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In response to the shortage of special education teachers in rural areas, many teacher preparation programs are providing supervision to pre-service teachers via distance education technologies. Collins (1997) states that distance education is an option for rural educators who live at a distance from institutions of higher education (IHEs) including isolated geographic areas and/or areas of often inclement weather. Moreover, any student may have time constraints (e.g., job requirements, family responsibilities, traffic congestion in large cities) when seeking learning options (Spooner, Knight, Lo, & Wood, 2007). Collins, Schuster, and Grisham-Brown (1999) state distance education technology is growing rapidly as a means to deliver coursework, hold conferences, provide professional development, and in-service opportunities. One student wrote the following:

[Technology] is a way that many of us can continue our education that is both demanded and desired. If this program was not available, I cannot foresee how hard and long it would be to only go to school in the summer. Distance learning allows you the freedom to choose what is the best schedule that fits 'your' individual needs (Collins, 1997, p. 244).

A variety of technologies are available for course delivery (Moore & Anderson, 2003), with programs experimenting with technologies (e.g., webcams, interactive video, podcasts, Wikis, etc.) to provide support of clinical experiences (Jung, Galyon-Keramidas, Collins & Ludlow, 2006). Studies (e.g., Alger & Kopcha, 2012; Hager, Baird, & Spriggs, 2012; Koch, 2007) have shown evidence of remote observation as being beneficial to all involved, especially instructors who gain time that would have been spent in transit (Gruenhagen, McCracken, & True, 1999). Furthermore, observations may require a full day of the supervisor’s time, as well as mileage and per diem costs (Hager et al., 2012).

Remote Observation Systems across IHEs

Several IHEs use synchronous technologies to provide supervision to students limited by geographical location or personal schedules (see Table 1). Technologies include web conferencing software (e.g., Adobe Connect Pro; Hudson, Knight & Collins, 2012) and Bluetooth headsets to provide live feedback to teachers during observation (e.g., Dymond, Renzaglia, Halle, Chadsey, & Bentz, 2008; Rock et al., 2009). For example, three departments (i.e., Moderate to Severe Disabilities Program [MSD], Distance Learning Programs [DLP], and Distance Learning Networks [DLN]) at the University of Kentucky (UK) collaborated to develop a system of remote observation for student teachers (Hager et al., 2012). Adobe Connect Pro™ in conjunction with a TrackerPod® was used to pan, tilt, and zoom to observe. They used a
Palantronics Bluetooth USP headset to communicate with the teacher during and following the lesson. Typical observation time for on-site visits took an entire day, however, remote observation decreased it to a half day or less. Currently, other colleges at UK are now using the system.

At Penn State University (PSU), researchers examined the effects of a university supervisor providing five, pre-service special education teachers immediate feedback while teaching using a Bluetooth headset and web conferencing software (Scheeler, McKinnon, & Stout, 2012). A multiple baseline design across participants was used to assess the effects of the immediate feedback on the percentage of three-term contingency (TTC) trials. They selected the TTC trials because they have been predictors of effective instruction (Albers & Greer, 1991). TTC trials are simple learning units comprised of an antecedent (first term), student response (second term), and feedback to the student (third term). Social validity data were collected by questionnaire to assess the acceptability of the intervention. Results indicated that use of video conferencing and providing immediate feedback (e.g., Bluetooth) was effective in increasing the targeted technique across all 5 pre-service teachers. Criteria were met with an average of 90% or more completion over three consecutive sessions. Social validity results suggested that all participants felt comfortable wearing the Bluetooth, it was not distracting, and they enjoyed receiving immediate feedback.

San Diego University (SDU) also employed distance education technology to provide supervision (Alger & Kopcha, 2000). Concerned that their traditional model of supervision was out-of-date and unresponsive, SDU piloted an online field experience. The SDU remote observation model included the use of: (a) Moodle (a course management system), (b) web cams for video conferencing, and (c) video recorders to record teaching. Cooperating teachers were trained to provide observations, with student teachers sending in a recorded video of a lesson. Although this does not represent a live, remote observation model, the authors noted benefits of increased communication between all stakeholders.

