

East Tennessee State University

Digital Commons @ East Tennessee State University

Appalachian Student Research Forum & Jay S.
Boland Undergraduate Research Symposium

2022 Boland Symposium Schedule

Apr 6th, 1:30 PM - 1:45 PM

The Impact of COVID-19 Restrictions on Physical Activity and Health within United States University Population

Maggie Johnson

Andrew Dotterweich
East Tennessee State University

Follow this and additional works at: <https://dc.etsu.edu/asrf>

Johnson, Maggie and Dotterweich, Andrew, "The Impact of COVID-19 Restrictions on Physical Activity and Health within United States University Population" (2022). *Appalachian Student Research Forum & Jay S. Boland Undergraduate Research Symposium*. 37.
<https://dc.etsu.edu/asrf/2022-boland/schedule/37>

This Oral Presentation is brought to you for free and open access by the Events at Digital Commons @ East Tennessee State University. It has been accepted for inclusion in Appalachian Student Research Forum & Jay S. Boland Undergraduate Research Symposium by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons @ East Tennessee State University. For more information, please contact digilib@etsu.edu.

The Impact of COVID-19 Restrictions on Physical Activity and Health within a United States University Population

Maggie Johnson

Statement of Problem

- This was a cross-sectional study which assessed changes in **lifestyle-related behavior** during the Covid-19 pandemic, the amount of **sedentary time** spent each week, and the **underlying reasons** behind people's decisions as to whether to engage in physical activity.

Background

- March 2020- July 2020: state of “lockdown”
 - Stay at Home order
 - Schools, gyms, retail stores, restaurants closed (AJMC Staff, 2021).
- 2020 National College Health Assessment (Bovard, 2018).
 - 37% students overweight
 - 16% students obese
- Longitudinal Study (2015-2020) on US university campus (Wilson et al., 2021)
 - Study included predominately non-Hispanic white women
 - Showed significant declines in physical activity levels
 - Showed significant increases in depressive symptoms and stress
- Similar Studies
 - New Zealand (Meiring et al., 2021) & Canada (Lesser, Neinhus, 2021)
 - Australia (Gallo et al., 2020) & Rome (Gallè et al., 2020) university students

Measures

Physical Activity as a Vital Sign (PAVS) (Greenwood, Joy & Stanford, 2010)

- Measures weekly physical activity
 - “Moderate”- talk but not sing
 - “Vigorous”- can no longer talk
- 1. How many **times per week** do you engage in moderate to vigorous physical activity?
 - 2. How many **minutes** do you engage at this level?

Sedentary Behavior Questionnaire (SBQ) (Rosenberg et al., 2010)

- Assesses the amount of time spent during the week on various sedentary behaviors
- “None, <15 minutes, 30 minutes, 1 hour, 2 hours, 3 hours, etc.”
- Watching television?
- Sitting reading?
- Driving?
- Video games?
- Paperwork/ computer work?

Behavioral Regulations in Exercise
Questionnaire
(BREQ-3) (Markland & Tobin, 2004;
Wilson et al., 2006)

- Determines underlying reasons and motivations towards people's decisions as to whether to engage in physical activity
- 24 statements
- 0-4 scale; "not true for me", "very true for me"
- Positive change= positive score
- Negative change= negative score
- It's important to me to exercise regularly.
- Exercise is fun.
- I don't see the point in exercise.
- I get pleasure and satisfaction from exercising.

Lifestyle-related Behavioral Questionnaire (LRBQ) (Kumari et al., 2020)

- Assesses the changes in lifestyle-related behavior during the Covid-19 pandemic
- Scored on 5-point Likert scale
 - Significantly increased
 - Slightly increased
 - Grossly similar
 - Slightly decreased
 - Significantly decreased
- During COVID pandemic, how has your consumption of sweets/candies/chocolate changed?
- During COVID pandemic, how has your intake of nutrition supplements to boost immunity changed?
- During COVID pandemic, how has your participation in aerobic exercise changed?

Protocol

- IRB Approval
- Survey was distributed via email to all qualifying members of the college community
- Follow-up email sent approximately two weeks after initial survey
- Survey data was collected using QualtricsXM (Qualtrics, Provo, UT)
- Data was analyzed using IBM SPSS 25 Statistics (IBM, Armonk, NY)

Results

Mean BMI by CDC Category

- Underweight- 2.6%
- Healthy Weight- 40.9%
- Overweight- 29.5%
- Obesity- 27.0%

Mean BMI by Grouping

- Freshmen- 25.6
- Sophomore- 25.2
- Junior- 26.4
- Senior- 28.2
- Graduate Student- 27.9
- Faculty/ Admin/ Staff- 27.9

CDC (2021) BMI Formula: $\text{weight (lb)} / [\text{height (in)}]^2 \times 703$

CDC (2021) Adult BMI Categories: Below 18.5=Underweight, 18.5-24.9=Healthy Weight, 25-29.9=Overweight, 30+=Obesity

Physical Activity as a Vital Sign (PAVS)

- An ANOVA comparing PAVS scores of the different BMI Categories revealed significant differences ($F(3, 1226) = 10.053; p < .001$).
- A Tamhane post hoc analysis determined differences between those with healthy weight ($M=262.5$) and Obesity ($M=116.5$). Also, those in the overweight category ($M=204.4$) differed significantly from Obesity ($M=116.5$).

