7* and 8 were replicated to perform Western blotting and observe RsmA-HA. Overexpression of *algR* in PAO1 and complementation in *mucA22ΔalgR* was again investigated for an ability to increase or restore RsmA levels, thus directly implicating AlgR in control of *rsmA*. 
**Figure 9:** algR mutants have decreased RsmA-HA protein levels. Strains were grown in LB broth for 8 hours and levels of RsmA-HA were measured by Western blot. A membrane protein (OmlA) was used as a loading control.

Two separate membranes were used to accommodate all of the strains used for analysis of RsmA-HA. In *Figure 9 (A)* there was evidence that PAO1ΔalgR has diminished RsmA-HA levels compared to wild-type. This loss is more drastic than the decrease in *rsmA* expression seen in *Figure 6*. This result is being investigated further and has yet to be confirmed. A previous study indicates *mucA22* possesses higher levels of RsmA-HA compared to PAO1 (62). The results in *Figure 9 (B)* show a small increase of RsmA-HA in *mucA22*, but at a less drastic level than was previously observed and certainly less than is shown by the fusions in *Figure 6*. *mucA22ΔalgR* possessed a decrease in RsmA-HA compared to *mucA22*, but more accurate
controls and stringent protein loading must be used in the future, and at this time this remains preliminary data. In addition, densitometry could be performed on the blot to obtain quantitative results for the protein levels standardized to the OmlA loading control. This may clarify some of the perceived differences in RsmA-HA between the strains. Induced expression of \( pAlgR \) had no significant effect on RsmA-HA protein levels in either strain, which mimics the fusions and is addressed in Chapter 4.

**An AlgR Extract Binds the \( rsmA \) Promoter**

The defect in \( rsmA \) expression seen by Intile et al (32) and this lab indicate AlgR has an effect on \( rsmA \) in the cell. However, the mechanism of control remained to be elucidated, and it was unclear whether the AlgR effect on \( rsmA \) was direct or indirect. The primer extension completed by Stacey, et al identified a putative AlgR binding site adjacent to an AlgU dependent promoter for \( rsmA \) (62). It was thus hypothesized that AlgR may bind the promoter and regulate \( rsmA \) directly. To test this, an extract containing AlgR was obtained and used in an electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) on a labeled section of the \( rsmA \) promoter containing the putative AlgR binding site.

**Expression of an AlgR-GST Fusion is Induced in BL21(DE3)**

To determine if AlgR bound the \( rsmA \) promoter, gel shift studies were initiated. First, purified AlgR was obtained for use in gel shift experiments. Previous work in this lab cloned the complete open reading frame for \( algR \) into the pGEX4T-2 expression vector (Appendix C). A purified plasmid prep of this was created and sequence verified, then used in this study to overexpress an AlgR-GST fusion. AlgR was then separated from GST using thrombin cleavage.
The plasmid prep above was quantified and 100 ng (determined using absorbance at OD_{600nm}) was transformed into BL21 (DE3) cells from New England Biolabs, described in detail in Chapter 2. Expression conditions listed in Chapter 2 were utilized and SDS-PAGE was conducted followed by Coomassie staining to determine if the AlgR-GST fusion was being expressed in a quantity sufficient to warrant further purification.

Figure 10: A 53 kDa protein is present in induced cultures. Lysates containing 10 ug total cell protein from induced and non-induced cultures were resolved on 7% SDS-PAGE and stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue.

Protein lysates from induced cultures displayed specific, increasing expression of a 54-kDa protein. AlgR and glutathione-s-transferase were fused for purification, and each is ~27 kDa. Induction of cells containing the empty vector was not conducted, but the increase in
quantity of a protein corresponding to the size of an AlgR-GST fusion was not present in uninduced cultures, indicating tight control over transient expression from this vector.

**A 27 kDa Protein is Present Following Column Purification**

The results of *Figure 7* were enough evidence to proceed with further purification, using GST-Spin Columns from ThermoScientific as described in Chapter 2. Multiple fractions from this process are indicated in *Figure 8*.

