

East Tennessee State University

## Digital Commons @ East Tennessee State University

---

Appalachian Student Research Forum

2023 ASRF Schedule

---

Apr 25th, 9:00 AM - 11:00 AM

### Geophysical and Archaeological Investigations of Enslaved Peoples at Cannons Point Preserve, Georgia

Amy Sowers Collins  
*East Tennessee State University*

Eileen G. Ernenwein  
*East Tennessee State University*

Lindsey Cochran  
*East Tennessee State University*

Follow this and additional works at: <https://dc.etsu.edu/asrf>

---

Collins, Amy Sowers; Ernenwein, Eileen G.; and Cochran, Lindsey, "Geophysical and Archaeological Investigations of Enslaved Peoples at Cannons Point Preserve, Georgia" (2023). *Appalachian Student Research Forum*. 8.

<https://dc.etsu.edu/asrf/2023/schedule/8>

This Poster Presentation is brought to you for free and open access by the Events at Digital Commons @ East Tennessee State University. It has been accepted for inclusion in Appalachian Student Research Forum by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons @ East Tennessee State University. For more information, please contact [digilib@etsu.edu](mailto:digilib@etsu.edu).


# Geophysical and Archaeological Investigations of Enslaved Peoples at Cannons Point Preserve, Georgia

Amy Sowers Collins<sup>1</sup>, Dr. Eileen G Ernenwein<sup>1</sup>, and Dr. Lindsey Cochran<sup>2</sup>

Department of Geosciences<sup>1</sup> and Department of Sociology and Anthropology<sup>2</sup>, East Tennessee State University, Johnson City, Tennessee

## Introduction


Cannon's Point Preserve at St. Simons Island, Georgia was the site for the 2022 East Tennessee State University archaeological field school. The study area was believed to have once housed enslaved peoples at a plantation. Ground penetrating radar (GPR) and magnetometry surveys were performed at the preserve. These geophysical surveys are a common first step in archaeological research, because they can detect and map buried historic and prehistoric features prior to excavation.



## Research Methods


- A real time kinematic (RTK) global navigation satellite system (GNSS) instrument was used to place stakes in the ground demarcating 10m x 10m grids for data collection.
- GPR data were collected using a GSSI-SIR-4000 system with a 400 MHz center-frequency antenna in south-north traverses spaced 0.5m apart. The system was set to record 100 scans per meter, 512 samples per-scan, using a 50 ns range.
- Magnetometry data were collected using a Bartington Grad601-2 fluxgate magnetometer in south-north traverses every 0.5m. Magnetometry collects eight readings per meter along transects.
- GPR data were processed using *GPR-Slice* and magnetometry data were processed with *ArchaeoFusion*.

## Survey Site




The magnetometry and GPR survey area was arranged with 10m x 10m grids. All traverses were in the grid north direction.

## Geophysical Results



## Excavation Results

### Block 1 Test Unit (TU) 001-TU004



- GPR 0.3m slice and excavations showed feature across TU002, TU003, and TU004
- Block 1 excavations and GPR slice at 0.6m showed possible postholes but not structure
- GPR slice at 1m showed appearance of linear structure, but 0.2m of sterile soil was excavated before increasingly wet soil at final excavation layer of 0.6m

### TU008



- Features were found and cross section excavated between 0.45m and 0.6m
- GPR slice at 1m showed linear structure, but time did not permit excavation beyond 0.6m
- Artifacts recovered from TU008 showed items including brick, burned brick, metal, pottery, and burned pottery that would be expected as positive magnetic anomalies




Artifacts from TU008

### Shovel Test Pit (STP) 008



- Strong magnetometry results at STP008 correlated with artifacts found in pit

## Geophysical Instruments



Ground penetrating radar with a 400 MHz antenna

Magnetometry w/ fluxgate gradiometer

## Discussion

- Magnetometry anomalies that could be archaeological features were detected on the west and north side of the survey site.
- Two rectangular features were detected at 1-1.10m below the surface with GPR.
- Several linear features were also detected with GPR in the central and southeastern sections of the site.
- Although no test units were excavated deeper than 0.6m, some of the anomalies in the 0.3m-0.4m GPR slice were verified by excavation.
- Excavations in focused areas detected with magnetometry yielded ferrous (iron-containing) materials, burned pottery sherds, and burned bricks, as expected at a historic site.

## Conclusions

- GPR and magnetometry successfully mapped buried archaeological features and helped direct excavations.
- Insight into the material possessions and living conditions of enslaved peoples on the island helps tell the history of those who were not recorded in the written record.

## Acknowledgements

Thanks to all who participated in the ETSU 2022 archaeological field school and those who helped with geophysical surveys. Thanks to Jennifer Stout for additional photographs.