

1-29-2018

An Undergraduate Curriculum in Public Health Benchmarked to the Needs of the Workforce

J. Michael Stoots

East Tennessee State University, stootsj@etsu.edu

Randy Wykoff

East Tennessee State University, wykoff@etsu.edu

Amal Khoury

East Tennessee State University, khoury@etsu.edu

Robert P. Pack

East Tennessee State University, packr@etsu.edu

Follow this and additional works at: <https://dc.etsu.edu/etsu-works>



Part of the [Community Health and Preventive Medicine Commons](#)

Citation Information

Stoots, J. Michael; Wykoff, Randy; Khoury, Amal; and Pack, Robert P.. 2018. An Undergraduate Curriculum in Public Health Benchmarked to the Needs of the Workforce. *Frontiers in Public Health*. Vol.3 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2015.00012> ISSN: 2296-2565

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Faculty Works at Digital Commons @ East Tennessee State University. It has been accepted for inclusion in ETSU Faculty Works by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons @ East Tennessee State University. For more information, please contact digilib@etsu.edu.

An Undergraduate Curriculum in Public Health Benchmarked to the Needs of the Workforce

Copyright Statement

© 2015 Stoots, Wykoff, Khoury and Pack

Creative Commons License

Creative

Commons Attribution 4.0 License.

Attribution

4.0

License



An undergraduate curriculum in public health benchmarked to the needs of the workforce

J. Michael Stoots¹, Randy Wykoff^{2*}, Amal Khoury² and Robert Pack¹

¹ Department of Community and Behavioral Health, College of Public Health, East Tennessee State University, Johnson City, TN, USA

² Department of Health Services Management and Policy, College of Public Health, East Tennessee State University, Johnson City, TN, USA

*Correspondence: wykoff@etsu.edu

Edited by:

Connie J. Evashwick, George Mason University, USA

Reviewed by:

Daniel Francis Fahey, California State University San Bernardino, USA

Kathryn Welds, Curated Research and Commentary, USA

Keywords: undergraduate public health, workforce, bachelor of public health, public health job market, benchmarking

East Tennessee State University (ETSU) has offered an undergraduate degree in public health for 60 years. Alumni survey data have documented that the majority of the graduates from this program enter the workforce [see accompanying commentary by Wykoff, et al. (1)]. To keep pace with ongoing changes in the workforce, the decision was made to completely review, and, as appropriate, revise and restructure the Bachelor of Science in Public Health (BSPH) curriculum.

While the specific curricular revisions were adopted to address the recognized workforce needs of the region of central Appalachia where ETSU is located, the process undertaken, and the resulting curricular outcomes could be useful models for other undergraduate programs where the majority of graduates enter the workforce upon graduation.

Consistent with the College's strategic plan, a BSPH Re-structuring Taskforce was formed including three department chairs, the academic dean, a student representative, and the BSPH coordinator (Stoots). The taskforce reviewed data from a variety of systematically collected assessments, including the annual alumni surveys, the bi-annual employer surveys, and the field preceptor evaluations, which are completed at the end of each student's mandatory internship. The taskforce also reviewed the exit survey, conducted at the time of student graduation, as well as data from the students' culminating presentations, both of which ask students to specifically comment on ways in which the program could

be improved. Throughout the process, the taskforce interfaced with faculty, staff, students, and employers.

To facilitate the interviews with the preceptors and employers, the taskforce utilized a framework that emphasized six questions:

- (1) What knowledge and skills will (your profession) require in 5 years?
- (2) What *issues* should all (your profession) graduates be able to *discuss*?
- (3) What *things* should all (your profession) graduates be able to *do*?
- (4) What *tools* should all (your profession) graduates be able to *use*?
- (5) What *problems* should all (your profession) graduates be able to *solve*?
- (6) What *characteristics* should all (your professions) graduates be able to *exemplify*?

Once all recommendations from the various sources were gathered, the taskforce conducted a comprehensive qualitative analysis using the card-sorting technique. Through their analysis they identified potential competency domains and approximately 400 desired learning objectives. (This list is posted at: <http://www.etsu.edu/cph/academics/undergraduate/bspublichealth.aspx>).

A group of faculty, staff, and students was then assembled as the Undergraduate Curriculum Workgroup and was chaired by the BSPH Coordinator. This group worked to map the identified competency domains and learning objectives, in an "introduced"

and "reinforced" format, into the coursework. This format reduced redundancy by only having a concept introduced once, and then mapping all concept reinforcements so they would build upon each other.

While this process began prior to the release of the ASPH Recommended Critical Component Elements for an Undergraduate Major in Public Health¹, the competency domains were subsequently mapped against the Critical Component Elements, and found to be congruent and comprehensive.

