#### East Tennessee State University

# Digital Commons @ East Tennessee State University

#### **ETSU Faculty Works**

**Faculty Works** 

1-1-2018

# A New Species of Gulo From the Early Pliocene Gray Fossil Site (Eastern United States); Rethinking the Evolution of Wolverines

Joshua X. Samuels East Tennessee State University, samuelsjx@etsu.edu

Keila E. Bredehoeft East Tennessee State University, zkeb4@etsu.edu

Steven C. Wallace East Tennessee State University, wallaces@etsu.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://dc.etsu.edu/etsu-works

#### **Citation Information**

Samuels, Joshua X.; Bredehoeft, Keila E.; and Wallace, Steven C.. 2018. A New Species of Gulo From the Early Pliocene Gray Fossil Site (Eastern United States); Rethinking the Evolution of Wolverines. *PeerJ*. Vol.2018(4). https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.4648

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Faculty Works at Digital Commons @ East Tennessee State University. It has been accepted for inclusion in ETSU Faculty Works by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons @ East Tennessee State University. For more information, please contact digilib@etsu.edu.

# A New Species of Gulo From the Early Pliocene Gray Fossil Site (Eastern United States); Rethinking the Evolution of Wolverines

# **Copyright Statement**

Distributed under Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0

# **Creative Commons License**



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

# Peer

# A new species of *Gulo* from the Early Pliocene Gray Fossil Site (Eastern United States); rethinking the evolution of wolverines

#### Joshua X. Samuels<sup>1,2</sup>, Keila E. Bredehoeft<sup>2</sup> and Steven C. Wallace<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Geosciences, East Tennessee State University, Johnson City, TN, United States of America

<sup>2</sup> Don Sundquist Center of Excellence in Paleontology, East Tennessee State University, Johnson City, TN,

United States of America

# ABSTRACT

The wolverine (Gulo gulo) is the largest living terrestrial member of the Mustelidae; a versatile predator formerly distributed throughout boreal regions of North America and Eurasia. Though commonly recovered from Pleistocene sites across their range, pre-Pleistocene records of the genus are exceedingly rare. Here, we describe a new species of Gulo from the Gray Fossil Site in Tennessee. Based on biostratigraphy, a revised estimate of the age of the Gray Fossil Site is Early Pliocene, near the Hemphillian-Blancan transition, between 4.9 and 4.5 Ma. This represents the earliest known occurrence of a wolverine, more than one million years earlier than any other record. The new species of wolverine described here shares similarities with previously described species of Gulo, and with early fishers (Pekania). As the earliest records of both Gulo and Pekania are known from North America, this suggests the genus may have evolved in North America and dispersed to Eurasia later in the Pliocene. Both fauna and flora at the Gray Fossil Site are characteristic of warm/humid climates, which suggests wolverines may have become 'cold-adapted' relatively recently. Finally, detailed comparison indicates *Plesiogulo*, which has often been suggested to be ancestral to *Gulo*, is not likely closely related to gulonines, and instead may represent convergence on a similar niche.

Subjects Evolutionary Studies, Paleontology Keywords Guloninae, Mustelidae, Carnivora

# INTRODUCTION

Wolverines (*Gulo gulo*) are the largest living terrestrial mustelid, have a circumboreal Holarctic historic distribution, and have commonly been considered a 'cold-adapted' species (*Bonifay, 1971; Pasitschniak-Arts & Larivière, 1995; Zigouris et al., 2013*). Their diet is best characterized as being that of an opportunistic hypercarnivore, either ambushing and/or chasing prey or scavenging carcasses (*Pasitschniak-Arts & Larivière, 1995*). Though primarily terrestrial, they are capable climbers, swimmers, and diggers (*Pasitschniak-Arts & Larivière, 1995*; and citations therein). Though wolverines are well-known, their fossil record is relatively sparse and their origin has been controversial (ex. *Kurtén, 1970; Harrison, 1981*).

Submitted 20 January 2018 Accepted 30 March 2018 Published 18 April 2018

Corresponding author Joshua X. Samuels, samuelsjx@etsu.edu

Academic editor Laura Wilson

Additional Information and Declarations can be found on page 21

DOI 10.7717/peerj.4648

Copyright 2018 Samuels et al.

Distributed under Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0

OPEN ACCESS



**Figure 1** Distribution of *Gulo* through time in (A) North America and (B) Eurasia. Current range (light gray) and historic range (medium gray) are based on *Pasitschniak-Arts & Larivière* (1995), *Zigouris et al.* (2013); Pleistocene range (dark gray) is based on localities where fossils of *Gulo* have been found and reported in a wide range of literature sources. The only two known Pliocene occurrences, the Gray Fossil Site in Tennessee and Udunga Fauna of Russia, are highlighted with an asterisk. Terrain base map from ESRI ArcMap 10.5.

Full-size DOI: 10.7717/peerj.4648/fig-1

Fossil wolverines have been described from many Pleistocene and Holocene sites in North America and Eurasia, including quite a few outside of the species' historic distribution (Fig. 1, Table S1 and citations therein). *Bryant (1987)* compared a large sample of *Gulo* from the Pleistocene of the Yukon to extant populations and to other Pleistocene records of the genus from North America, which had been described as *Gulo gidleyi (Hall, 1936)* and later referred to *Gulo schlosseri (Kurtén & Anderson, 1980)*. While *Bryant (1987)* found some small differences in average size, there was substantial overlap in size ranges and morphology between samples, leading him to conclude that all Quaternary *Gulo* should be treated as a single species. He did caution that a thorough study of Asian and European specimens was needed (*Bryant, 1987*), and several subsequent papers, largely focused on European material, have maintained *G. schlosseri* as a separate species (*Pasitschniak-Arts & Larivière, 1995; Kolfschoten, 2001; Nadachowski et al., 2011; Krajcarz, 2012*). Until a detailed analysis of specimens from all continents is undertaken, we will follow the taxonomy for Pleistocene wolverines from Eurasia in those studies. Specifically, following *Bryant (1987)*, all Quaternary records of *Gulo* in North America are considered *G. gulo* here.

The origin of wolverines has long been controversial (ex. Zdansky, 1924; Viret, 1939; Kurtén, 1970; Hendey, 1978; Harrison, 1981; Alcalá, Montoya & Morales, 1994; Pasitschniak-Arts & Larivière, 1995; Montoya, Morales & Abella, 2011); mostly due to the sparse pre-Pleistocene records. Only a single record of Gulo prior to the Quaternary has been reported; Gulo minor from the middle Pliocene age Udunga fauna from the Transbaikal region of Russia (Sotnikova, 1982; Sotnikova, 1995; Erbajeva & Alexeeva, 2013). G. minor is morphologically similar to, but substantially smaller than G. gulo. It falls below the range of size variation reported for large extant samples of G. gulo (Bryant, 1987; Anderson, 1998), as well as fossil samples from Europe (Kormos, 1914; Bonifay, 1971; Kurtén, 1973; Döppes, 2001) and North America (Gidley & Gazin, 1938; Kurtén, 1973; Bryant, 1987; Anderson, 1998). Plesiogulo, known from Middle to Late Miocene of Eurasia, Late Miocene and Early Pliocene of North America, and Late Miocene to Early Pliocene of Africa, has often been discussed as a potential close relative of Gulo. While some authors have considered Plesiogulo to be directly ancestral to Gulo (Viret, 1939; Kurtén, 1970; Pasitschniak-Arts & Larivière, 1995), others considered such a line of descent to be unlikely (Zdansky, 1924; Webb, 1969; Hendey, 1978; Harrison, 1981; Xu & Wei, 1987; Alcalá, Montoya & Morales, 1994; Montoya, Morales & Abella, 2011). Alternatively, some authors have suggested independent origins of Gulo and Plesiogulo, with Gulo originating from a fisher or marten-like ancestor in the late Miocene (Samuels & Cavin, 2013) and Plesiogulo from an early Miocene ischyrictine like Iberictis (Ginsburg & Morales, 1992; Alcalá, Montoya & Morales, 1994; Montoya, Morales & Abella, 2011).

Molecular data from a wide range of studies indicate Gulo is part of a clade (Guloninae) that includes fishers (*Pekania*), martens (*Martes*), and the tayra (*Eira*). Among gulonines, wolverines have been consistently found to be most closely related to martens, which form a sister group to the fisher (Koepfli et al., 2008; Wolsan & Sato, 2010; Sato et al., 2012; Li et al., 2014; Malyarchuk, Derenko & Denisova, 2015; Zhu et al., 2016). Koepfli et al. (2008) estimated the Guloninae diverged from other mustelids around 11.0 Ma (95% CI [9.4–12.5 Ma]); whereas Sato et al. (2012) estimated 12.65 Ma (95% CI [10.83–14.72 Ma]). Li et al. (2014) examined the complete mitochondrial genomes of gulonines and estimated the Gulo-Martes clade diverged from Pekania between 8.9 and 7.05 Ma, most likely around 7.6 Ma, while the divergence of Gulo and Martes was between 7.6 and 5.3 Ma, most likely around 6.4-6.3 Ma. Similarly, Malyarchuk, Derenko & Denisova (2015) estimated the divergence of Gulo and Martes to be around 5.6 Ma (95% CI [6.3-4.9] Ma). These estimates coincide with the earliest records of definite gulonine mustelids in the late Miocene, namely Pekania occulta from North America and P. palaeosinensis from Asia (Wang, Tseng & Takeuchi, 2012; Samuels & Cavin, 2013), and Martes ginsburgi and M. wenzensis from Europe (Stach, 1959; Wolsan, 1989a; Anderson, 1994; Sato et al., 2003; Montoya, Morales & Abella, 2011). Each of these molecular estimates for the divergence of Gulo from other closely related gulonines (Martes and Pekania) are long after the earliest records of Plesiogulo, which date to the middle Miocene (MN6) of Turkey and Kazakhstan, between 15.2 and 12.5 Ma (Schmidt-Kittler, 1976; Montoya, Morales & Abella, 2011). Though it seems clear that *Plesiogulo* falls along a different lineage, new and/or older records could clarify the origin of Gulo.