Another example of remote supervision occurred at North Georgia College and State University (NGCSU). NGCSU used the Georgia Statewide Academic and Medical System (GSAMS) that allowed live, interactive, two-way video conferencing to supervise 25 student teachers (Gruenhagen, et al., 1999). The State of Georgia installed technology across 400 sites (e.g., private colleges, P-12 public school classrooms, rural development centers), including 16 sites with two-way video conferencing. Classrooms had two video cameras, document cameras, microphones, and fax. Authors noted that the public schools welcomed the technologies and were pleased to receive student teachers in their rural areas.

At California State University (CSU), Koch (2007) described how their online program to train rural special educators to teach social skills was enhanced by remote supervision. Many of the students were at a geographical distance, but students had synchronous and asynchronous class meetings with training videos and chat rooms to communicate with peers and the instructor. The remote observation package included both web conferencing software and headsets for real-time coaching from the remote trainer. Students were provided with follow-up supervision meetings and discussions through virtual office or the course management system. Students involved in this program at CSU highly rated both the supervision and course effectiveness.
In two studies by Dymond (2008) and Rock et al. (2009), from the University of Illinois-Champaign-Urbana and Alabama, respectively, faculty used synchronous learning environment technologies to observe special education student teachers via video conferencing and “bug-in-ear” Bluetooth systems. Dymond (2008) determined the efficacy of a two-way videoconferencing system to aid in the supervision. Inter-observer agreement (IOA) and reliability looked at the effectiveness of the video conferencing system vs. face-to-face observations. Results of the IOA ranged from 75% to 92% (mean of 86%), and suggested the onsite and remote observers saw the same instructional behaviors using the Skill Monitoring Checklist. In Rock et al. (2009), investigators also used Bluetooth technology to observe 17 teachers. Rock et al. used more than one form of technology for observations. In this study, investigators used a web-cam, Bluetooth headset, and Skype. The university supervisor gave instructions/encouragement via the Bluetooth headset while observing on the video camera. The results indicated an increase in praise statements, high access to instructional practices, and re-directs.

At Utah State University, Falconer and Linguaris/Kraft (2002), also used a remote observation system for 2 student teachers in special education and conducted a qualitative analysis to determine the effectiveness of their two-way audio/video system. The study examined the extent that two-way conferencing met the needs of the student teacher, supervisor, and cooperating teachers. For this study, researchers set up two classrooms (both located approximately 285 miles from the campus) with a computer, Internet access, a modem, and an audio/video system. Results were positive, with students reporting the technology enabled them to discuss their portfolios, show graphs, conference with the instructor, and do everything that would typically be required with traditional supervision experiences.

Using distance education technologies for course remote supervision has many advantages for IHEs. Distance education can help to: (a) increase enrollment; (b) provide access to a new population of learners; and (c) enhance quality of teaching and learning (Kearsly 2000). Helping rural educators have better access to degree programs may help to reduce the problem of teacher shortages, retention, and attrition (Ludlow, 2006; Larwood, 2005). Moreover, the use of remote supervision in rural areas can reduce travel time and costs, as well as provide increased support for all involved (e.g., Alger & Kopcha, 2009; Hager et al., 2012). For IHEs to be able to keep up with the increasing demand of special education teachers and to increase and sustain high enrollment, IHEs must embrace technology. Spooner et al. (2007), stated that the University of North Carolina-Charlotte found that by transforming their traditional Moderate and Severe Disabilities program to a synchronous online program, enrollment increased from 5 to 33 students in 1 year, with the geographic range increasing by 13 counties.