Sedentary Behaviors Questionnaire (SBQ)

- An ANOVA comparing SBQ scores of the different BMI Categories revealed significant differences ($F(3, 1161) = 11.427; p < .001$).
- A Tamhane post hoc analysis determined differences between those with healthy weight ($M=63.2$) and Obesity ($M=75.3$). Also, those in the overweight category ($M=67.4$) differed significantly from Obesity ($M=75.3$).

Behavioral Regulations in Exercise Questionnaire (BREQ-3)

- An ANOVA comparing BREQ-3 scores of the different BMI Categories revealed significant differences ($F(3, 1187) = 40.04; p < .001$).
- A Bonferroni post hoc analysis determined differences between:
 - Underweight (M=5.7) and healthy weight (M=11.2)
 - Underweight (M=5.7) and Overweight (M=9.9)
 - Healthy weight (M=11.2) and Overweight (M=9.9)
 - Healthy weight (M=11.2) and Obesity (M=5.8)
 - Overweight (M=9.9) and Obesity (M=5.8)

Lifestyle- Related Behavioral Questionnaire (LRBQ)

- An ANOVA comparing LRBQ scores of the different BMI Categories revealed significant differences ($F(3, 1356) = 12.816; p < .001$).
- A Bonferroni post hoc analysis determined differences between:
 - Healthy weight ($M=-3.8$) and Obesity ($M=-6.7$)
 - Overweight ($M=-3.6$) and Obesity ($M=-6.7$)

Conclusion

- BMI increases with age
- Underweight and healthy weight spend most time exercising
- Underweight and obesity spend most time in sedentary behaviors
- Healthy weight has the most motivation towards healthy behaviors, overweight has more motivation than obesity and underweight
- Underweight and obesity lifestyles were impacted the most by COVID

Limitations

- BMI as the standard measure
 - Non-response
 - Weight & height
 - Variances within groups
- More analysis needed
 - Specifically using other categories
- Data is a snapshot and not longitudinal

Moving Forward

- Motivation?
 - BREQ-3 has multiple subscales which we have not yet examined.
 - Subscales may show where specific motivational challenges are
- Examining interventions addressing potential motivational issues
 - Physical Activity opportunities exist on campus as well as healthy food choices. How can we motivate college community members to engage?

References

- AJMC Staff. "A Timeline of Covid-19 Developments in 2020." AJMC, January 1, 2021. <https://www.ajmc.com/view/a-timeline-of-covid19-developments-in-2020>.
- Bovard, J. (2018, April 11). Starvation issues in universities? the real college problem is obesity. USA Today. Retrieved February 18, 2022, from <https://www.usatoday.com/story/opinion/2018/04/11/obesity-not-starvation-real-problem-universities-column/500855002/>
- CDC. (2021, August 27). *Healthy weight, nutrition and physical activity: About adult BMI*. https://www.cdc.gov/healthyweight/assessing/bmi/adult_bmi/index.html#InterpretedAdults
- Gallè, F., Sabella, E. A., Ferracuti, S., De Giglio, O., Caggiano, G., Protano, C., ... Napoli, C. (2020). Sedentary Behaviors and Physical Activity of Italian Undergraduate Students during Lockdown at the Time of CoVID-19 Pandemic. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(17), 6171. doi:10.3390/ijerph17176171
- Gallo, L. A., Gallo, T. F., Young, S. L., Moritz, K. M., & Akison, L. K. (2020). The Impact of Isolation Measures Due to COVID-19 on Energy Intake and Physical Activity Levels in Australian University Students. *Nutrients*, 12(6), 1865. doi:10.3390/nu12061865
- Greenwood, J.L.J., Joy, E.A., & Stanford, J.B. (2010). The physical activity vital sign: A primary care tool to guide counseling for obesity. *Journal of Physical Activity and Health*, 7, 571-576.
- Kumari, A., Ranjan, P., Vikram, N.K., Kaur, D., Sahu, A., Dvivedi, S.N., Baitha, U., & Goel, A. (2020). A short questionnaire to assess changes in lifestyle-related behavior during COVID-19 pandemic. *Diabetes and Metabolic Syndrome: Clinical Research and Reviews*, 14, 1697-1701.
- Lesser, I. A., & Nienhuis, C. P. (2020). The Impact of COVID-19 on Physical Activity Behavior and Well-Being of Canadians. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(11), 3899. doi:10.3390/ijerph17113899
- Markland, D. & Tobin, V. (2004). A modification of the Behavioral Regulation in Exercise Questionnaire to include an assessment of amotivation. *Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology*, 26, 191-196.
- Meiring, R. M., Gusso, S., McCullough, E., & Bradnam, L. (2021). The Effect of the COVID-19 Pandemic Movement Restrictions on Self-Reported Physical Activity and Health in New Zealand: A Cross-Sectional Survey. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 18(4), 1719. doi:10.3390/ijerph18041719
- Rosenberg, DE, Norman, GJ, Wagner, N. Patrick, K. Calfas, KJ, & Sallis, J. (2010). Reliability and validity of the Sedentary Behavior Questionnaire for adults. *Journal of Physical Activity and Health*, 7, 697-705.
- Wilson, OWA., Holland, KE., Elliot, LD., Duffey, M., & Bopp, M. (2021). The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on US college students' physical activity and mental health. *Journal of Physical Activity and Health*, 18, 272-278.
- Wilson, P.M., Rodgers, W.M., Loitz, C.C., & Scime, G. (2006). "It's who I am...really!" The importance of integrated regulation in exercise contexts. *Journal of Biobehavioral Research*, 11, 79-104.

Thank You!

Questions?

johnsonme6@etsu.edu