![Figure 11: A lysate containing a 27 kDa protein is purified from the spin columns. Various fractions from the column purification process were resolved on 10% SDS-PAGE and Coomassie stained to view proteins present from each stage.](image)

Lysates from induced cultures were passed over the columns and the flow-through is shown in the first two lanes following the molecular weight marker. Following three washes, little protein was observed. A fourth wash was performed before incubation with thrombin overnight to cleave AlgR from the GST moiety. Following thrombin treatment, a large amount of protein at 27 kDa was observed, in addition to several other proteins of multiple sizes. A
significant amount of protein was present at 20 kDa, and other proteins were present in trace amounts. This 20 kDa protein may represent enzymatic breakdown of AlgR that occurred in the column overnight. To reduce the amount of proteins not corresponding to the size of AlgR, the eluate was diluted 1:10 in sterile PBS then stored in 4X Protein Storage Buffer (Appendix B) at -20°C. Lanes 8 and 9 in Figure 8 show that following the dilution, the two proteins in abundance were at 27 and 20 kDa, with the 27 kDa protein in excess. Lane 10 indicated no breakdown of the 27 kDa occurred after addition of 4X Storage Buffer.

**Western Blotting Confirms AlgR is Purified**

Western blot analysis was performed on the purified fraction in order to show that AlgR was the 27 kDa protein.

![Western blot](image)

*Figure 12: Western blot confirms AlgR was the major protein purified. Following thrombin cleavage, 10 ul of eluate and 10 ul post-wash flow-through were resolved on 10% SDS-PAGE and treated with polyclonal antibody to AlgR. 10 ug whole-cell lysate from PAO1 and PAO1ΔalgR were also run as a reference for AlgR.*

The results above show that polyclonal antibody for AlgR did not bind anything in a lane containing a lysate from PAO1ΔalgR but bound a protein present at ~27 kDa in PAO1 and
within the purified fraction. These results indicate AlgR was the 27kDa protein. Since AlgR was shown to be present in the purified stocks, gel shift studies were pursued.

**A Purified Fraction Containing AlgR Binds** \textit{algD} and \textit{rsmA} but not \textit{pscE/F}

Before testing the AlgR extract’s ability to bind the \textit{rsmA} promoter, it was necessary to ensure that the AlgR extract did not bind a probe nonspecifically. In addition, the extract was tested for binding to a section of DNA previously shown to be controlled by AlgR (44). Since the purified extract contained proteins not corresponding to the size of AlgR, results of these control gel shifts could provide evidence that AlgR was binding correctly, and thus warrant further investigation of the extract’s ability to bind \textit{rsmA}.

The purified containing AlgR was mixed in a binding reaction with annealed oligos or PCR probes that were 3’ end labeled with biotin by TdT (Chapter 2). AlgR was shown in a previous study not to bind to a site overlapping the \textit{pscE/F} genes (52), and a labeled, 50-base pair probe (sequence available in Appendix C) representing this region was used as a negative control to eliminate the possibility that the protein extract bound nonspecifically. The \textit{algD} gene of the alginate biosynthetic operon has been shown to be bound by AlgR and was thus used as positive control (44). PCR was used to generate probes for \textit{algD} and \textit{rsmA} with primers listed in Appendix C, and this yielded a larger probe (~160 bp) than the negative control. Using PCR to generate these probes afforded the ability to better specify the region bound by AlgR by narrowing the size of the probe in future assays using primers already available to the lab. The \textit{rsmA} probe contained both promoters and the putative AlgR binding site. This probe was generated and labeled as depicted in **Figure 13** below:
Figure 13: PCR amplification and end-labeling generate probes for EMSA. Primers flanking the rsmA promoter (RSDMF and rsmAGS1R2) were used to create a PCR product that was biotinylated using terminal-deoxynucleotidyl transferase.
To make sure that non-specific proteins present in the purified extract did not cause binding to non-specific probes, a gel shift was performed on \( pscE/F \) and is depicted below in Figure 11.

*Figure 14: AlgR does not bind the \( pscE/F \) probe at 100 nM. \(~200\) fmols \( pscE/F \) probe were mixed with 50 and 100 nM AlgR purified fraction to determine the extract’s ability to bind a gene known not to be regulated by AlgR.*

The \( pscE/F \) probe (~200 fmols) was not bound by AlgR at 50 or 100 nM (conc. determined using methods in Chapter 2). These binding reactions were run on a separate gel from the \( algD \) and \( rsmA \) reaction due to the size difference in the probes, which necessitated construction of a higher percentage polyacrylamide gel for proper resolution of the ~50 bp \( pscE/F \) probes. The concentration of AlgR in *Figure 11* is less than that shown to bind \( rsmA \) (*Figure 12*), but other binding reactions conducted in the lab displayed the extract’s inability to bind this probe at higher concentrations, and 100 nM was deemed relevant because that is the
concentration seen binding \textit{algD} below. The inability of the AlgR containing fraction to bind \textit{pscE/F} warranted its use in further assays to test its ability to bind the \textit{rsmA} promoter.