Here, we describe a new species of *Gulo* from the Early Pliocene age Gray Fossil Site of Tennessee (Fig. 1). The specimen described here represents the earliest record of *Gulo* and predates other records of the genus by over one million years. Similarity of this new species to early fishers (*Pekania*), suggests that wolverines may have originated in North America. In light of this discovery and other fossil records of *Gulo*, we also discuss the historical biogeography and ecology of wolverines, as well as their commonly accepted 'cold-adapted' nature.

### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The electronic version of this article in Portable Document Format (PDF) will represent a published work according to the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature (ICZN), and hence the new names contained in the electronic version are effectively published under that Code from the electronic edition alone. This published work and the nomenclatural acts it contains have been registered in ZooBank, the online registration system for the ICZN. The ZooBank LSIDs (Life Science Identifiers) can be resolved and the associated information viewed through any standard web browser by appending the LSID to the prefix http://zoobank.org/. The LSID for this publication is: [29DF929D-D054-4912-A2B1-FFEEFD4BDE1B]. The online version of this work is archived and available from the following digital repositories: PeerJ, PubMed Central and CLOCKSS.

Dental nomenclature follows *Ginsburg* (1999). Measurements of the teeth, to the nearest 0.01 mm, were made using Mitutoyo Absolute digital calipers. Measurements include anteroposterior length and transverse breadth of the teeth. For the P4, maximum transverse breadth was measured at both the protocone (P4W<sub>pro</sub>) and the metastyle (P4W<sub>met</sub>), and for the M1 lengths were measured for both inner (M1L<sub>int</sub>) and outer lobes (M1L<sub>ext</sub>).

Measurements were taken, and comparisons were made, with specimens of extant and extinct mustelids from several collections. Extant samples included *Gulo gulo* (n = 36), *Pekania pennanti* (n = 22), *Martes americana* (n = 11), *Martes flavigula* (n = 1), *Martes foina* (n = 1), *Martes martes* (n = 1), *Eira barbara* (n = 5); this includes all extant gulonine species other than *Martes melampus* and *Martes zibellina*. Fossil samples included Pleistocene and Holocene specimens of *Gulo* and *Pekania* from North America, late Miocene specimens of *Pekania occulta* and *Plesiogulo marshalli* from North America, as well as casts of *Pekania palaeosinensis* from Asia and *Plesiogulo* from North America. All material was also compared to specimens and measurements in a wide range of publications (including *Zdansky*, *1924*; *Gidley & Gazin*, *1933*; *Gidley & Gazin*, *1938*; *Chardin & Leroy*, *1945*; *Stach*, *1959*; *Anderson*, *1970*; *Anderson*, *1998*; *Kurtén*, *1970*; *Kurtén*, *1973*; *Hendey*, *1978*; *Harrison*, *1981*; *Bryant*, *1987*; *Wolsan*, *1989a*; *Wolsan*, *1989b*; *Ginsburg & Morales*, *1992*; *Döppes*, *2001*; *Haile-Selassie*, *Hlusko & Howell*, *2004*; *Montoya*, *Morales & Abella*, *2011*; *Peigné*, *2012*; *Wang*, *Tseng & Takeuchi*, *2012*). Complete measurement data for all mustelids studied are included in Table S2.

Fossil and modern specimens used for comparative illustrations were photographed with the alveolar margin of the upper carnassial (P4) parallel to the photographic plane. This is important to note, as most published fossil mustelids have been photographed with the palate parallel to the photographic plane (Fig. S1A); in that orientation the alveolar margins of the cheek teeth are at a slightly oblique angle, with the lateral portions slightly ventral to the medial. A consequence of this difference in photography and illustration methodology is that some of the lingual portions of the tooth, like the P4 lingual cingulum, are not obscured using our methodology (Fig. S1B).

Specimen Repositories—ETMNH, East Tennessee State University Museum of Natural History—Fossil Collection, Gray, Tennessee; ETVP, East Tennessee State University Museum of Natural History—Comparative Collection, Johnson City, Tennessee; LACM, Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County, Los Angeles, California; MVZ, Museum of Vertebrate Zoology, University of California, Berkeley, California; UCLA, Donald R. Dickey Collection of the University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California; USNM, United States National Museum of Natural History (Smithsonian Institution), Washington, D.C.

# **GEOLOGICAL SETTING**

The Gray Fossil Site in northeastern Tennessee includes deposits that represent an ancient sinkhole containing a small, but deep lake, which gradually filled with sediment (Shunk, Driese & Clark, 2006; Shunk, Driese & Dunbar, 2009). Sediment cores have revealed a series of rhythmites in the upper lacustrine strata, which alternate between fine-grained silty clay layers and coarse-grained, organic rich layers (Shunk, Driese & Clark, 2006; Shunk, Driese & Dunbar, 2009). Shunk, Driese & Dunbar (2009) estimated the sinkhole lake filled with sediment in approximately 4,500 to 11,000 years. Within the sedimentary layers are well-preserved and diverse flora and fauna (ex. Parmalee et al., 2002; Wallace & Wang, 2004; Mead et al., 2012; Zobaa et al., 2011; Ochoa et al., 2012; Ochoa et al., 2016; Worobiec, Liu & Zavada, 2013), which indicate a forested environment was present. Macro- and microfossils indicate the presence of a forest dominated by oak (Quercus), hickory (Carya), and pine (Pinus), as well as a variety of herbaceous taxa (Ochoa et al., 2016; and references therein). Isotopic analyses of carbon and oxygen from teeth of ungulates at the site indicate a relatively dense forest, with some more open grass-dominated habitats nearby, and climate with little seasonal temperature and precipitation variation (DeSantis & Wallace, 2008). Ochoa et al. (2016) interpreted the flora at the Gray Fossil Site as indicative of a woodland or woodland savanna environment characterized by frequent disturbance. The occurrence of bald cypress (Taxodium) and tupelo (Nyssa) leaves and pollen at Gray (Brandon, 2013; Worobiec, Liu & Zavada, 2013) suggest the presence of humid riparian or wetland areas. Fauna includes many taxa indicative of aquatic environments, such as fish, neotenic salamanders, aquatic turtles, Alligator, and beavers (Parmalee et al., 2002; Boardman & Schubert, 2011; Mead et al., 2012; Jasinski, 2013; Bourque & Schubert, 2015).

Age of the Gray Fossil Site has been previously reported as constrained between 7 and 4.5 Ma, based on the stratigraphic ranges of the rhino *Teleoceras* and ursid *Plionarctos* (*Wallace & Wang, 2004*). *Teleoceras* has commonly been considered an index taxon for the Hemphillian NALMA, but it is worth noting that there are several Pliocene records of *Teleoceras*, including Blancan age specimens from White Bluffs in Washington (*Gustafson*,

2012), Beck Ranch in Texas (*Madden & Dalquest, 1990*), and Saw Rock Canyon in Kansas (*Prothero & Manning, 1987*). It is important to note that the record from Beck Ranch in Texas has been interpreted as a tooth reworked from older sediments (*Prothero, 2005*) and the report from Kansas does not refer to any cataloged specimens, but the presence of *Ogmodontomys sawrockensis* indicates Saw Rock Canyon is Blancan in age (*Martin & Peláez-Campomanes, 2014; Martin, Peláez-Campomanes & Viriot, 2017*). Some of the latest rhino records in North America may be younger than 4.5 Ma and potentially as young as 3.5 Ma (*Gustafson, 2012*). *Gustafson (2012*) asserted that such records indicate that the presence of *Teleoceras* at a site is insufficient justification for assigning a Hemphillian age to the fauna, as has been done for a variety of eastern U.S. localities, specifically the Palmetto fauna (*Webb et al., 2008*) and Pipe Creek Sinkhole (*Farlow et al., 2001*; though see (*Martin, Goodwin & Farlow, 2002; Martin, 2010*) for a revised age of that site).

A number of recently identified taxa from the Gray Fossil Site have good fossil records and limited stratigraphic ranges. Based on first and last appearance data (FAD, LAD) of these taxa derived from the MIOMAP/FAUNMAP Databases (Carrasco et al., 2007; Graham & Lundeliu Jr, 2010; http://www.ucmp.berkeley.edu/neomap/), NOW Database (Fortelius, 2013; http://pantodon.science.helsinki.fi/now/), and recent publications, we can produce a revised estimate of the age of the Gray Fossil Site. The cricetids Neotoma and Symmetrodontomys have their first appearances near the Hemphillian—Blancan transition, approximately 4.9 or 4.8 Ma (Martin, 2000; Martin, Goodwin & Farlow, 2002; Bell et al., 2004; Lindsay, 2008; Martin, Peláez-Campomanes & Viriot, 2017). The leporid Notolagus *lepusculus* and the mephitid *Buisnictis breviramus* appeared in, and are restricted to, the early Blancan (FAD 4.9 Ma). Among other taxa at the site are the cricetid Repomys (FAD 6.6 Ma) and the leporid Alilepus vagus (FAD 5.9), which appeared in the Hemphillian and survived into the Blancan. The dromomerycid Pediomeryx appeared earlier in the Miocene, but disappeared near the Hemphillian—Blancan transition (LAD 4.7 Ma) (Voorhies, 1990; Janis & Manning, 1998). However, a recently described record of Pediomeryx from the Lee Creek Mine Local Fauna in North Carolina (Eshelman & Whitmore Jr, 2008) is early Blancan in age, as Marx & Fordyce (2015) bracket the age of the lower Yorktown Formation (including Lee Creek Mine) between 4.9 and 3.9 Ma.

