

East Tennessee State University

Digital Commons @ East Tennessee State University

ETSU Faculty Works

Faculty Works

11-7-2017

Effects of Global Warming on Work-Rest Routines for Crop Workers in Appalachia

Ken Silver

East Tennessee State University, silver@etsu.edu

Ying Li

East Tennessee State University, liy005@etsu.edu

Emmanuel Odame

East Tennessee State University

Yuqiang Zhang

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Follow this and additional works at: <https://dc.etsu.edu/etsu-works>



Part of the [Environmental Health and Protection Commons](#), and the [Environmental Public Health Commons](#)

Citation Information

Silver, Ken; Li, Ying; Odame, Emmanuel; and Zhang, Yuqiang. 2017. Effects of Global Warming on Work-Rest Routines for Crop Workers in Appalachia. *American Public Health Association Annual Meeting & Exposition*, Atlanta, GA. <https://apha.confex.com/apha/2017/meetingapp.cgi/Paper/385577>

This Presentation is brought to you for free and open access by the Faculty Works at Digital Commons @ East Tennessee State University. It has been accepted for inclusion in ETSU Faculty Works by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons @ East Tennessee State University. For more information, please contact digilib@etsu.edu.

Effects of Global Warming on Work-Rest Routines for Crop Workers in Appalachia

Copyright Statement

This document was published with permission by the American Public Health Association. It was originally available by the [American Public Health Association Annual Meeting & Exposition](#).

[4147.0 Effects of Global Warming on Work-Rest Routines for Crop Workers in Appalachia](#)

[Ken Silver, SM, DSc](#)¹, Ying Li, PhD¹, Emmanuel Odame, MPH¹ and Yuqiang Zhang, PhD², (1)College of Public Health, East Tennessee State University, Johnson City, TN, (2)U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, NC

Background on ETSU-RMS Community Partnership

- Database of ~1,000 patient encounters at summer field screenings on tomato farms, 2010-present
- CBPR Student projects on ergonomics, heat stress, demographics
- MCN (Rachel Kelley's UCSF-Berkeley) [Tomato Workers' Health and Safety: A Guide for Health Care Providers](#)
- "Report Back" [Special supplement on farm worker health](#) in El Nuevo Tennessean (2017)

Toward an Early Warning System for Heat Stress

- Does the prevalence of heat stress signs and symptoms correlate with the daily maximum WBGT temperature on farms on the days of the screenings?
- Is there a correlation between the prevalence of heat stress signs and symptoms noted at past summer health screenings (2010-2017) and methods for predicting local WBGT temperatures, in particular the NOAA online calculator?
- Do field measurements with a WBGT instrument on tomato farms correlate with a) the NOAA online calculator; and b) the OSHA-NIOSH Heat Safety Tool App?
- Are diabetics at greater risk of heat stress signs and symptoms?




Figure 1. Long-range vision for a heat stress warning system informed by local WBGT and epi data

Bridging Local to Global (IPCC RCP4.5 and RCP 8.5)

- TN, KY and NC highly vulnerable
- Identify select counties ranked high in labor-intensive hand-harvesting of crops (Table 1)
 - Index: Dollars of Payroll/Harvested Acre (2012 Census of Agriculture)
 - Exclude XMass trees, sod, nurseries, beans
- Estimate WBGT in selected counties per Lijjgren et al (2008) using NWS data (Table 2)
- Estimate change from baseline WBGT under RCP4.5 and RCP8.5 for selected counties
- How do estimates of elevated WBGTs alter recommended work-rest routines under ACGIH standard?
- Identify data gaps and sources of uncertainty in estimating economic impacts
- Communicate results through the "local color" of the six counties' crops and locations
- Aim for CBPR projects involving other crops and counties

Table 1. Selection of Appalachian Counties on Index of Labor Intensiveness (and Hand Harvesting of a Crop)

	Tennessee	North Carolina	Kentucky
Total Counties	95	100	120
Appalachian Counties	52	29	54
High on Index of Labor Intensiveness	Cocke \$86/acre (#1 tomatoes, #8 vegetables, etc.)	Henderson \$956/acre (#1 apples; #19 all veggies)	Madison \$39/acre (#9 vegetables, etc.)
(& Hand Harvesting)	Clay \$36/acre (#4 tobacco)	Polk \$241/acre (#6 grapes)	Hart \$22/acre (#22 vegetables, etc.; #20 tobacco)

Table 2. Selected Counties' Area and Distance to Nearest Weather Station

	County Seat	Area in km ² (mi ²)	Nearest Weather Station(s)	Code	Distance to County Seat
Tennessee					
Cocke	Newport	1,147 (443)	Morristown (Hamblen) Greeneville (Green)	KMOR KGCY	18.2 mi 25.9 mi
Clay	Celina	671 (259)	Livingston (Overton)	K8A3	15.3 mi
North Carolina					
Henderson	Hendersonville	971 (375)	Fletcher (Buncombe)	KAVL	9.0 mi
Polk	Columbus	619 (239)	Rutherfordton (Rutherford)	KFQD	15.8 mi
Kentucky					
Madison	Richmond	1,147 (443)	Richmond (Madison)	KRGA	8.5 mi
Hart	Munfordville	1,083 (418)	Glasgow (Barren)	KGLW	17.4 mi

ISSUES OF INTEREST AT ROUNDTABLE

- What are the implications of **differences in scale** (time, geography) between IPCC models and occupational health applications?
- Experiences with **Liljegren's** (2008) method?
- Should a **weather station** within the county be a selection criterion? Only one of the six counties has a weather station. The others are between and nine and 26 miles away
- Are weather station historical data a little spotty when it comes to certain parameters like **dew point** that are needed to estimate WBGT?
- Is there a **climate data "concierge"** who has already compiled data from the many available sources for more rapid use in projects? (Analogy here: Old style phone calls to the NIOSH Technical Information Center)
- In which states have **university extension programs**, which hold a trove of data on crop production, participated in regional studies of climate change and crop production?