The extract was next tested for its ability to bind the \textit{rsmA} probe containing the potential AlgR binding site. To ensure that the AlgR containing fraction was capable of binding a gene known to be directly regulated by AlgR, the \textit{algD} probe was included on the same gel as \textit{rsmA} to verify the extract’s correct binding ability.

\textit{Figure 15: AlgR bound the algD probe at 100 nM and rsmA probe at 200 nM. Probe concentration was \textasciitilde25 fmols.}

The results above indicate the ability of the purified fraction to bind and shift \textit{algD} similar to that of other studies (44). Titration of the protein extract was conducted in binding reactions with the \textit{rsmA} probe, and complete binding occurred at a 200 nM concentration of protein. This interaction was shown to be specific when excess amounts of unlabeled \textit{rsmA} probe were used to abrogate the shift, as depicted in the last two lanes of \textit{Figure 12}. These
results indicate that the AlgR containing fraction correctly bound a gene known to be regulated by AlgR, and specific binding also occurred to the *rsmA* probe.
CHAPTER 4

DISCUSSION

AlgR and rsmA Regulation

*P. aeruginosa*’s ability to transition from acute to chronic infection within the cystic fibrosis lung is crucial for this pathogen’s ability to cause severe mortality for these patients. *P. aeruginosa* uses complex and diverse genetic regulation to control gene expression for different virulence factors. While regulatory systems used during acute infection are becoming well understood, much remains unclear about regulation of chronic virulence factors and the genetic regulation involved in the switch to chronic infection. Characterizing pathways involved in pushing the cell into the mucoid phenotype can yield clues as to the signals processed by *P. aeruginosa* to induce expression of chronic virulence factors. In addition, interruption of these vital pathways may serve as a future drug target.

AlgR is a transcriptional regulatory protein required for alginate production, and is active in chronic strains (6, 7, 26, 64). RsmA is a post-transcriptional regulatory protein that encourages expression of acute associated virulence factors (32, 37, 51, 52). These two proteins serve crucial regulatory roles, and were previously thought to exist as part of two separate regulatory pathways. Recently, however, transcriptional analysis of *rsmA* has shown a novel interaction between these two global systems and has given clues as to how *P. aeruginosa* controls expression of virulence factors in the mucoid strains (32, 62).

A previous study by Intile, et al (32) demonstrated AlgR control of *rsmA* expression, but a mechanism was not determined. A primer extension of *rsmA* was conducted by Stacey, et al (62), and identified a possible AlgR binding site adjacent to an AlgU dependent promoter. Control of *P. aeruginosa* RsmA has previously been shown to occur by production of RsmY and
RsmZ, sRNAs that bind RsmA and thus modulate its ability to reach its mRNA regulatory targets (7). Control of rsmA at the transcriptional level, however, remains unclear. The AlgU/AlgR control of rsmA expression presented by this lab suggests that known regulatory pathways involved in alginate production tune rsmA expression. This illustrates a previously unknown interaction between two global systems that regulate virulence factor gene expression in P. aeruginosa. The goal of this study was to elaborate on the finding that AlgR controls rsmA expression and to determine the mechanism. Expression of algR was investigated for having an effect on rsmA transcription and protein levels. In addition, a purified extract containing AlgR was used in a gel shift to test its ability to bind the rsmA promoter.

RsmA promoter activity in vivo was measured using a previously constructed rsmA-lacZ transcriptional fusion as a readout for rsmA expression. Results from Figures 6, 7 and 8 showed that mucA22rsmA-lacZ expression is elevated compared to PAO1rsmA-lacZ, which agrees with the data from Intile, et al and Stacey, et al that shows rsmA transcription is elevated in a mucA mutant (32, 62). Deletion of algR in the mucA22 background showed decreased rsmA expression. Western blotting of epitope tagged RsmA-HA was used to compare RsmA protein levels. Results of the Western blot analysis in Figure 9 are suspect due to the lack of RsmA-HA increase in mucA22 shown by other studies in this lab. Loading errors may explain the deviation between the Western blots and the transcriptional fusions. Though the algR mutants show less RsmA-HA than either wild-type, these results need further study before AlgR can be said to control RsmA protein levels.