In sum, none of the mammal genera at the Gray Fossil Site are restricted to the late Miocene or Hemphillian NALMA, and some taxa are actually characteristic of early Blancan (Early Pliocene) faunas. For example, the Gray Fossil Site and the early Blancan age Beck Ranch fauna in Texas (*Dalquest*, 1978) share the following (*Teleoceras, Neotoma, Symmetrodontomys, Notolagus lepusculus, Buisnictis breviramus*). Other than *Neotoma*, none of these taxa survived later than the early Blancan (~2.5 Ma; *Bell et al., 2004*). Considering the Gray Fossil Site fauna in total, a revised estimate of the age of the Gray Fossil Site is Early Pliocene near the Hemphillian–Blancan transition, likely between 4.9 and 4.5 Ma (Fig. 2).



**Figure 2** Stratigraphic ranges of selected mammals from the Gray Fossil Site in Tennessee. The black bars indicate stratigraphic ranges of genera and species based on first and last appearance dates (data sources are listed in the Geologic Setting section). Overlap in ranges of taxa, between 4.9 and 4.5 Ma, is highlighted with a gray bar.

Full-size DOI: 10.7717/peerj.4648/fig-2

#### RESULTS

#### Systematic paleontology

Class MAMMALIA Linnaeus, 1758 Order CARNIVORA Bowdich, 1821 Family MUSTELIDAE Fischer von Waldheim, 1817 Subfamily GULONINAE Gray, 1825 Genus Gulo Pallas, 1780

**Type Species**—*Gulo gulo*.

**Included Species**—*Gulo minor, Gulo schlosseri, Gulo sudorus* (new species). **Distribution**—Early Pliocene of Tennessee, middle Pliocene of Russia, Pleistocene to recent of North America and Eurasia (see Table S1 for a listing of fossil sites).

*Gulo sudorus* New Species (Fig. 3, Table 1)

**Holotype**—ETMNH 3663, partial right maxilla with P2 and P4. **Locality**—Gray Fossil Site, Washington County, Tennessee. **Age**—Early Pliocene (earliest Blancan).

**Diagnosis**—P2 broad and robust, and P4 enlarged with a broad paracone and metastyle; these features are typical of *Gulo*, but not observed in any other gulonines. P4 protocone anteriorly positioned and infraorbital foramen is oval, unlike *Eira*. P4 lacks an external median rootlet, unlike *Pekania*. P4 protocone and parastyle larger than in extant *Gulo gulo* 

Table 1Dental measurements (in mm) of gulonines and some other mustelid species. Measurements derived from literature sources include the following: Gulogulo (Holocene) from Anderson (1998), Gulo gulo (Pleistocene) from Döppes (2001) and Baryshnikov (2015), Gulo schlosseri from Gidley & Gazin (1933) ("Gulo gidleyi")and Bonifay (1971), Iberictis azanzae from Ginsburg & Morales, 1992, Plesiogulo brachygnathus from Zdansky (1924), P. crassa and P. praecocidens from Kurtén (1970), P.lindsayi and P. marshalli from Harrison (1981), P. monspessulanus from Hendey (1978), P. botori from Haile-Selassie, Hlusko & Howell (2004), Sminthosinis bowleri fromBjork (1970). Pekania diluviana from Gidley & Gazin (1933), P. palaeosinensis from Zdansky (1924) and Wang, Tseng & Takeuchi (2012), Ischyrictis zibethoides from Peigné(2012), Martes ginsburgi from Montoya, Morales & Abella (2011), and M. wenzensis from Stach (1959). Complete listing of measurements for individuals are included in<br/>Table S2. A dagger symbol (†) is used to represent extinct species.

