

7-26-2017

Assessing Journal Quality in Mathematics Education

Samuel Otten

University of Missouri-Columbia, USA

Ryan Andrew Nivens

East Tennessee State University, nivens@etsu.edu

Follow this and additional works at: <https://dc.etsu.edu/etsu-works>



Part of the [Scholarly Communication Commons](#), and the [Science and Mathematics Education Commons](#)

Citation Information

Otten, Samuel; and Nivens, Ryan Andrew. 2017. Assessing Journal Quality in Mathematics Education. Poster presentation. *13th International Congress on Mathematical Education (ICME-13)*, Hamburg, Germany. <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.1.1336.0888>

This Presentation is brought to you for free and open access by the Faculty Works at Digital Commons @ East Tennessee State University. It has been accepted for inclusion in ETSU Faculty Works by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons @ East Tennessee State University. For more information, please contact digilib@etsu.edu.

Assessing Journal Quality in Mathematics Education

Introduction

- The quality of academic journals can be assessed in several ways—through acceptance rates, prestige of editors and editorial board members, and a track record of publishing landmark studies in a field.
- One of the most important characteristics of a journal is the impact its contents make on subsequent scholarship as measured by citations. In the modern age of technology and “big data,” it is now easier than ever to generate a publication’s citation count—that is, how many times it has been cited by other peer-reviewed publications (Garfield, 1963).
- The sources and targets of the citations can be identified and aggregated in various ways (e.g., Jiménez-Fanjul, Maz-Machado, & Bracho-López, 2013), allowing for complex measures of journal quality and impact to be constructed.
- These measures are relevant to scholars as they seek to disseminate their work and maximize impact on the field.
- It is also relevant to university administrators as they decide how to use these technological capabilities to assess the scholarship of their faculty (Howard, 2013; Shapiro, 2006).

Defining Top Tier

- Within the broad field of education and educational research, there has frequently been talk of “top-tier” journals and what constitutes quality research (e.g., Hostetler, 2005). Mathematics education, in particular, is typically treated as a sub-field of education and educational research.
- Recently, Toerner and Arzarello (2012) presented the results of a survey completed by 75 experts in mathematics education representing 32 countries, who were asked to assign letter grades to various internationally-reaching journals in mathematics education. Their survey initially included 28 journals, but the results yielded rankings for only 17 because the respondents were not sufficiently familiar with some of the journals.
- Williams and Leatham (unpublished manuscript, 2009) conducted a similar survey, involving 46 scholars within the United States, and asked them to rate 22 journals or proceedings. They produced a set of Tier 1, Tier 2, and Tier 3 dissemination venues in mathematics education based both on survey responses and citation counts. Although unpublished, their work has been shared with many scholars and used in tenure-and-promotion dossiers. Did anyone here participate in their survey?

Table 1 Recent journal rankings according to surveys of scholars

Tier	Williams & Leatham (unpublished manuscript)	Toerner & Arzarello (2012)
1*	JRME	JRME
1*	ESM	ESM
1	JMTE	JMTE
1	MTL	MTL
1	JMB	JMB
1	FLM	FLM
1		ZDM
2	MERJ	MERJ
2	IJMEST	IJMEST
2	RME	RME
2	IJSME	IJSME
2		RDM
2	IML (FOCUS)	
2	MTED	
2	SSM	
2	MTMS	
2	TCM	
2	MT	
3	PME (proceedings)	
3	PMENA (proceedings)	
3	GITTE	
3	PRIMUS	
3	TMEiast	TMEiast
3	TMEor	
3		CJSMTE
3		JMD
3		NOMAD
3		TKL

Research Questions

In this study, we triangulate the rankings in Table 1 with other measures of journal quality offered through database algorithms. In particular, we address the following questions:

- What are the rankings of journals in mathematics education?
- To what extent is there overlap and agreement among the survey and database rankings?

Method

- Collected a set of journals explicitly focused on mathematics education
- Started with Toerner and Arzarello (2012)
- Used <http://mathedjournals.wikispaces.com>
- Internet searches
- Reference tracking (looking in reference lists of journals listed in Toerner & Arzarello)

Not included:

- those that sometimes publish mathematics education articles (e.g., AERJ, Cognition & Instruction, etc.)
- Not included: Regional journals

Table 2 2014 Web of Science mathematics education journals

Rank	Journal	IF	IF without self citations
1	JRME	1.233	0.906
2	EJMSTE	1.016	0.790
3	ESM	0.579	0.386
4	IJSME	0.575	0.450
5	RELME	0.400	0.400
6	MTL	0.400	0.320

Table 4 2015 GSM for journals in Mathematics Education that have an h5 value and at least ranking from the survey-based studies