Additional methods could be used to measure rsmA expression in an algR mutant, such as directly measuring levels of mRNA in a Northern blot or quantitative real-time PCR to measure fold changes in rsmA expression in a mucA22ΔalgR strain compared to the wild-type mucoid
strain. Results from those studies could provide further evidence that an \textit{rsmA} expression defect is AlgR dependent.

Since a loss of \textit{rsmA} expression was seen in \textit{mucA22ΔalgRrsmA-lacZ}, it was hypothesized that expression of \textit{algR} would show an increase in \textit{rsmA} activity in PAO1 \textit{rsmA-lacZ} and PAO1RsmA-HA. Overexpressing \textit{algR} in any background failed to increase \textit{rsmA} transcription or protein levels, contrary to the results of Intile, et al (32). The \textit{pAlgR} overexpression vector was able to restore twitching to an \textit{algR} mutant (Figure 4 and Table 5), which suggests that \textit{algR} was correctly being induced during the twitching assay. It is possible that induction differences occurred between the twitching assay, which was performed on solid media, compared with the β-galactosidase assay and Western blots, which were performed from broth cultures. Investigation into different concentrations and timing of arabinose induction with the strains used in this study could potentially show an AlgR-dependent increase in \textit{rsmA} expression in future studies. If \textit{algR} was correctly being induced in the β-galactosidase assay and Western blots, it is also possible that \textit{algR} expression did not have an effect on \textit{rsmA} in our strains. Overexpression of \textit{algR} was unable to restore alginate production, an AlgR dependent phenotype (18). In addition, overexpression of \textit{algR} has a deleterious effect on virulence (37). This suggests AlgR levels are tightly maintained in the cell and that large alterations in AlgR homoestasis are not favorable to \textit{P. aeruginosa}. Intile, et al were able to see an increase in \textit{rsmA} expression, but this occurs in PA103, a different strain than the wild-type used for this study (32). Additionally, the complementation construct used by Intile, et al. used both \textit{algZ} and \textit{algR}, which may suggest a role for AlgZ in controlling \textit{rsmA}. In addition, the expression vector used in their study was plasmid based, compared to the chromosomal insertion used here. Overall, the \textit{algR} expression in this study did not affect \textit{rsmA} transcription or protein levels in a significant
manner, indicating that although *mucA22AlgR* possessed a noticeable RsmA defect, further investigation must occur to implicate AlgR as the only reason for this decrease.

The second aim of this study was to define a mechanism for AlgR control of *rsmA* by testing purified AlgR’s ability to bind the *rsmA* promoter. A purified fraction containing AlgR was used in an EMSA on a labeled section of the *rsmA* probe containing the possible AlgR binding site. The purified extract contained proteins not corresponding in size to AlgR, and thus it was determined that stringent controls should be performed before using the extract in a gel shift with *rsmA*. In a previous study, AlgR was shown not to bind to *pscE/F* (52). In this study, the AlgR containing extract did not bind a *pscE/F* probe at 100 nM (*Figure 14*), indicating that non-specific binding of the extract was not occurring. In the future, the AlgR concentration used in the *pscE/F* binding reaction should match that used in the reactions with *rsmA*. The AlgR containing extract (100 nM) was also able to shift a section of the *algD* promoter previously shown to be bound by AlgR (44). Since the extract bound in a manner expected from AlgR, gel shifts were also performed on the *rsmA* promoter. Purified extract was titrated in a binding reaction with the *rsmA* probe, and was shown to bind *rsmA* and completely shift the probe (*Figure 15*). The results of these gel shifts show that the purified extract binds positive and negative probes in a manner expected by AlgR, and that binding is occurring to the *rsmA* probe at 200 nM. Further studies can identify the exact concentration of AlgR required for binding, as well as the sequence bound by AlgR. In addition, AlgR antibody could be used in the *rsmA* binding reaction to illustrate a super-shift, indicating the *rsmA* shift seen in this study was due to AlgR, and not another protein present in the extract. PAGE-purification of AlgR in the future would mean the concentration of protein used in the binding reaction reflects the concentration of AlgR by eliminating the possibility of erroneous quantification from non-AlgR proteins.
present in the purified lysate. Thrombin cleavage of the AlgR-GST fusion was completed for 12-16 hours, and lowering this treatment time may help lower the amount of background protein present in the purified eluate. In addition, stringent control of probe concentration using dot-blot analysis and a more specific titration by AlgR would yield more accurate results regarding binding concentration. To show the in vivo relevance of AlgR binding rsmA within the cell, site-directed mutagenesis was attempted at the AlgR binding site on the rsmA-lacZ transcriptional fusion and remains incomplete. Creating the mutagenized construct and performing β-galactosidase assays may show that an intact AlgR binding site is required for rsmA expression in the cell.