In addition to the identification of the competency domains and the learning objectives, four over-arching themes emerged from this process:

- (1) Employers seek graduates who are knowledgeable in their field, but who also possess cross-cutting skills related to professional and ethical behavior;
- (2) Employers value graduates who have very strong written and verbal communication skills;
- (3) Employers expect graduates to have expanded technological capabilities, particularly with Microsoft applications (e.g., Excel) and electronic health records; and
- (4) Students want more exposure to working professionals in the field, prior to their internship.

The first two themes were addressed by incorporating specific skills and projects related to professionalism and

¹<http://www.aspph.org/educate/models/undergraduate-baccalaureate-cce-report/>

communication skills into each core course. The third theme was addressed by enhancing the technology-requirements of the core courses and by revising and expanding the existing “Emerging Technologies for the Health Professions” course. This course now incorporates a greater focus on software usage, spreadsheet tools, and electronic presentation in order to prepare students for the newly added technology components in the core courses.

The final theme was addressed in several ways:

- (1) First, a one-credit hour “Skills and Encounters” course was added to each of the four semesters prior to the semester-long internship. The “Skills and Encounters” courses will expose students to a range of public health workforce settings and introduce a cross-section of skills essential for workplace success, including “professionalism,” “career preparation,” and “teamwork,” among others. For example, throughout the various Skills and Encounters courses, in addition to direct on-site visits with working professionals, students will engage in a variety of scenarios with progressively challenging responsibilities related to conduct in work settings, job interviews, and professional communication.
- (2) Second, students will complete the ESSENTIALS course, a hands-on/applied course that teaches students to make a range of products required for improving health in low-resource settings (e.g., water filters, composting latrines, adobe structures). By presenting students with a range of problems that they have never faced – from using new tools to constructing items without all of the necessary supplies – ESSENTIALS requires students to work in teams, to think creatively, and to solve an array of logistical and operational challenges, while, at the same time, developing an appreciation for the realities associated with living in resource-poor environments.

Table 1 | Undergraduate public health curriculum benchmarked to the needs of the workforce.

TABLE CURRICULUM: AFTER REVISION

Public health core (46 credit hours)

Biostatistics (3 credit hours)
 Emerging technologies for the health professions (3)
 Principles of epidemiology (3)
 Health services administration (3)
 Health systems (3)
 Principles and practices of public health education (3)
 Environmental sanitation (3)
 First aid and emergency care (3)
 Public health budgeting and finance (3)
 Top 5 health threats (3)
 Skills and encounters I (1)
 Skills and encounters II (1)
 Skills and encounters III (1)
 Skills and encounters IV (1)
 Essentials (3)
 Field experience (9)

Community health concentration (15)

Cultural competencies and spirituality in health care (3)
 Community organization for health education programs (3)
 Behavior change theory for public health (3)
 Service grant writing (3)
 Lifespan health promotion (3)

Health care administration concentration (15)

Legal and ethical issues in healthcare (3)
 Health services planning (3)
 Quality and utilization assurance (3)
 Current issues in health services management and policy I (1)
 Current issues in health services management and policy II (2)
 Health informatics (3)

Minor: 18 credits (required for both concentrations)

Table 1 shows the BSPH curriculum after the revision, and includes the BSPH core courses as well as the Community Health and Health Care Administration concentrations.

The BSPH revision represents three distinct and fundamental changes. The first is the methodology used to drive the curricular revision. The processes involved all stakeholders – employers, students, preceptors, and faculty – at every juncture. Second, it was explicitly designed to prepare students for the workforce, with significantly increased focus on practical/workforce experiences, skills, and experiential learning for the students. The third outcome is the nature of the change in the curriculum – with a greater focus on professionalism, communication skills, technological, and cultural competence. These changes reflect a commitment to assuring that graduates are prepared, as well as possible, for entry into the local health workforce.

While the specific curricular outcomes may vary in different parts of the country, we believe that this systematic, workforce-oriented, approach, should be relevant for many undergraduate public

health programs, especially those where the majority of students enter the workforce upon graduations.

REFERENCE

1. Wykoff R, Khoury A, Stoos JM, Pack R. Undergraduate training in public health should prepare graduates for the workforce. *Front Public Health* (2015) 2:285. doi:10.3389/fpubh.2014.00285

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Received: 27 October 2014; paper pending published: 03 December 2014; accepted: 09 January 2015; published online: 17 February 2015.

*Citation: Stoos JM, Wykoff R, Khoury A and Pack R (2015) An undergraduate curriculum in public health benchmarked to the needs of the workforce. *Front. Public Health* 3:12. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2015.00012*

*This article was submitted to Public Health Education and Promotion, a section of the journal *Frontiers in Public Health*.*

Copyright © 2015 Stoos, Wykoff, Khoury and Pack. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.