Journal	h5-index	Williams & Leatham Tier	Toerner & Arzarello Tier
ESM	28	1*	1*
ZDM	22	--	1
JRME	22	1*	1*
IJSME	21	2	2
JMTE	20	1	1
JMB	15	1	1
SSM	16	2	--
IJMEST	15	2	2
CJSMTE	12	--	3
RME	11	2	2
TMEiast	11	3	3
MERJ	10	2	2
PRIMUS	9	3	--
MT	8	2	--
MTMS	8	2	--
TCM	8	2	--

Table 3 2014 Scopus data for journals in mathematics education compared with two survey-based studies, ranked in order by SJR score

Journal	SJR	Williams & Leatham Tier	Toerner & Arzarello Tier
JRME	1.976	1*	1*
MTL	1.103	1	1
ESM	1.042	1*	1*
JMTE	0.874	1	1
JMB	0.853	1	1
IJSME	0.668	2	2
ZDM	0.647	--	1
MERJ	0.603	2	2
JMD	0.363	--	3
IJMEST	0.336	2	2
PRIMUS	0.305	3	--
CJSMTE	0.281	--	3
RME	0.224	2	2
TMEiast	0.137	3	3

Points of Interest

- Web of Science is so limited that it is essentially useless within mathematics education.
- Scopus includes the major research journals in our field, with the exception of SSM, but it does not include the major practitioner journals of MT, MTMS, and TCM.
- While we recognize that practitioner journals measure their impact in circulation and use by teachers, it is beneficial to see them at least included in GSM to show that they are also a legitimate venue for disseminating scholarship.
- GSM, which one might expect to encompass all journals given the breadth of Google Scholar’s search range, was actually less inclusive than Scopus with respect to major journals in the field.
- Because of the imposed five-year minimum of 100 articles, GSM excluded MTL, FLM, JSE, JMD, and TKL, all of which appeared in Toerner and Arzarello (2012) and in the top 20 in Scopus (FLM did not appear in Scopus 2014).
- New journals, such as MTE and IJSTEM, were not included in any of the rankings because they have not existed long enough to produce IF, SJR, or h5 measures.