Intile, et al proposed that AlgR recalibrates rsmA expression to inhibit Type III Secretion, a known RsmA regulatory target (32). The data presented in this study show that rsmA expression in a mucA22 mutant is defective without AlgR, and a mechanism may exist whereby AlgR directly binds and regulates the rsmA promoter. A concurrent study by this lab implicates AlgU in control of rsmA expression (62). A model representing AlgU and AlgR control of rsmA is depicted in Figure 16.
Figure 16: AlgU and AlgR control of rsmA expression in the mucoid background.

Prior to the studies by this lab, transcriptional regulation of rsmA in *P. aeruginosa* was unclear. Our findings suggest *P. aeruginosa* uses members of the alginate regulatory system to tune virulence factor gene expression, which may help the cell transition from acute to chronic infection. Future studies will attempt to elaborate upon the virulence implications of this interaction. RsmA has been shown to repress Type VI Secretion (6), another secretion system involved in *P. aeruginosa* virulence (46). Control of Type VI components was investigated as a downstream readout for AlgR control of rsmA (Appendix F), but further studies are needed to test the potential of this phenotype’s relevance to AlgU/AlgR control of rsmA.

Continued understanding of the complex regulatory hierarchies involved in regulation of virulence factors by *P. aeruginosa* may identify crucial signals that push the cell towards the
debilitating chronic infection, and could potentially identify drug targets. In the absence of gene therapy treating cystic fibrosis, a treatment aimed at eliminating \textit{P. aeruginosa} infection will have significant impact on the prognosis for CF patients.


47. Mulcahy H, O'Callaghan J, O'Grady E, Adams C, O'Gara F. The posttranscriptional regulator RsmA plays a role in the interaction between *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and human airway epithelial cells by positively regulating the Type III secretion system. Infection and Immunity. 2006; 74(5): 3012-3015.


Pseudomonas Isolation Agar (PIA):

In 2 L flask w/ stir bar:

940 ml ddH₂O
20 ml glycerol
25 mg Irgisan
20 g peptone
10 g K₂SO₄
1.4 g MgCl₂ hexahydrate
13.6 g agar

Mix and boil solution. Autoclave. Add antibiotics when warm to touch.

Antibiotics and Supplemental concentrations:

150 μg/ml gentamicin
80 μg/ml X-gal
Arabinose to 1% (replace 25 mL H₂O with 25 mL of 20% arabinose stock)

Luria-Bertani (LB) Media:

In 2 L flask w/ stir bar:

950 ml ddH₂O
15g agar (if making plates)
10g NaCl
5g yeast extract
10g tryptone

Mix and autoclave. Add antibiotics when warm to touch.

Antibiotics and Supplemental concentrations:

15 μg/ml gentamicin
10 μg/ml tetracycline
100 μg/ml ampicillin
50 μg/ml kanamycin
1500 μg/ml trimethoprim
Arabinose to 1% (replace 25 mL H₂O with 25 mL of 20% arabinose stock)
LB no salt tet^{50} irg^{25}:  
950 ml ddH$_2$O  
15g agar  
5g yeast extract  
10g tryptone  
25 mg Irgasan  
Mix and boil, then autoclave. Add 50 mg tetracycline when flask becomes warm to touch.