cimen No.	Locality	P2L	P2W	P4L	P4Wpro	P4W <sub>met</sub>	M1Lext	M1L <sub>int</sub>	M1W	P2L/P4L	P4W <sub>pro</sub> / P4L	P4W <sub>met</sub> / P4L	P4L/ M1L <sub>int</sub>
MNH 3663	Gray Fossil Site, TN	7.93	6.05	21.23	13.19	8.09				0.374	0.621	0.381	
an ( <i>n</i> = 36) n. x.	Various	6.49 5.40 7.80	4.38 3.70 5.29	19.79 17.70 23.90	11.49 10.30 14.04	7.28 6.30 9.30	7.10 6.16 8.12	8.02 7.29 8.77	13.89 12.88 14.92	0.328 0.303 0.402	0.581 0.518 0.619	0.385 0.359 0.409	2.646 2.511 2.769
n. $(n = 5)$ x. n. $(n = 14)$ x.	Middle Butte Cave, ID Moonshiner Cave, ID	6.9 5.7 5.8 7.0	3.9 4.7 3.6 5.0	18.0 21.5 18.0 22.2	9.6 12.1 9.4 12.9			7 8.1 6.6 8.7	11.8 13.8 11.9 14.2	0.317 0.321 0.315 0.322	0.522 0.581 0.533 0.563		2.552 2.727 2.571 2.654
an ( <i>n</i> = 18) n. x.	Various	7.17 6.6 7.9	4.82 4.3 5.5	22.02 19.7 23.2	12.96 11.5 14.4					0.324 0.301 0.364	0.590 0.550 0.619		
NM V8175 NM V8176	Cumberland Cave, MD	7.38	4.9	18.9 21.84	11 11.65	7.3 8.5	6.98	9.18	14.46	0.338	0.582 0.533	0.386 0.389	2.379
DA 15214	Rattlesnake Fm., OR		3.0	13.25	8.78	5.31	5.56	8.54	12.84		0.663	0.401	1.552
PP V 18408 an ( <i>n</i> = 7) n. x.	Baogeda Ula, China Baode, China	5.8 5.12 4.2 5.7	2.19 2.32 1.9 2.5	12.38 10.54 9.0 11.5	6.29 6.07 4.6 6.7	3.95 4	5.7	6.5	10.4	0.468 0.486 0.467 0.496	0.508 0.576 0.511 0.583	0.319 0.364	1.692
NM V8010	Cumberland Cave, MD			10.2	6	3.9	5	6	9.5		0.588	0.382	1.7
an ( <i>n</i> = 22) n. x.	Various	5.45 4.66 6.42	2.79 2.04 3.18	11.54 9.98 13.36	6.98 5.83 7.88	4.22 3.34 4.91	5.78 4.87 6.52	6.86 5.47 7.77	10.09 8.43 11.51	0.472 0.423 0.513	0.605 0.575 0.650	0.364 0.335 0.403	1.695 1.521 1.969
-11759	Venta del Moro, Spain			8.9	5.7		5.4	8.3			0.640		1.648
lotype	Węże 1, Poland	5.8	3.0	12.0		5.2		6.6	10.5	0.483		0.433	1.818
an ( <i>n</i> = 11) n. x.	Various	4.33 3.21 5.15	2.00 1.57 2.23	7.80 6.50 9.17	4.86 3.90 5.80	2.84 1.90 3.51	3.79 3.09 4.68	5.23 3.78 6.64	7.88 6.01 9.36	0.557 0.473 0.625	0.622 0.582 0.642	0.362 0.292 0.396	1.536 1.334 1.728
ecini MII an n. x. x. an n. x. x. an n. x. x. NII A PP Pa an n. x. NII A PP Pa an n. x. x. NII A PP Pa an n. x. x. x. x. x. x. x. x. x. x. x. x. x.	men No. VH 3663 (n = 36) (n = 14) (n = 14) (n = 18) M V8175 M V8176 (n = 7) M V8010 (n = 22) 1759 type (n = 11)	men No.     Locality       NH 3663     Gray Fossil Site, TN       (n = 36)     Various       (n = 5)     Middle Butte Cave, ID       n = 14)     Moonshiner Cave, ID       (n = 18)     Various       M V8175     Cumberland Cave, MD       M V8176     Cumberland Cave, MD       V 18408     Baogeda Ula, China       (n = 7)     Baode, China       M V8010     Cumberland Cave, MD       u (n = 22)     Various       1759     Venta del Moro, Spain       type     Węże 1, Poland       u (n = 11)     Various	men No.         Locality         P2L           NH 3663         Gray Fossil Site, TN         7.93 $(n = 36)$ Various         6.49 5.40 7.80 $(n = 36)$ Various         6.9 5.40 7.80 $(n = 5)$ Middle Butte Cave, ID Moonshiner Cave, ID 5.7         6.9 5.7 $n = 14$ Yarious         7.17 6.6 7.9 $(n = 18)$ Various         7.17 6.6 7.9 $V 8175$ Cumberland Cave, MD         7.38 $V 18176$ Rattlesnake Fm., OR         V           V 18408         Baogeda Ula, China 8aode, China         5.8 5.12 4.2 5.7           V V8010         Cumberland Cave, MD         4.2 5.7           V V8010         Cumberland Cave, MD         4.2 5.7           V V8010         Cumberland Cave, MD         4.2 5.7 $(n = 22)$ Various         5.45 4.66 6.42           1759         Venta del Moro, Spain         5.8 4.33 3.21 5.15 $(n = 11)$ Various         4.33 3.21 5.15	men No.         Locality         P2L         P2W           NH 3663         Gray Fossil Site, TN         7.93         6.05 $(n = 36)$ Various $6.49$ $4.38$ $5.40$ $3.70$ $7.80$ $5.29$ $(n = 5)$ Middle Butte Cave, ID $6.9$ $3.9$ $n = 14$ $5.7$ $4.7$ $5.8$ $n = 14$ Various $7.17$ $4.82$ $(n = 18)$ Various $7.17$ $4.82$ $6.6$ $4.3$ $7.9$ $5.5$ M V8175         Cumberland Cave, MD $7.38$ $4.9$ V 18408         Baogeda Ula, China $5.8$ $2.19$ $(n = 7)$ Baode, China $5.12$ $2.32$ $4.0$ Cumberland Cave, MD $4.66$ $2.04$ $(n = 22)$ Various $5.45$ $2.79$ $4.66$ $2.04$ $6.42$ $3.18$ 1759         Venta del Moro, Spain $5.15$ $2.23$ $t_1 (n = 11)$ Various $4.33$ $2.00$	men No.         Locality         P2L         P2W         P4L           NH 3663         Gray Fossil Site, TN         7.93         6.05         21.23 $(n = 36)$ Various $6.49$ 4.38         19.79 $(n = 36)$ Various $6.49$ 4.38         19.79 $(n = 5)$ Middle Butte Cave, ID $6.9$ $3.9$ 18.0 $(n = 14)$ Moonshiner Cave, ID $5.7$ $4.7$ $21.5$ $(n = 18)$ Various $7.17$ $4.82$ $22.02$ $(n = 18)$ Various $7.17$ $4.82$ $22.02$ $(N \times 8175)$ Cumberland Cave, MD $7.38$ $4.9$ 18.9 $V \times 1876$ Cumberland Cave, MD $7.38$ $4.9$ 18.9 $(N \times 8176)$ Rattlesnake Fm., OR $3.0$ 13.25           V 18408         Baogeda Ula, China $5.8$ $2.19$ $12.38$ $(n = 7)$ Baode, China $5.45$ $2.79$ $11.54$ $(n = 22)$ Various $5.45$ $2.79$ $11.54$ </td <td>men No.         Locality         P2L         P2W         P4L         P4W<sub>pro</sub>           NH 3663         Gray Fossil Site, TN         7.93         6.05         21.23         13.19           <math>(n = 36)</math>         Various         6.49         4.38         19.79         11.49           <math>(n = 36)</math>         Various         6.49         4.38         19.79         11.49           <math>(n = 5)</math>         Middle Butte Cave, ID         6.9         3.9         18.0         9.6           <math>(n = 14)</math>         Moonshiner Cave, ID         5.7         4.7         21.5         12.1           <math>5.8</math>         3.6         18.0         9.4         7.0         5.0         22.2         12.96           <math>(n = 18)</math>         Various         7.17         4.82         22.02         12.96           <math>(N V8175)</math>         Cumberland Cave, MD         7.38         4.9         18.9         11           <math>(N V8176)</math>         Cumberland Cave, MD         7.38         4.9         18.9         11           <math>(N V8176)</math>         Cumberland Cave, MD         5.8         2.19         10.2         6           <math>(n = 7)</math>         Baode, China         5.7         2.5         11.5         6.7           <math>V</math></td> <td>men No.LocalityP2LP2WP4LP4W P4WP4W proP4W P4W metNH 3663Gray Fossil Site, TN7.93<math>6.05</math><math>21.23</math><math>13.19</math><math>8.09</math><math>(n = 36)</math>Various<math>6.49</math> <math>5.40</math><math>4.38</math> <math>5.40</math><math>19.79</math> <math>3.70</math><math>11.49</math> <math>17.70</math><math>7.28</math> <math>6.30</math><math>(n = 5)</math>Middle Butte Cave, ID Moonshiner Cave, ID<math>6.9</math> <math>5.7</math><math>3.9</math> <math>4.7</math><math>18.0</math> <math>21.2</math><math>9.6</math> <math>12.1</math><math>(n = 14)</math>Various<math>7.17</math> <math>4.82<math>4.82</math> <math>22.2</math><math>22.02</math> <math>12.96</math><math>12.96</math> <math>6.6</math> <math>4.3</math> <math>19.7</math><math>11.5</math> <math>11.5</math><math>(n = 18)</math>Various<math>7.17</math> <math>4.82<math>4.82</math> <math>23.2</math><math>22.02</math> <math>14.44<math>12.96</math> <math>6.6</math><math>(n = 18)</math>Various<math>7.17</math> <math>4.82<math>4.82</math> <math>23.2</math><math>14.44</math><math>V 8175</math>Cumberland Cave, MD<math>7.38</math><math>4.9</math> <math>21.84<math>11.65</math> <math>11.65<math>8.5</math><math>v 15214</math>Rattlesnake Fm., OR<math>3.0</math><math>13.25</math> <math>2.32<math>8.78</math><math>5.31</math><math>V 18408</math> <math>(n = 7)</math>Baogeda Ula, China <math>5.7</math><math>5.8</math> <math>2.7</math><math>2.79</math> <math>2.5</math><math>11.5</math> <math>6.7</math><math>6.7</math><math>v 100</math>Cumberland Cave, MD<math>v</math><math>10.2</math><math>6</math><math>3.9</math><math>v (w 100)</math>Cumberland Cave, MD<math>v</math><math>10.2</math><math>6</math><math>3.9</math><math>v (n = 7)</math>Baode, China <math>4.66</math><math>2.79</math> <math>2.5</math><math>11.54</math> <math>6.7</math><math>6.7</math><math>3.95</math><math>v (n = 12)</math>Various<math>5.45</math> <math>4.66</math><math>2.79</math> <math>2.98</math><math>5.83</math> <math>5.83</math> <math>3.34</math> <math>4.91</math><math>5.8</math><math>3.0</math></math></math></math></math></math></math></math></td> <td>men No.LocalityP2LP2WP4LP4W P4WP4W ProP4W P4W metM1LextNH 3663Gray Fossil Site, TN7.936.0521.2313.198.09<math>(n = 36)</math>Various<math>6.49</math> <math>5.40</math><math>4.38</math> <math>5.29</math>19.7911.49 <math>11.49</math>7.28 <math>7.28</math>7.10 <math>6.30</math><math>(n = 36)</math>Various<math>6.49</math> <math>5.40</math><math>3.70</math> <math>7.80</math>17.70 <math>5.29</math>10.30 <math>23.90</math>6.30 <math>6.30</math>6.16 <math>6.16</math><math>(n = 14)</math>Middle Butte Cave, ID Moonshiner Cave, ID <math>5.7</math><math>5.7</math> <math>4.7</math><math>21.5</math> <math>12.1</math><math>12.1</math> <math>12.1</math><math>12.1</math> <math>12.1</math><math>(n = 18)</math>Various<math>7.17</math> <math>6.6</math><math>4.32</math> <math>7.9</math><math>12.96</math> <math>14.4</math><math>-7.3</math> <math>14.4</math><math>6.98</math><math>\sqrt{V 