#### East Tennessee State University

#### Digital Commons @ East Tennessee State University

Appalachian Student Research Forum

2023 ASRF Schedule

Apr 25th, 9:00 AM - 11:00 AM

# Apocalypticism as a Predictor of Conspiracism Among American Adults

Olivia Summers
East Tennessee State University

Follow this and additional works at: https://dc.etsu.edu/asrf

Summers, Olivia, "Apocalypticism as a Predictor of Conspiracism Among American Adults" (2023). *Appalachian Student Research Forum.* 32.

https://dc.etsu.edu/asrf/2023/schedule/32

This Poster Presentation is brought to you for free and open access by the Events at Digital Commons @ East Tennessee State University. It has been accepted for inclusion in Appalachian Student Research Forum by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons @ East Tennessee State University. For more information, please contact digilib@etsu.edu.

# Apocalypticism as a Predictor of Conspiracism Among American Adults

Olivia Summers, Joseph Baker, PhD
East Tennessee State University, Department of Sociology and Anthropology

## Introduction

Apocalypticism, despite being a common trait among Americans, has been researched very little within the social sciences. There have been many large-scale events within the last few decades that were predicted to be apocalyptic, including but not limited to the following: Y2k, the ending of the Mayan Calendar in 2012, and most recently, the COVID-19 pandemic. Despite the prevalence of apocalypticism and the many recent "apocalypses" that have been endured, little research has been done on this phenomenon nor on the social consequences of such beliefs.

Defining apocalypse: "In its more generic usage, the word 'apocalypse' has come to mean the belief in an approaching confrontation, cataclysmic event, or transformation of epochal proportion, about which a select few have forewarning so they can make appropriate preparations" (Berlet 2004). The apocalypse is a key feature of many major religions. However, this does not mean non-religious people are immune to the idea of apocalypticism. Unlike their religious counterparts, secular apocalyptics believe in a non-supernatural apocalypse and should not be overlooked in apocalyptic research.

Current research suggests apocalypticism may be related to negative societal outcomes such as conspiracism. Though research on apocalypticism is scarce, research on conspiracrism is more comprehensive and has consistently been related with negative societal effects such as authoritarian violence, belief in fake news and antisemitism. A conspiracy theory can be defined as "proposed explanation of events that cites as a main causal factor a small group of persons (the conspirators) acting in secret for their own benefit, against the common good" (Uscinski et al., 2016). Conspiracism has become more mainstream in the last few years, and politicians have utilized apocalyptic language to facilitate this social shift.

## **Methods and Materials**

The dataset used for this study was obtained from the 2018 Chapman Survey of American Fears. The sample size is 1189, nationally-representative sample of American adults.

Using this survey, we measured apocalypticism using the item; "Please indicate the extent to which you believe each of the following exists: Apocalypse/Armageddon". The answer options on this question are on a Likert scale with the response options: definitely does not exist, probably does not exist, probably exists, and definitely exists.

A multi-item conspiracism scale (Cronbach's alpha = .90) was composed of questions that began with "the government is concealing what it knows about . . ." followed by these eight items were used: alien encounters, the 9/11 attacks, the South Dakota crash, global warming, the JFK assassination, the moon landing, the Illuminati/New World Order and Mass shootings such as those at Sandy Hook, Las Vegas, and Parkland. The respondents chose from the Likert scale options with the following options: strongly agree, agree, disagree, strongly disagree. An OLS regression was performed to test whether apocalypticism predicts conspiracism.

Apocalypse	Mean	Sample Size	Standard Deviation
Definitely does not exist	16.76	233	6.01
Probably does not exist	18.29	293	5.50
Probably exists	20.43	304	6.48
Definitely exists	21.30	333	6.12

 Table 1. How he sample responded to the apocalypticism measure

### Results

A linear regression was used to test if apocalypticism significantly predicted conspiracism.

It was found that apocalypticism significantly predicted conspiracism  $(\beta = .242 \text{ p} = < .000)$ , and was the stronger predictor in the model. The second strongest predictor was education level  $(\beta = -.142 \text{ p} = < .000)$ ,

	Standardized Coefficients Beta	Significance	
Apocalypse	.248	P=<.000	
Education	142	P=<.001	
Gender	135	P=<.001	
Political Party	.033	P=<.821	

**Table 2** Other variables used for comparison include age, income, race employment, income, religion, geographic region and religious attendance D

#### Discussion

Apocalypticism was found to be the most predictive variable within the entire analysis. These results indicate that apocalypticism is a vital factor to consider in the study of conspiracism. Further research should be conducted to determine whether this prevalent phenomenon is associated with other negative societal outcomes. Future scholars should consider an experimental design to determine directionality.

Contact References

Olivia Summers summerso@etsu.edu

1. Armaly, M.T., Buckley, D.T. & Enders, A.M., (2022). Christian nationalism and political violence: Victimhood, racial identity, conspiracy, and support for the capitol attacks. Political Behavior 44, 937–960. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11109-021-09758-y

2. Berlet, C. (2004). Christian identity: the apocalyptic style, political religion, palingenesis and neo-fascism. Totalitarian Movements and Political Religions, 5(3), 469–506. doi:10.1080/1469076042000312221

3. Dein S. (2021). Covid-19 and the apocalypse: Religious and secular perspectives. Journal of religion and health, 60(1), 5–15. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10943-020-01100-w

4. Exline, J. J., Pait, K. C., Wilt, J. A., & Schutt, W. A. (2022). Demonic and divine attributions around COVID-19 vaccines: Links with vaccine attitudes and political variables, and supernatural and apocalyptic beliefs. Religions, 13(6), 519. doi:https://doi.org/10.3390/rel13060519 5. Faragó, L., Kende, A., & Krekó, P. (2020). We only believe in news that we doctored ourselves: The connection between partisanship and political fake news. Social Psychology, 51(2), 77–90. https://doi.org/10.1027/1864-9335/a000391 (Supplemental)

6. Fetterman, A. K., Rutjens, B. T., Landkammer, F., & Wilkowski, B. M. (2019). On post—apocalyptic and doomsday prepping beliefs: A new measure, its correlates, and the motivation to prep. European journal of personality, 33(4), 506–525. https://doi.org/10.1002/per.2216

7. Kofta, M., Soral, W., & Bilewicz, M. (2020). What breeds conspiracy antisemitism? The role of political uncontrollability and uncertainty in the belief in Jewish conspiracy. Journal of personality and social psychology, 118(5), 900–918. https://doi.org/10.1037/pspa0000183 8. Sawyer, P.S. (2022) Conspiracism in populist radical right candidates: Rallying the base or mainstreaming the fringe? International journal of political and cultural sociology 35, 305–340 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10767-021-09398-4

9. The Public Religion Research Institute. (2014). Believers, sympathizers, and skeptics: Why Americans are conflicted about climate change, environmental policy, and science. Retrieved from http://publicreligion.org/research/2014/ 11/believers-sympathizers.

10. Uscinski, J. E., Enders, A. M., Klofstad, C., Seelig, M., Funchion, J., Everett, C., Wuchty, S., Premaratne, K., & Murthi, M. (2020). Why do people believe COVID-19 conspiracy theories? Harvard kennedy school misinformation review, 1. https://doi.org/10.37016/mr-2020-015

11. Wonodi, C., Obi-Jeff, C., Adewumi, F., Somto Chloe Keluo-Udeke, Gur-Arie, R., Krubiner, C., . . . Faden, R. (2022). Conspiracy theories and misinformation sommunications. Vaccine, 40(13), 2114-2121. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine, 2022.02.005