**Vogel-Bonner Minimal Media (VBMM):**  
10X VBMM stock (500 ml):  
400 ml ddH$_2$O  
15g trisodium citrate  
10g citric acid  
50g K$_2$HPO$_4$  
17.5g NaNH$_4$PO$_4$ x 6 H$_2$O  
Adjust pH to 7 and autoclave  
1X VBMM:  
400 ml ddH$_2$O  
7.5g Agar  
autoclave and allow to cool to 50°C, then add:  
50 ml 10X VBMM  
500 μl 1M magnesium sulfate  
50 μl 1M CaCl$_2$  
For VBMM + Carb => When flask is warm to touch, add 300 ug/ml carbenicillin

**SOC Outgrowth Media:**  
2% Peptone  
0.5% Yeast  
10 mM NaCl  
2.5 mM KCl  
10 mM MgCl$_2$  
10 mM MgSO$_4$  
20 mM Glucose
Appendix B: Buffers and Solutions

10X TBE
108g Tris base
55g boric acid
Dissolve into 900 ml ddH₂O and add 40 ml 0.5M EDTA (pH 8.0) and autoclave.

10X TE
100 ml 1M Tris-HCl (pH 7.5)
20 ml 0.5M EDTA (pH 8.0)
880 ml ddH₂O and autoclave

Z-buffer
16.1g Na₂HPO₄ x 7 H₂O
5.5g NaH₂PO₄ x 7 H₂O
0.75g KCl
0.246g MgSO₄ x 7 H₂O
Add 900 ml ddH₂O, adjust pH to 7 and autoclave

BME – Before assay, add 2.7 ul beta-mercaptoethanol / 1 ml Z buffer
ONPG – Just prior to assay, add 4 mg ONPG / 1 mL Z buffer and vortex until fully dissolved

1.5M Tris-HCl (pH 8.8)
27.23 g Tris base
80 ml ddH₂O
Adjust pH to 8.8 w/ conc. HCl
ddH₂O to 150 ml and autoclave

0.5M Tris-HCl (pH 6.8)
6.1 g Tris base
80 ml H₂O
Adjust pH to 6.8 w/ conc. HCl
ddH₂O to 100 ml and autoclave

10X Glycine Running Buffer
30.3 g Tris base
144.1 g glycine
10 g SDS
ddH₂O to 1 L and mix on stir plate

10X Towbin Buffer
30.3 g Tris base
144 g glycine
ddH₂O to 1 L and mix on stir plate
SDS-PAGE Transfer Buffer
10 ml 10X Towbin buffer
20 ml methanol
70 ml ddH₂O

10X Tris-buffered Saline
60.6 g Tris base
87.6 g NaCl
800 ml ddH₂O
Adjust pH to 7.6 w/ 1M HCl
Add ddH₂O to 1 L and autoclave

TBS-T:
Just before use, add 0.1% Tween-20 to 1X TBS

Western Blot Blocking Buffer
5 ml TBS-T
2.5 g Skim milk powder
ddH₂O to 50 ml

Western Blot Washing Buffer
5 ml TBS-T
45 ml ddH₂O

1° Antibody Solution
5 ml TBS-T
2.5 g Skim milk powder
ddH₂O to 50 ml
Thaw antibody on ice and add based on desired dilution (2.5 ul for 1:20,000)

2° Antibody Solution
5 ml TBS-T
2.5 g Skim milk powder
ddH₂O to 50 ml
Add anti-rabbit or anti-mouse based on desired dilution

Protein lysis buffer
10 ml 10X PBS (pH 7.4)
90 ml sddH₂O

Just before use add:
Lysozyme to desired concentration
5 mM DTT
PMSF or other protease inhibitor
Place on ice until use
10X Phosphate-buffered Saline (PBS)
80 g NaCl
2 g KCl
14.4 Na₂HPO₄
2.4 KH₂PO₄
Dissolve in 800 ml ddH₂O and adjust pH to 7.4
Add ddH₂O to 1 L and autoclave

4X SDS-PAGE loading buffer
2.2 ml 0.5M Tris HCl (pH 6.8)
2.2 ml glycerol
1.1 ml 20% SDS
250 ul 1% Bromophenol blue
250 ul β-mercaptoethanol
Aliquot 1 ml into tubes and store at -20°C