8175}</math>Cumberland Cave, MD<math>7.38</math><math>4.9</math><math>18.9</math> <math>21.84</math><math>11</math> <math>11.65</math><math>7.3</math> <math>8.5</math><math>6.98</math><math>\sqrt{V 8176}</math>Cumberland Cave, MD<math>7.38</math><math>2.19</math> <math>1.22</math><math>12.38</math> <math>1.55</math><math>6.29</math> <math>4.6</math><math>3.94</math><math>5.7</math><math>\sqrt{V 8100}</math>Cumberland Cave, MD<math>7.38</math><math>2.19</math> <math>1.25</math><math>12.38</math> <math>1.55</math><math>6.29</math> <math>4.6</math><math>3.94</math><math>5.7</math><math>\sqrt{V 8010}</math>Cumberland Cave, MD<math>7.38</math> <math>4.2</math> <math>1.9</math><math>10.2</math><math>6</math><math>3.9</math><math>5</math><math>\sqrt{V 8010}</math>Cumberland Cave, MD<math>7.38</math> <math>4.2</math> <math>1.25</math><math>11.54</math> <math>10.54</math><math>6.07</math> <math>4.6</math><math>4.87</math><math>\sqrt{V 8010}</math>Cumberland Cave, MD<math>7.42</math> <math>1.25</math><math>11.54</math> <math>10.54</math><math>6.98</math> <math>6.77</math><math>3.24</math> <math>12.55</math><math>5.7</math></td> <td>men No.LocalityP2LP2WP4LP4WproP4WmetMILextMILextMILintVH 3663Gray Fossil Site, TN7.936.0521.2313.198.09<math>(n = 36)</math>Various<math>6.49</math>4.3819.7911.497.287.108.02<math>(n = 36)</math>Various<math>6.49</math>4.3819.7911.497.287.108.02<math>(n = 5)</math>Middle Butte Cave, ID<math>5.7</math><math>4.7</math>21.512.18.128.77<math>(n = 14)</math>Moonshiner Cave, ID<math>5.7</math><math>4.7</math>21.512.18.18.67<math>(n = 18)</math>Various<math>7.6</math><math>6.6</math>4.319.7711.58.58.7<math>(n = 18)</math>Various<math>7.17</math><math>4.82</math>22.0212.968.5<math>(NV8176)</math>Cumberland Cave, MD<math>7.38</math><math>4.9</math>18.9117.36.989.18<math>(NV8176)</math>Cumberland Cave, MD<math>7.38</math><math>2.19</math>12.386.29<math>3.95</math>5.76.5<math>(n = 7)</math>Baogeda Ula, China<math>5.8</math><math>2.19</math>12.386.29<math>3.94</math>4.66.77.7<math>(n = 22)</math>Various<math>5.45</math>2.7911.546.984.225.786.86<math>(n = 22)</math>Various<math>5.45</math>2.7911.546.984.225.786.86<math>(n = 22)</math>Various<math>5.45</math>2.7911.546.984.225.786.86<t< td=""><td>men No.LocalityP2LP2WP4LP4WproP4WproP4WmetM1LextM1LextM1LintM1WMI 3663Gray Fossil Site, TN7.936.0521.2313.198.09<math>(n = 36)</math>Various<math>6.49</math> <math>5.40</math> <math>5.40</math> <math>5.40</math> <math>5.40</math> <math>5.40</math> <math>5.40</math> <math>5.40</math> <math>5.40</math> <math>5.70</math>12.70 <math>12.50</math>13.198.098.0213.89 <math>12.88</math><math>(n = 5)</math>Middle Butte Cave, ID Moonshiner Cave, ID <math>5.7</math> <math>5.7</math>6.9 <math>4.7</math>3.9 <math>12.5</math>18.0 <math>22.2</math>9.60 <math>12.90</math>8.127 <math>8.7</math>11.8 <math>8.7</math><math>(n = 14)</math>Various7.17 <math>7.9</math><math>6.30</math> <math>5.5</math><math>8.6</math> <math>22.20</math>12.96 <math>12.84</math>7 <math>11.5</math>12.1 <math>1.5</math>7 <math>8.7</math>14.20<math>(n = 18)</math>Various7.17 <math>6.6</math> <math>4.3</math> <math>7.9</math><math>5.5</math><math>8.76</math>15.0 <math>1.5</math>8.12<math>8.94</math>14.46<math>(N 8175)</math>Cumberland Cave, MD<math>7.38</math><math>4.9</math>18.9 <math>21.84</math>11.65<math>7.3</math> <math>8.53</math><math>6.98</math><math>9.18</math>14.46<math>(N 8175)</math>Cumberland Cave, MD<math>7.38</math><math>2.19</math> <math>5.12</math><math>12.38</math> <math>2.32</math><math>6.29</math> <math>1.57</math><math>3.95</math><math>5.7</math><math>6.5</math><math>10.4</math><math>(n = 7)</math>Baogeda Ula, China Baode, China<math>5.8</math> <math>5.12</math> <math>2.79</math><math>2.19</math> <math>1.55</math><math>6.7</math><math>3.94</math><math>5.77</math><math>6.51</math><math>10.4</math><math>(n = 22)</math>Various<math>5.45</math> <math>4.66</math><math>2.04</math> <math>21.84</math><math>9.98</math> <math>13.36</math><math>5.83</math> <math>7.88</math><math>3.34</math> <math>4.9</math></td><td>men No.       Locality       P2L       P2W       P4L       P4W<sub>pro</sub>       P4W<sub>met</sub>       M1Lext       M1L<sub>int</sub>       M1W       P2L/P4L         VH 3663       Gray Fossil Site, TN       7.93       6.05       21.23       13.19       8.09       5.09       13.89       0.374         (n =36)       Various       6.49       4.38       19.79       11.49       7.28       7.10       8.02       13.89       0.328         (n =5)       Middle Butte Cave, ID       6.9       3.9       18.0       9.6       7       11.8       0.317         (n =14)       Monshiner Cave, ID       5.7       4.7       21.5       12.1       5.8       6.6       13.9       0.321         (n =18)       Various       7.17       4.82       22.02       12.96       5.9       5.10       0.301         (V8175       Cumberland Cave, MD       7.38       4.9       18.9       11       5.3       5.10       5.6       8.54       12.24       0.328         (V1876       Cumberland Cave, MD       7.38       4.9       18.9       11.65       8.5       6.98       9.18       14.46       0.338         (15214       Rattlesnake Fm., OR       3.0       13.25</td><td>men No.         Locality         P2L         P2W         P4L         P4W<sub>pro</sub>         P4W<sub>met</sub>         M1Lext         M1Lint         M1W         P2L/P4L         P4W<sub>pro</sub>/P4L           VII 3663         Gray Fossil Site, TN         7.93         6.05         21.23         13.19         8.09        </td><td>nen No.         Locality         P2L         P2W         P4L         P4W proper         P4W met         MILext         MILext         MIW         P2L/P4L         P4W proper         P4W met           NH 3663         Gray Fossil Site, TN         7.93         6.05         21.23         13.19         8.09          0.374         0.621         0.381           (n = 36)         Various         6.49         3.38         19.79         11.49         7.28         7.10         8.29         12.88         0.328         0.518         0.385           (n = 5)         Middle Butte Cave, ID         5.7         3.7         12.5         12.1          7.11         13.8         0.321         0.518         0.335           (n = 14)         Moonshimer Cave, ID         5.7         3.7         15.2         12.1          7.17         8.1         0.317         0.522         0.533         0.531         0.531         0.531         0.531         0.532         0.531         0.531         0.531         0.531         0.531         0.531         0.531         0.531         0.531         0.531         0.531         0.531         0.531         0.531         0.531         0.531         0.531</td></t<></td>	men No.         Locality         P2L         P2W         P4L         P4W <sub>pro</sub> NH 3663         Gray Fossil Site, TN         7.93         6.05         21.23         13.19 $(n = 36)$ Various         6.49         4.38         19.79         11.49 $(n = 36)$ Various         6.49         4.38         19.79         11.49 $(n = 5)$ Middle Butte Cave, ID         6.9         3.9         18.0         9.6 $(n = 14)$ Moonshiner Cave, ID         5.7         4.7         21.5         12.1 $5.8$ 3.6         18.0         9.4         7.0         5.0         22.2         12.96 $(n = 18)$ Various         7.17         4.82         22.02         12.96 $(N V8175)$ Cumberland Cave, MD         7.38         4.9         18.9         11 $(N V8176)$ Cumberland Cave, MD         7.38         4.9         18.9         11 $(N V8176)$ Cumberland Cave, MD         5.8         2.19         10.2         6 $(n = 7)$ Baode, China         5.7         2.5         11.5         6.7 $V$	men No.LocalityP2LP2WP4LP4W P4WP4W proP4W P4W metNH 3663Gray Fossil Site, TN7.93 $6.05$ $21.23$ $13.19$ $8.09$ $(n = 36)$ Various $6.49$ $5.40$ $4.38$ $5.40$ $19.79$ $3.70$ $11.49$ $17.70$ $7.28$ $6.30$ $(n = 5)$ Middle Butte Cave, ID Moonshiner Cave, ID $6.9$ $5.7$ $3.9$ $4.7$ $18.0$ $21.2$ $9.6$ $12.1$ $(n = 14)$ Various $7.17$ $4.824.8222.222.0212.9612.966.64.319.711.511.5(n = 18)Various7.174.824.8223.222.0214.4412.966.6(n = 18)Various7.174.824.8223.214.44V 8175Cumberland Cave, MD7.384.921.8411.6511.658.5v 15214Rattlesnake Fm., OR3.013.252.328.785.31V 18408(n = 7)Baogeda Ula, China5.75.82.72.792.511.56.76.7v 100Cumberland Cave, MDv10.263.9v (w 100)Cumberland Cave, MDv10.263.9v (n = 7)Baode, China4.662.792.511.546.76.73.95v (n = 12)Various5.454.662.792.985.835.833.344.915.83.0$	men No.LocalityP2LP2WP4LP4W P4WP4W ProP4W P4W metM1LextNH 3663Gray Fossil Site, TN7.936.0521.2313.198.09 $(n = 36)$ Various $6.49$ $5.40$ $4.38$ $5.29$ 19.7911.49 $11.49$ 7.28 $7.28$ 7.10 $6.30$ $(n = 36)$ Various $6.49$ $5.40$ $3.70$ $7.80$ 17.70 $5.29$ 10.30 $23.90$ 6.30 $6.30$ 6.16 $6.16$ $(n = 14)$ Middle Butte Cave, ID Moonshiner Cave, ID $5.7$ $5.7$ $4.7$ $21.5$ $12.1$ $12.1$ $12.1$ $12.1$ $12.1$ $(n = 18)$ Various $7.17$ $6.6$ $4.32$ $7.9$ $12.96$ $14.4$ $-7.3$ $14.4$ $6.98$ $\sqrt{V 8175}$ Cumberland Cave, MD $7.38$ $4.9$ $18.9$ $21.84$ $11$ $11.65$ $7.3$ $8.5$ $6.98$ $\sqrt{V 8176}$ Cumberland Cave, MD $7.38$ $2.19$ $1.22$ $12.38$ $1.55$ $6.29$ $4.6$ $3.94$ $5.7$ $\sqrt{V 8100}$ Cumberland Cave, MD $7.38$ $2.19$ $1.25$ $12.38$ $1.55$ $6.29$ $4.6$ $3.94$ $5.7$ $\sqrt{V 8010}$ Cumberland Cave, MD $7.38$ $4.2$ $1.9$ $10.2$ $6$ $3.9$ $5$ $\sqrt{V 8010}$ Cumberland Cave, MD $7.38$ $4.2$ $1.25$ $11.54$ $10.54$ $6.07$ $4.6$ $4.87$ $\sqrt{V 8010}$ Cumberland Cave, MD $7.42$ $1.25$ $11.54$ $10.54$ $6.98$ $6.77$ $3.24$ $12.55$ $5.7$	men No.LocalityP2LP2WP4LP4WproP4WmetMILextMILextMILintVH 3663Gray Fossil Site, TN7.936.0521.2313.198.09 $(n = 36)$ Various $6.49$ 4.3819.7911.497.287.108.02 $(n = 36)$ Various $6.49$ 4.3819.7911.497.287.108.02 $(n = 5)$ Middle Butte Cave, ID $5.7$ $4.7$ 21.512.18.128.77 $(n = 14)$ Moonshiner Cave, ID $5.7$ $4.7$ 21.512.18.18.67 $(n = 18)$ Various $7.6$ $6.6$ 4.319.7711.58.58.7 $(n = 18)$ Various $7.17$ $4.82$ 22.0212.968.5 $(NV8176)$ Cumberland Cave, MD $7.38$ $4.9$ 18.9117.36.989.18 $(NV8176)$ Cumberland Cave, MD $7.38$ $2.19$ 12.386.29 $3.95$ 5.76.5 $(n = 7)$ Baogeda Ula, China $5.8$ $2.19$ 12.386.29 $3.94$ 4.66.77.7 $(n = 22)$ Various $5.45$ 2.7911.546.984.225.786.86 $(n = 22)$ Various $5.45$ 2.7911.546.984.225.786.86 $(n = 22)$ Various $5.45$ 2.7911.546.984.225.786.86 <t< td=""><td>men No.LocalityP2LP2WP4LP4WproP4WproP4WmetM1LextM1LextM1LintM1WMI 3663Gray Fossil Site, TN7.936.0521.2313.198.09<math>(n = 36)</math>Various<math>6.49</math> <math>5.40</math> <math>5.40</math> <math>5.40</math> <math>5.40</math> <math>5.40</math> <math>5.40</math> <math>5.40</math> <math>5.40</math> <math>5.70</math>12.70 <math>12.50</math>13.198.098.0213.89 <math>12.88</math><math>(n = 5)</math>Middle Butte Cave, ID Moonshiner Cave, ID <math>5.7</math> <math>5.7</math>6.9 <math>4.7</math>3.9 <math>12.5</math>18.0 <math>22.2</math>9.60 <math>12.90</math>8.127 <math>8.7</math>11.8 <math>8.7</math><math>(n = 14)</math>Various7.17 <math>7.9</math><math>6.30</math> <math>5.5</math><math>8.6</math> <math>22.20</math>12.96 <math>12.84</math>7 <math>11.5</math>12.1 <math>1.5</math>7 <math>8.7</math>14.20<math>(n = 18)</math>Various7.17 <math>6.6</math> <math>4.3</math> <math>7.9</math><math>5.5</math><math>8.76</math>15.0 <math>1.5</math>8.12<math>8.94</math>14.46<math>(N 8175)</math>Cumberland Cave, MD<math>7.38</math><math>4.9</math>18.9 <math>21.84</math>11.65<math>7.3</math> <math>8.53</math><math>6.98</math><math>9.18</math>14.46<math>(N 8175)</math>Cumberland Cave, MD<math>7.38</math><math>2.19</math> <math>5.12</math><math>12.38</math> <math>2.32</math><math>6.29</math> <math>1.57</math><math>3.95</math><math>5.7</math><math>6.5</math><math>10.4</math><math>(n = 7)</math>Baogeda Ula, China Baode, China<math>5.8</math> <math>5.12</math> <math>2.79</math><math>2.19</math> <math>1.55</math><math>6.7</math><math>3.94</math><math>5.77</math><math>6.51</math><math>10.4</math><math>(n = 22)</math>Various<math>5.45</math> <math>4.66</math><math>2.04</math> <math>21.84</math><math>9.98</math> <math>13.36</math><math>5.83</math> <math>7.88</math><math>3.34</math> <math>4.9</math></td><td>men No.       Locality       P2L       P2W       P4L       P4W<sub>pro</sub>       P4W<sub>met</sub>       M1Lext       M1L<sub>int</sub>       M1W       P2L/P4L         VH 3663       Gray Fossil Site, TN       7.93       6.05       21.23       13.19       8.09       5.09       13.89       0.374         (n =36)       Various       6.49       4.38       19.79       11.49       7.28       7.10       8.02       13.89       0.328         (n =5)       Middle Butte Cave, ID       6.9       3.9       18.0       9.6       7       11.8       0.317         (n =14)       Monshiner Cave, ID       5.7       4.7       21.5       12.1       5.8       6.6       13.9       0.321         (n =18)       Various       7.17       4.82       22.02       12.96       5.9       5.10       0.301         (V8175       Cumberland Cave, MD       7.38       4.9       18.9       11       5.3       5.10       5.6       8.54       12.24       0.328         (V1876       Cumberland Cave, MD       7.38       4.9       18.9       11.65       8.5       6.98       9.18       14.46       0.338         (15214       Rattlesnake Fm., OR       3.0       13.25</td><td>men No.         Locality         P2L         P2W         P4L         P4W<sub>pro</sub>         P4W<sub>met</sub>         M1Lext         M1Lint         M1W         P2L/P4L         P4W<sub>pro</sub>/P4L           VII 3663         Gray Fossil Site, TN         7.93         6.05         21.23         13.19         8.09        </td><td>nen No.         Locality         P2L         P2W         P4L         P4W proper         P4W met         MILext         MILext         MIW         P2L/P4L         P4W proper         P4W met           NH 3663         Gray Fossil Site, TN         7.93         6.05         21.23         13.19         8.09          0.374         0.621         0.381           (n = 36)         Various         6.49         3.38         19.79         11.49         7.28         7.10         8.29         12.88         0.328         0.518         0.385           (n = 5)         Middle Butte Cave, ID         5.7         3.7         12.5         12.1          7.11         13.8         0.321         0.518         0.335           (n = 14)         Moonshimer Cave, ID         5.7         3.7         15.2         12.1          7.17         8.1         0.317         0.522         0.533         0.531         0.531         0.531         0.531         0.532         0.531         0.531         0.531         0.531         0.531         0.531         0.531         0.531         0.531         0.531         0.531         0.531         0.531         0.531         0.531         0.531         0.531</td></t<>	men No.LocalityP2LP2WP4LP4WproP4WproP4WmetM1LextM1LextM1LintM1WMI 3663Gray Fossil Site, TN7.936.0521.2313.198.09 $(n = 36)$ Various $6.49$ $5.40$ $5.40$ $5.40$ $5.40$ $5.40$ $5.40$ $5.40$ $5.40$ $5.70$ 12.70 $12.50$ 13.198.098.0213.89 $12.88$ $(n = 5)$ Middle Butte Cave, ID Moonshiner Cave, ID $5.7$ $5.7$ 6.9 $4.7$ 3.9 $12.5$ 18.0 $22.2$ 9.60 $12.90$ 8.127 $8.7$ 11.8 $8.7$ $(n = 14)$ Various7.17 $7.9$ $6.30$ $5.5$ $8.6$ $22.20$ 12.96 $12.84$ 7 $11.5$ 12.1 $1.5$ 7 $8.7$ 14.20 $(n = 18)$ Various7.17 $6.6$ $4.3$ $7.9$ $5.5$ $8.76$ 15.0 $1.5$ 8.12 $8.94$ 14.46 $(N 8175)$ Cumberland Cave, MD $7.38$ $4.9$ 18.9 $21.84$ 11.65 $7.3$ $8.53$ $6.98$ $9.18$ 14.46 $(N 8175)$ Cumberland Cave, MD $7.38$ $2.19$ $5.12$ $12.38$ $2.32$ $6.29$ $1.57$ $3.95$ $5.7$ $6.5$ $10.4$ $(n = 7)$ Baogeda Ula, China Baode, China $5.8$ $5.12$ $2.79$ $2.19$ $1.55$ $6.7$ $3.94$ $5.77$ $6.51$ $10.4$ $(n = 22)$ Various $5.45$ $4.66$ $2.04$ $21.84$ $9.98$ $13.36$ $5.83$ $7.88$ $3.34$ $4.9$	men No.       Locality       P2L       P2W       P4L       P4W <sub>pro</sub> P4W <sub>met</sub> M1Lext       M1L <sub>int</sub> M1W       P2L/P4L         VH 3663       Gray Fossil Site, TN       7.93       6.05       21.23       13.19       8.09       5.09       13.89       0.374         (n =36)       Various       6.49       4.38       19.79       11.49       7.28       7.10       8.02       13.89       0.328         (n =5)       Middle Butte Cave, ID       6.9       3.9       18.0       9.6       7       11.8       0.317         (n =14)       Monshiner Cave, ID       5.7       4.7       21.5       12.1       5.8       6.6       13.9       0.321         (n =18)       Various       7.17       4.82       22.02       12.96       5.9       5.10       0.301         (V8175       Cumberland Cave, MD       7.38       4.9       18.9       11       5.3       5.10       5.6       8.54       12.24       0.328         (V1876       Cumberland Cave, MD       7.38       4.9       18.9       11.65       8.5       6.98       9.18       14.46       0.338         (15214       Rattlesnake Fm., OR       3.0       13.25	men No.         Locality         P2L         P2W         P4L         P4W <sub>pro</sub> P4W <sub>met</sub> M1Lext         M1Lint         M1W         P2L/P4L         P4W <sub>pro</sub> /P4L           VII 3663         Gray Fossil Site, TN         7.93         6.05         21.23         13.19         8.09	nen No.         Locality         P2L         P2W         P4L         P4W proper         P4W met         MILext         MILext         MIW         P2L/P4L         P4W proper         P4W met           NH 3663         Gray Fossil Site, TN         7.93         6.05         21.23         13.19         8.09          0.374         0.621         0.381           (n = 36)         Various         6.49         3.38         19.79         11.49         7.28         7.10         8.29         12.88         0.328         0.518         0.385           (n = 5)         Middle Butte Cave, ID         5.7         3.7         12.5         12.1          7.11         13.8         0.321         0.518         0.335           (n = 14)         Moonshimer Cave, ID         5.7         3.7         15.2         12.1          7.17         8.1         0.317         0.522         0.533         0.531         0.531         0.531         0.531         0.532         0.531         0.531         0.531         0.531         0.531         0.531         0.531         0.531         0.531         0.531         0.531         0.531         0.531         0.531         0.531         0.531         0.531