References

- Adireddi, A., Alexander, N., & Andrews-Larson, C. (2015). Conceptualizing equity in undergraduate mathematics education: Lessons from K-12 research. *Electronic Proceedings for the Eighteenth Special Interest Group of the MAA on Research on Undergraduate Mathematics Education*. Pittsburgh, PA.
- Baker, T. (2015). An evaluation of journal impact factors: A case study of the top three journals ranked in criminology and penology. *The Criminologist*, 40(5), 5-10.
- Bar-Ilan, J. (2010, March 01). Citations to the “Introduction to informetrics” indexed by WOS, Scopus and Google Scholar. *Scientometrics: an International Journal for All Quantitative Aspects of the Science of Science, Communication in Science and Science Policy*, 82(3), 495-506. doi:10.1007/s11192-010-0185-9
- Butler, D. (2008, January 3). Free journal-ranking tool enters citation market. *Nature*, 451, 6. doi:10.1038/451006a
- Cabral, L. (1993). *Journal Reputation as a Self-Reinforcing Mechanism*. Center for Economic Policy Research, Stanford University.
- Costas, R., & Bordons, M. (2007). The h-index: Advantages, limitations and its relation with other bibliometric indicators at the micro level. *Journal of Informetrics*, 1(3), 193-203. doi:10.1016/j.joi.2007.02.001
- Delgado López-Cózar, E., Robinson-García, N., & Torres-Salinas, D. (2014). The Google Scholar experiment: How to index false papers and manipulate bibliometric indicators. *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology*, 65(3), 446-454. doi:10.1002/asi.23056
- Egghe, L. (2006). Theory and practice of the g-index. *Scientometrics*, 69(1), 131-152.
- Erl, H., Zierer, K., Phillips, D., & Tippelt, R. (2013). Analysis of academic journals in education: A comparison of publication patterns in England and Germany. *Research in Comparative and International Education*, 8(1), 1-16. doi:10.2304/rcie.2013.8.1.1
- Erl, H., Zierer, K., Phillips, D., & Tippelt, R. (2015). Disciplinary traditions and the dissemination of knowledge: An international comparison of publication patterns in journals of education. *Oxford Review of Education*, 41(1), 64-88. doi:10.1080/03054985.2014.1001350
- Garfield, E. (1972). Citation analysis as a tool in journal evaluation. *Science*, 178, 471-479.
- González-Pereira, B., Guerrero-Bote, V. P., & Moya-Angón, F. (2010, July 01). A new approach to the metric of journals’ scientific prestige: The SJR indicator. *Journal of Informetrics*, 4(3), 379-391. doi:10.1016/j.joi.2010.03.002
- Guide to the Journal Citation Reports (JCR) database. (2011). Retrieved from <http://www.library.unt.ac.nz/?p=147659>
- Hirsch, J. E. (2005). An index to quantify an individual’s scientific research output. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 102(46), 16569-16572. doi:10.1073/pnas.0507655102
- Howard, J. (2013). Rise of ‘almetrics’ revives questions about how to measure impact of research. *The Chronicle of Higher Education*, 59(38), A6-A7. Retrieved from <http://chronicle.com/article/Rise-of-Almetrics-Revives/139557>
- Jacobs, V. R., Lamb, L. L., & Philipp, R. A. (2010). Professional noticing of children’s mathematical thinking. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education*, 41(2), 169-202.
- Jacsó, P. (November 01, 2009). Google Scholar’s Ghost Authors. *Library Journal*, 134(18), 26-27.
- Jacsó, P. (2012). Using Google Scholar for journal impact factors and the h-index in nationwide publishing assessments in academia—siren songs and air-raised sirens. *Online Information Review*, 36(3), 462-478. Retrieved from <http://www.emeraldinsight.com/doi/pdfplus/10.1108/14684521211241503>
- Jiménez-Fanjul, N., Maz-Machado, A., & Bracho-López, R. (2013). Bibliometric analysis of the mathematics education journals in the SSCI. *International Journal of Research in Social Sciences*, 2(3), 26-32. Retrieved from http://www.usk.org/uploads/3/1/1/7/3117743/3_social_journals.pdf
- Larsen, P., & von Ims, M. (2010). The rate of growth in scientific publication and the decline in coverage provided by Science Citation Index. *Scientometrics*, 84(3), 575-603. doi:10.1007/s11192-010-0202-z
- Lawrence, P. A. (2003). The politics of publication: Authors, reviewers, and editors must act to protect the quality of research. *Nature*, 422, 259-261.
- Leatham, K. R., & Winiecke, T. (2014). The case of the Case of Benny: Elucidating the influence of a landmark study in mathematics education. *Journal of Mathematical Behavior*, 35(1), 101-109.
- Martin, D. B., & Larnell, G. V. (2013). Urban mathematics education. In H. R. Milner & K. Lomtey (Eds.), *Handbook of Urban Education* (pp. 373-393). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Matthews, L. E. (2008). Illuminating urban excellence: A movement of change within mathematics education. *Journal of Urban Mathematics Education*, 1(1), 1-4.
- Orduña-Malea, E., Ayllón, J. M., Martín-Martín, A., & Delgado López-Cózar, E. (2014). About the size of Google Scholar: Playing the numbers. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1407.6239*.
- Peters, L., & Bar-Ilan, J. (2014, January 01). Informetrics, bibliometrics, almetrics: What is it all about? *Proceedings of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 51(1), 1-4. doi:10.1002/meet.2014.145051015
- Segalla, M. (2008). Publishing in the right place or publishing the right thing: journal targeting and citations’ strategies for promotion and tenure committees. *European Journal of International Management*, 2(2), 122-127. doi:10.1504/EJIM.2008.017765
- Shapiro, H. N. (2006). Promotion & tenure & the scholarship of teaching & learning. *Change: The Magazine of Higher Learning*, 38(2), 38-43.
- Star, J., & Rittle-Johnson, B. (2016). Toward an educational psychology of mathematics education. In L. Corno & E. M. Anderman (Eds.), *Handbook of Educational Psychology* (pp. 257-268). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Starbuck, W. H. (2005). How much better are the most-prestigious journals? The statistics of academic publication. *Organization Science*, 16(2), 180-200.
- Toerner, G., & Arzarello, F. (2012, December). Grading mathematics education research journals. *Newsletter of the European Mathematical Society*, 86, 52-54.
- van Aalst, J. (2010, January 01). Using Google scholar to estimate the impact of journal articles in education. *Educational Researcher*, 39(5), 387-400. doi:10.3102/0013189X10371120
- Vanclay, J. K. (2012). Impact factor: outdated artefact or stepping-stone to journal certification? *Scientometrics*, 92(2), 211-238. doi:10.1007/s11192-011-0561-0
- Waltman, L., & van Eck, N. J. (2012, February 01). The inconsistency of the h-index. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 63(2), 406-415. doi:10.1002/asi.21678
- Williams, S., & Leatham, K. (n.d.). *Report on venue study*. Provo, UT: Department of Mathematics Education, Brigham Young University.

Acknowledgements and Contact Information

Samuel Otten, Ph.D.
 Assistant Professor | Department of Learning, Teaching, and Curriculum
 College of Education | University of Missouri | Columbia, MO 65211 USA
ottensa@missouri.edu
 573-882-6231
 Researchgate: https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Samuel_Otten
 LinkedIn: <https://www.linkedin.com/pub/sam-otten/12/63a/957>

Ryan Nivens, Ph.D.
 Associate Professor | Center of Excellence in Mathematics and Science Education
 East Tennessee State University | PO Box 70684 | Johnson City, TN 37614 USA
nivensa@etsu.edu
 423-439-7529 phone | 423-439-7530 fax
 Researchgate: http://www.researchgate.net/profile/Ryan_Nivens/
 LinkedIn: <http://www.linkedin.com/in/ryannivens>