5X EMSA Binding Buffer
For 10 ml:
0.5 ml 1M Tris HCl (pH 8.0)
3 ml 2.5M KCl
50 ul 0.5M EDTA (pH 8.0)
50 ul Triton X-100
6.5 ml glycerol
Add 1 mM DTT just prior to use

6X EMSA loading dye “Blue Juice”
25 mg Bromophenol blue
4 g sucrose
ddH₂O to 10 ml and filter sterilize
Aliquot 1 ml into tubes and store at -20°C

4X Protein Storage Buffer
For 100 ml:
35 ml ddH₂O
2.4 g Tris base
2.98 g KCl
30 ml glycerol
Adjust pH to 7.4 and add ddH₂O to 100 ml
Filter sterilize and store at 4°C

10x TNE
12.11 g Tris base
3.72 g EDTA
116.89 g NaCl
Dissolve above into 800 ml ddH₂O, pH to 7.4 w. HCl and fill to 1 L then autoclave.
Coomassie Staining Solution
For 100 mL 0.1% Solution:

Dissolve 0.1 g Coomassie Brilliant Blue into 100 mL containing the following:
50 ml Methanol
40 ml H$_2$O
10 ml Glacial acetic acid

Coomassie Destaining Solution:
For 1 L, combine:

500 ml ddH$_2$O
400 ml Methanol
100 ml Glacial acetic acid
### Appendix C: Strains, Primers and Plasmids

#### Table 6: Strains used in the study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PAO1</td>
<td>Wild-type acute strain</td>
<td>Lab Stock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>mucA22</em></td>
<td>Wild-type chronic strain</td>
<td>Lab Stock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAO1ΔalgR</td>
<td>algR mutant</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔalgRrsmAlacZ</td>
<td>ΔalgR; rsmAlacZ in attB site</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>mucA22rsmAlacZ</em></td>
<td><em>mucA22</em>; rsmAlacZ in attB site</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAO1rsmAlacZ</td>
<td>rsmAlacZ in attB site</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>mucA22ΔalgRrsmAlacZ</em></td>
<td><em>mucA22</em>ΔalgR; rsmAlacZ in attB site</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAO1RsmA-HA</td>
<td>HA by allelic exchange</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>mucA22RsmA-HA</em></td>
<td>HA by allelic exchange</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔalgRRsmA-HA</td>
<td>HA by allelic exchange</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>mucA22ΔalgRRsmA-HA</em></td>
<td>HA by allelic exchange</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. coli</em> SM10</td>
<td>Sex pilus donor</td>
<td>Lab strain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. coli</em> BL21 (DE3)</td>
<td>Protein expression cells</td>
<td>New England Biolabs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 7: Primers and oligos used in study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pHERDSF</td>
<td>ATCGCAACTCTCTACTGTTTCTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pTJ1R</td>
<td>GTTTGGAACTAGATTTTACCTATCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rsmASDMFcheck</td>
<td>GCCAAGGTTTCCATCGTCGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rsmAGSR1</td>
<td>CACCGGAATATTTTACGGACAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lacZRforTF</td>
<td>CATCTGCTGCAAGGCGATTAAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AlgRintF</td>
<td>GCAACTGGACTGGCGAGGTGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AlgRBXba1R</td>
<td>GCGCTCTAGAGCTCCAGAGGTCCTCGGCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pscFRBSF</td>
<td>GCTGGCCGAGTGTCGGCGAAGGCGAGCTCGGCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pscFRBSR</td>
<td>CCTCTGGCAGTTCCGGCGACACTCCGGCCAGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>algDGS2F</td>
<td>CTTTCAGCCGATGATGCATT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>algDGS2R</td>
<td>GATGTTTCTCTGCGAGGGAAG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 8: Plasmids used in study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plasmid name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pTJ1algR</td>
<td><em>algR</em> expression vector, <em>tmp</em></td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pGEX4T-2</td>
<td>GST-fusion vector, <em>amp</em></td>
<td>GE Healthcare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pGEX4T-2algR</td>
<td><em>algR</em> in pGEX4T-2, <em>amp</em></td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pRK2013</td>
<td>Tra+, Mob+, <em>km</em></td>
<td>Lab strain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pTNS3</td>
<td>Tra+, <em>amp</em></td>
<td>Lab strain</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix D: Electrophoresis Gel Recipes

Table 9: 1% Agarose gel recipe

For 1% agarose:

45 ml sddH20
5 ml 10X TBE
0.5 g agarose

Microwave 1 minute
When just warm to touch
add 2.7 ul EtBr

Table 10: SDS-PAGE recipe

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>4% Stacking Gel</th>
<th>15% Resolving Gel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>500 ul 40% Bis/Bis-Acryl.</td>
<td>3 ml 40% Bis/Bis-Acryl.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.25 ml 0.5 M Tris-HCl pH 6.8</td>
<td>2 ml 1.5 M Tris-HCl pH 8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 ul 10% SDS</td>
<td>80 ul 10% SDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1 ml ddH20</td>
<td>2.88 ml ddH20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 ul TEMED</td>
<td>8 ul TEMED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 ul fresh 10% APS</td>
<td>80 ul fresh 10% APS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mix all components in order and pipette into cassette immediately.
Table 11: 6% TBE polyacrylamide gel recipe

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Volume</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.5 ml 40% Bis/Bis-Acryl.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0 ml 10X TBE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.5 ml ddH20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 ul TEMED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80 ul fresh 10% APS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mix all components in order and pipette into cassette immediately.
Appendix E: PCR and Restriction Digest Confirms pGEXalgR Transformation

Figure 17: Restriction digest and colony PCR confirm transformed BL21 has the algR expression vector.

BL21 cells transformed with pGEXalgR were confirmed using restriction digest and colony PCR to show they possessed the overexpression construct. Sal1-HF and Not1-HF were used to drop out a ~750 bp fragment corresponding to the size of the cloned algR gene. PCR amplified a similar sized fragment using the purified plasmid prep generated previously in the lab as a positive control. Colony PCR of a single colony transformant generated a band of similar size, indicating expression cells had been successfully transformed with pGEXalgR.
Appendix F: AlgR and Type VI Secretion

During the course of this study it became apparent that AlgR control of rsmA may be relevant to pathogenesis, since both algR and rsmA mutants are attenuated and each protein control a wide variety of virulence factors. A virulence associated phenotype was pursued as a readout for AlgR control of rsmA. P. aeruginosa uses the Type VI Secretion apparatus to counterattack antagonizing bacteria (31, 46). Although the P. aeruginosa Type VI has not been shown to attack eukaryotic cells, antibodies to Type VI components have been found in CF patient serum (31). Since RsmA negatively regulates Type VI Secretion (6), it was hypothesized that AlgR may modulate Type VI expression through RsmA. Antibody to HcP, a Type VI component, was obtained in order to use Western Blotting as a means to analyze Type VI expression in different mutant strains.

Figure 18: Differential expression of hcp occurs in various backgrounds. Cultures were grown in LB broth for 5 hours and 10 ug protein / lane was loaded onto a 15% SDS-PAGE and treated with antibody to either HcP (1:1000) or OMLA (1:20,000).

The strains shown in Figure 16 were chosen to establish a preliminary reason for further investigation.
In the non-mucoid strains, no discernible difference is seen in HcP between wild-type and mutant strains. In the mucoid strains, HcP drops sharply. *RsmA, algR* and *algU* mutants show differential expression of Type VI. The *algR* and *algU* mutants show higher protein levels suggesting AlgR and AlgU are negative regulators of Type VI. The puzzling result was the decrease in HcP in the *rsmA* mutant. As RsmA is a negative regulator of Type VI, it would be expected that this mutant would have high levels of HcP. Further studies are needed to confirm these results and Type VI activity in different strains.
VITA
TYLER SPEAKS

Education: M.S. Biology, East Tennessee State University, Johnson City, Tennessee 2015
B.S. Health Science conc. Microbiology, East Tennessee State University, Johnson City, Tennessee 2013

Professional Experience: Graduate Research Assistant, East Tennessee State University, Dept. Health Science, Johnson City, Tennessee, 2013-2015
Teaching Assistant, East Tennessee State University, Dept. of Health Science, Johnson City, Tennessee, 2013-2015
Patient Transporter, Johnson City Medical Center, Johnson City, Tennessee, 2012-2014
United States Army, Sergeant, 2008-2014

Honors and Awards: Quillen Scholarship, East Tennessee State University
Graduate Studies Research Grant
Dept. Health Science Summer Research Grant Award
Army Commendation Medal, Combat Action Badge, Afghanistan Campaign Medal