*(continued on next page)* 

int

Table 1 (continued)														
Species	Specimen No.	Locality	P2L	P2W	P4L	P4Wpro	P4W <sub>met</sub>	M1L <sub>ext</sub>	M1L <sub>int</sub>	M1W	P2L/P4L	P4W <sub>pro</sub> / P4L	P4W <sub>met</sub> / P4L	P4L/ M1L <sub>in</sub>
M. martes	LACM 74508		5.34	2.78	9.25	6.12	3.36	4.72	7.81	9.55	0.577	0.662	0.363	1.184
M. flavigula	LACM 8229		5.22	2.6	10.11	6.42	3.48	4.02	5.31	9.57	0.516	0.635	0.344	1.904
M. foina	ETVP 5535		4.1	2.43	9.37	5.83	3.36	5.2	5.55	9.22	0.438	0.622	0.359	1.688
Eira barbara	Mean ( <i>n</i> = 5) Min. Max.	Various	3.85 3.30 4.31	2.84 2.56 3.04	10.06 9.23 10.62	6.90 6.47 7.49	3.66 3.58 3.85	3.60 2.65 4.25	4.68 3.61 5.57	8.73 8.17 9.52	0.382 0.347 0.406	0.687 0.610 0.743	0.365 0.337 0.417	2.183 1.905 2.637
Sminthosinis bowleri†	UMMP 52868 UMMP 55214	Hagerman, ID	5.38 4.98	2.15	9.6 9.65	5.35 5			4.76	7.47	0.560 0.516	0.557 0.518		2.017
Ischyrictis zibethoides†	Mean $(n=4)$ Min. Max.	Various, Europe	8.5	4.6	15.95 14.0 17.8	10.1 7.9 12	5.6 4.6 6.6	7.03 6.65 7.4	8.58 6.85 9.9	15.25 13.75 16.5	0.478	0.630 0.564 0.674	0.329 0.4	1.964 1.798 2.263
Plesiogulo brachygnathus†	Mean ( <i>n</i> = 10) Min. Max.	Shansi, China	8.15 7.6 8.9	5.85 5.0 6.7	19.24 17.1 20.5	12.87 11.1 14.0		9.65 8.4 11.7	13.54 12 16.3	16.88 13.8 18.4	0.420 0.393 0.445	0.669 0.649 0.683		1.428 1.258 1.583
Plesiogulo crassa†	Mean Min. Max.	Paote, China	8.15 7.8 8.3	5.9	19.48 18.3 20.8	12.92 12.3 14.2		8.4 7.6 9	13.22 11.9 14.8	16.98 15.8 17.8	0.418	0.663		1.473
Plesiogulo minor†	Holotype	K'ingyang, China			17	10.5		7.9	11.2	14.3		0.618		1.518
Plesiogulo praecocidens†	UPI No. M19 (5)	Paote, China			17.2	10.9		7.8	12.4	13.8		0.634		1.387
Plesiogulo lindsayi†	F:AM 49384	Wikieup, Arizona	9.5	6.9	23.5	17.3		9.7	14.6	20.6	0.404	0.736		1.610
Plesiogulo marshalli†	Mean ( <i>n</i> = 8) Min. Max.	Various, North America	8.01 7.84 8.2	5.83 5.7 5.9	19.75 18.2 22.04	13.25 12.1 15.0	8.59 7.81 9.80	9.88 8.3 11.87	13.95 12.45 15.9	17.58 17.0 18.5	0.416	0.670 0.640 0.696	0.434 0.422 0.445	1.411 1.238 1.749
Plesiogulo monspessulanus†	L40042	Langebaanweg, South Africa	9.7	7.2	23.2	15.6			15.4	18.6	0.418	0.672		1.506
Plesiogulo botori†	KNM-NK 41420	Narok, Kenya			24.5	16.7		10.1	15.9	21.2		0.682		1.541
Iberictis azanzae†	Holotype	Artesilla, Spain			15.5	?9.7			10.9	?16		0.626		1.422

Samuels et al. (2018), PeerJ, DOI 10.7717/peerj.4648



**Figure 3** Holotype of *Gulo sudorus* (ETMNH 3663) from the Gray Fossil Site, Tennessee. Specimen consists of a right P2 and maxilla fragment with P4. (A) Lateral view. (B) Occlusal view. (C) Original illustration of the specimen by Keila Bredehoeft. Scale bar equals 1 cm. Photographs by Joshua Samuels. Full-size DOI: 10.7717/peerj.4648/fig-3 and all other previously described species of *Gulo*. P4 parastyle includes a small, conical parastylar cusp. P4 metastyle tapers distally, unlike the posteriorly broad and squared metastyle of other *Gulo* species. P2 not as strongly reduced as in other members of the genus. Infraorbital foramen is located above the anterior root of the P4, rather than anterior to the P4 as is typical of other species of *Gulo*.

**Etymology**—"Sudorus" from the Latin for "sweaty". In reference to the warm, humid climate present in the Early Pliocene of Tennessee relative to the typical boreal habitat that the modern taxon is known for inhabiting.

**Description**—The holotype specimen (ETMNH 3663) consists of a left maxilla fragment with the P2 and P4 (Fig. 3). There is little of the maxilla preserved, though some anatomical information can be derived from the specimen. A portion of the infraorbital foramen is preserved above the anterior root of the P4 and its lateral margin suggests an oval shape; the foramen is approximately 10.8 mm in dorsoventral diameter. The P2 crown is intact and only a small portion of the posterior surface of the P4 protocone is missing. The P2 shows minor, and P4 moderate, wear; indicating that this was an adult individual.

The P2 is double-rooted, robust, and distinctly larger than in other members of the genus (Table 1). Though it is larger in absolute terms, the size of the P2 relative to the P4 in ETMNH 3663 falls within the range of variation observed in extant *Gulo gulo* (P2L/P4L, Table 1). The P2 has a single principal cusp and a ridge running along its midline, most distinct posterior to the principal cusp. There is a low, relatively indistinct cingulum on the P2, which is most prominent along its posterior margin.

The P4 is robust and three-rooted, as is typical of the genus. Also typical of *Gulo*, there is no external median rootlet present on the P4, which is a feature that has been used to characterize *Pekania* (though see comparisons below). The tooth bears a distinct parastyle and a relatively larger protocone than in other species of *Gulo*. The parastyle bears a small, round parastylar cusp. A pair of low ridges run along the anterior surface of the paracone; the lateral ridge ends at the posterobuccal edge of the parastylar cusp, the medial ridge forks in two and ends just posterior to the parastyle. Like other gulonines, *Gulo sudorus* has a relatively deep inflection of the anterior portion of the P4, between the parastyle and protocone. The protocone is particularly large and extends anteromedially from the base of the paracone, projecting nearly as far anteriorly as the parastyle. The metastyle of *G. sudorus* is mesially broad, tapers distally, and bears a distinct lingual cingulum. There is a small cingulum along the base of the metastyle on the lingual surface of the tooth and a subtle remnant of an anterior cingulum along the anterior margin of the protocone, both of which are common in known gulonines.

**Comparisons**—Overall, the morphology of ETMNH 3663 is similar to known fossil and modern specimens of *Gulo* and other gulonine mustelids (Fig. 4), but has a set of features that distinguish it from other taxa. As in extant and Pleistocene specimens of *Gulo*, the infraorbital foramen of ETMNH 3663 has an oval shape, but it is located more posteriorly, above the anterior root of the P4, rather than above the P3 or anterior margin of the P4 as in *G. gulo* (*Harrison, 1981*). Position and shape of the infraorbital foramen in extant and fossil *Pekania* and *Martes* are variable, either oval or round and anterior to the P4 as in *Gulo* or above the anterior root of the P4. In *Eira* the infraorbital foramen is round and



**Figure 4** Occlusal morphology of gulonine mustelids and *Plesiogulo*. (A) *Gulo gulo* (ETVP 291). (B) *Pekania pennanti* (ETVP 601). (C) *Plesiogulo marshalli* (composite of FAM 23386 and 49230). (D) *Martes americana* (NAUQSP 2015). Images are scaled to the same P2–M1 length. Original illustrations by Keila Bredehoeft.

Full-size 🖾 DOI: 10.7717/peerj.4648/fig-4

located above the anterior root or middle of the P4. In *Plesiogulo* the infraorbital foramen is relatively round in cross section and located above the anterior root or middle of the P4 (*Harrison*, 1981).

There is a deep inflection between the parastyle and protocone of the P4 in ETMNH 3663 and *G. gulo*, and in the latter that inflection is occupied by the posterior margin of the P3 (Fig. 4A). In extant and fossil gulonines, a similar arrangement is also seen in individuals of *Martes flavigula*, *M. martes*, and *Pekania palaeosinensis*, but not in *Eira barbara*, *M. americana*, *P. occulta*, *P. diluviana*, or *P. pennanti*.

While a parastylar cusp is occasionally present in the P4 of *Gulo gulo*, it is never as substantial as in ETMNH 3663. Similarly, the protocone is proportionately larger than in extant *Gulo* and other fossils of the genus (Table 1). Presence of a large protocone and parastyle is typical of other gulonine mustelids, like *Pekania*, *Martes*, and *Eira*; though the protocone is more posteriorly positioned in *Eira* than other gulonines. A distally tapered P4 metastyle like that of ETMNH 3663 is seen in *Eira*, *Pekania*, and *Martes*, but not in other species of *Gulo*. Specifically, in both *Gulo gulo* and *G. schlosseri* the P4 metastyle is broad and squared distally, and bears a distinct u-shaped or square extension at the posterior margin of the metastyle blade. That extension runs anteroposteriorly along the buccal margin of the metastyle, then transversely to join the metastyle blade at a nearly right angle (Fig. 4A).

*Pekania occulta* from the late Miocene of Oregon has a more robust P4 than other *Pekania* and *Martes* species, as well as a particularly large P4 protocone and distally tapered metastyle, and an oval-shaped infraorbital foramen located above the anterior root of the P4. Each of those features are similar to ETMH 3663, though *P. occulta* is smaller and lacks the robust anterior premolars seen in *Gulo sudorus*. Additionally, as is typical of the genus *Pekania*, *P. occulta* bears an external median rootlet on the P4, which is not present in ETMNH 3663.