According to Prensky, “Today’s students are no longer the people our educational system was designed to teach” (p. 1). They have proficiency and the ability to use new technology (Aviles, Phillips, Rosenblatt, & Vargas, 2005). Moreover, IHEs need to become more cognizant of students’ growing use and dependency on technology (Aviles et al., 2005; Bore, 2008). To meet the diverse student population who prefer to attend courses via distance education, IHEs must find ways to motive and support faculty to develop and teach online courses (Maguire, 2005).
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Table 1

Remote Observation Technology Used Across Institutes of Higher Education for Supervising Teacher Candidates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Subjects and IHE</th>
<th>Research Design</th>
<th>Dependent Variable</th>
<th>Independent Variable</th>
<th>Technology</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alger &amp; Kopcha</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>High School General Education Certification San Diego State University</td>
<td>Qualitative, Constant-Comparative Method</td>
<td>Use of technology for field supervision</td>
<td>Did technology enhance or distract from field experience?</td>
<td>Moodle™</td>
<td>6 out of 8 participants expressed that the technology enhanced the field experience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Binner Falconer &amp; Lingugaris/Kraft</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>Special Education Practicum students, student teachers, cooperating teachers, paraprofessional, and university supervisor; Utah State University</td>
<td>Qualitative analysis of supervisor’s field notes and opinions of preservice and mentor teachers</td>
<td>Perceptions of audio/video conferencing technology; supervisor’s field notes taken during and following video conferences and observation sessions</td>
<td>Two-way conferencing technology for observation of and feedback provided to practicum and student teachers</td>
<td>Sorenson EnVision audio/video conferencing system</td>
<td>Observational benefits: access to feedback about assignments and instruction provided by practicum and student teachers; Communication benefits: access to face-to-face communication; communication enhanced in terms of frequency, immediacy; Limitations: problems with hardware and software; overcoming reactions to being on camera</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dymond, Renzaglia,</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Special Education</td>
<td>Interrater reliability</td>
<td>Use of technology</td>
<td>Can a remote</td>
<td>Polycom View Station SP™</td>
<td>There were interrater reliability scores of 75%-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Use of Technology</th>
<th>Feedback</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chadsey, &amp; Bentz</td>
<td>Supervision University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign</td>
<td>between onsite and off-site supervisors on the Skill Monitoring Checklist.</td>
<td>supervisor using technology observe the same skills as an onsite supervisor</td>
<td>92% with a mean of 86% on the Skill Monitoring Checklist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gruenhagen, McCracken, &amp; True</td>
<td>Student Teacher Observation North Georgia College and State University</td>
<td>Description of process and technology used</td>
<td>Use of technology for field supervision</td>
<td>A description of pre-conference teaching, process of children entering classroom set up with GSAMS technology and post conference teaching. There have been 25 students use this technology and the researchers “believe” this method is effective.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hagar, Baird, &amp; Spriggs</td>
<td>Moderate and Severe Disabilities Special Education Certification Program University of Kentucky</td>
<td>Description of Remote Observation Kits</td>
<td>Use of technology for field supervision</td>
<td>Can use of technology improve supervision and feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koch</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Online Supervision of Special Educators teaching social skills</td>
<td>Rating Scale of course effectiveness and self-assessment of competence of skills taught</td>
<td>Description of use of Web camera</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rock, Gregg, Thead, Acker, Gable, &amp; Zigmond</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Teachers enrolled in a field-based graduate special education teacher preparation program; The University of Alabama, Old Dominion University, and University of Pittsburgh</td>
<td>Mixed methods: Quantitative &amp; Qualitative analyses using observation of videotapes to evaluate instructional practices, student engagement, supervisor feedback</td>
<td>Immediate feedback to teachers using remoteBug-in-Ear (BIE) technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Authors</td>
<td>Study Title</td>
<td>Methodology</td>
<td>Research Question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Scheeler, McKinnon, &amp; Stout</td>
<td>Five Special education preservice teachers in practicum placements</td>
<td>Multiple baseline across participants</td>
<td>Percentage of three-term contingency trials (TTC: teacher-presented antecedent, student response, and specific feedback) completed by the teacher</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>