Due to the fragmentary material known for both taxa, the morphology of *Gulo sudorus* and *G. minor* from the middle Pliocene of Asia cannot be directly compared. However, all measurements of the lower dentition of *G. minor* (*Sotnikova, 1982*) fall outside the range of variation of extant and fossil samples of *Gulo*, between 7 and 16% smaller than the smallest extant wolverines studied. In contrast, all dimensions the upper dentition of *G. sudorus*, other than length and width of the P2, fall within the ranges of *G. gulo* and *G. schlosseri*, and all are larger than the means of extant samples (Table 1). As such, it is unlikely that known specimens of *G. sudorus* and *G. minor* are from the same taxon.

A hypothesis of the evolutionary relationships of gulonine mustelids is presented in Fig. 5. Relationships of extant gulonine taxa are based on molecular phylogenetic studies (*Koepfli et al., 2008*; *Sato et al., 2012*; *Li et al., 2014*); estimated divergence times are based on the protein coding region data set in *Li et al. (2014)*, except the divergence time of Guloninae from other "crown" mustelids (Helictidinae, Ictonychinae, Lutrinae, and Mustelinae) which is based on the multidivtime analysis of *Sato et al. (2012)*. Geologic ages of known gulonine fossils are derived from the MIOMAP/FAUNMAP Databases (*Carrasco et al., 2007*; *Graham & Lundeliu Jr, 2010*; http://www.ucmp.berkeley.edu/neomap/), NOW Database (*Fortelius, 2013*; http://pantodon.science.helsinki.fi/now/), and recent publications; the age of *Gulo sudorus* is based on the biostratigraphic framework presented in Fig. 2. Note that the placements of extinct taxa in the phylogeny are not based on a cladistic analysis, but rather morphological comparisons made here and in a number of earlier studies. The placement of the extinct *Pekania palaeosinensis, Eirictis*, and *Sminthosinis* are based on *Mang, Tseng & Takeuchi, 2012*, and the placement of *M. wenzensis* is based on *Anderson, 1994*; *Sato et al., 2003*.

The basal placement of *Gulo sudorus* within the genus is based on the following features: (1) infraorbital foramen is positioned posteriorly in G. sudorus, as in Eira and some species of *Pekania* and *Martes*, and in contrast to the anterior position of the foramen in G. schlosseri and G. gulo; (2) P2 larger than in any studied specimens of G. schlosseri and G. gulo, which have reduced anterior premolars; (3) P4 metastyle tapers posteriorly in G. sudorus, as in Ischyrictis zibethoides, Eira, Pekania, and Martes, and in contrast to the broad and posteriorly squared metastyle of G. schlosseri and G. gulo; (4) the P4 protocone and parastyle are particularly large in G. sudorus, as in Ischyrictis zibethoides, Pekania, and Martes, and larger than in G. schlosseri and G. gulo. Each of those features of G. sudorus are present in other gulonine genera and thus may represent primitive features for the Guloninae. Gulo minor is considered derived relative to G. sudorus, as the only differences it shows from G. schlosseri and G. gulo are the following features (from Sotnikova, 1982; Kalmykov, 2015): (1) smaller body size; (2) weaker curvature of the tooth row, with the m1 trigonid offset at an oblique angle to the p3 and p4, whereas the p3, p4, and m1 trigonid are all aligned in G. schlosseri and G. gulo; (3) relatively elongate and narrow p3 and p4 (length/width ratio of premolars higher than in any studied samples of other members of the genus). Given the substantial variability in body size of extant wolverines, with the largest studied samples having dentition 25% larger than the smallest (Table 1), the somewhat smaller size of G. minor is not interpreted as a particularly substantial difference. The difference in the curvature of the toothrow is also not particularly distinctive, as the



**Figure 5 Phylogeny of gulonine mustelids with estimated divergence times and geologic ages of known fossils.** Phylogenetic relationships of extant taxa based on cladistics analyses of *Koepfli et al. (2008)*, *Sato et al. (2012)* and *Li et al. (2014)*. Note that the placements of extinct taxa are not based on a cladistic analysis, rather they are based morphological comparisons made in this manuscript and prior studies. Divergence times (indicated by horizontal boxes) are based on protein coding region data set in *Li et al. (2014)*, except divergence time of Guloninae from other "crown" mustelids (Helictidinae, Ictonychinae, Lutrinae, and Mustelinae) based on multidivtime analysis of *Sato et al. (2012)*. Age ranges of fossil taxa (thick black lines) are based on occurrences and references listed in Table S2 and data sources listed in the Geologic Setting section.

#### Full-size 🖾 DOI: 10.7717/peerj.4648/fig-5

dental arcade of extant wolverines is highly variable in terms of rotation and alignment of the anterior premolars (*Jung et al., 2016*). Within the genus *Pekania*, placement of *P. occulta* as the most basal member is based on the following features: (1) robust P4, more robust than in other members of the genus, (2) P4 protocone larger than in other members of the genus. Each of these features are similar to *Gulo*, and thus may represent the primitive state for the *Pekania*—*Gulo/Martes* clade.

#### DISCUSSION

#### Origin and evolution of Gulo

Origins of the subfamily Guloninae and the genus *Gulo* have long been uncertain, but recently described fossils have substantially improved our understanding of the group's evolution. The earliest species that has been referred to any extant gulonine genus is "*Martes*" *laevidens* from the early Miocene (MN 3) of Germany (*Dehm*, 1950). However, *Sato et al.* (2003) noted the basicranial anatomy of "*M.*" *laevidens* indicated it was not a member of the extant genus *Martes*; the incompletely ossified suprameatal fossa (*Wolsan*, 1993) is a plesiomorphic trait among mustelids (*Hughes*, 2012). There are many other described examples of potential early "*Martes*" species from the early and middle Miocene of Eurasia, North America, and North Africa (*Anderson*, 1994; *Baskin*, 1998; *Ginsburg*, 1999; *Hughes*, 2012); however; most are not closely related to extant gulonine genera, but instead represent stem groups outside of the crown clade Guloninae (*Anderson*, 1994; *Sato et al.*, 2003; *Wang*, *Tseng & Takeuchi*, 2012; *Li et al.*, 2014). Moreover, some of these "*Martes*" taxa show similarity to ischyrictines (*Ginsburg & Morales*, 1992; *Montoya*, *Morales & Abella*, 2011) and, in some cases, have been referred to the ischyrictine genera *Hoplictis*, *Plionictis*, and *Sthenictis* (*Anderson*, 1994; *Baskin*, 1998; *Hughes*, 2012).

Similarities of many of these potential early "*Martes*" species with members of the Guloninae is likely due to the retention of plesiomorphic traits in extant gulonine taxa, or more likely ecomorphological convergence with the genus *Martes*. Complicating the issue, extant gulonines, including species of *Martes* and *Gulo*, are characterized by highly polymorphic dentition (*Wolsan, Ruprecht & Buchalczyk, 1985; Wolsan, 1988; Wolsan, 1989b; Döppes, 2001; Jung et al., 2016*), and it seems likely that ancestral forms shared the same level of variation. Given the polymorphism observed in extant taxa and incomplete fossil material known for many early and middle Miocene species, early taxa referred to "*Martes*" are in need of detailed study, which may reveal how they are (or are not) related to gulonines.

Something similar to *Ischyrictis zibethoides*, which is known from the Early and Middle Miocene (MN 5-8) of Europe (*Peigné*, 2012), could be ancestral to gulonines. *I. zibethoides* exhibits a dentition which has some similarity to that of gulonines; the P4 protocone and parastyle are large, and there is a deep inflection in the anterior margin of the tooth. The M1 has a distinctive round lingual margin. Lastly, the age of that taxon is similar to the estimated divergence of gulonines from other mustelids, which molecular estimates placed near 11.0 Ma (*Koepfli et al., 2008*) or 12.65 Ma (*Sato et al., 2012*).

The oldest confirmed gulonines are early records of fishers, including *Pekania occulta* from North America (*Samuels & Cavin*, 2013) and *P. palaeosinensis* from Asia (*Wang*, *Tseng & Takeuchi*, 2012). *Pekania*, which was formerly considered a subgenus of *Martes*, is distinguished by the presence of an external median rootlet on the upper P4 (*Anderson*, 1994; *Samuels & Cavin*, 2013). Morphology of *P. occulta*, particularly the robust P4 with a large protocone, is similar to extant *Gulo gulo* and *G. sudorus*, suggesting that species may be similar to the shared ancestor of more recent *Pekania* and *Gulo*. The late Miocene ages of *P. occulta* (Hemphillian NALMA, 7.3–7.05 Ma) and *P. palaeosinensis* (Baodean ALMA)



Figure 6 Gulo gulo (ETVP 269) with an atavistic external median rootlet on the upper P4, which is exposed through the lateral surface of the maxilla. Scale bar equals 1 cm. Photograph by Joshua Samuels. Full-size DOI: 10.7717/peerj.4648/fig-6

are consistent with molecular estimates for divergence of *Pekania* from the *Martes/Gulo* clade (*Koepfli et al., 2008; Sato et al., 2012; Li et al., 2014*).

Interestingly, one studied specimen of recent *Gulo gulo* (ETVP 269) possesses an external median rootlet on both upper carnassials (Fig. 6). Presence of an external median rootlet on the upper P4 is considered diagnostic of the genus *Pekania* (*Anderson, 1970*). Though it is only observed in a single specimen, this apparent atavistic trait lends support to both molecular and fossil evidence indicating a close relationship between *Pekania* and *Gulo*. If *Gulo* evolved from a fisher-like ancestor, as we hypothesize, the occurrence of an external median rootlet in ETVP 269 is not as surprising as it would be if the two taxa were not so closely related.

An early representative of the genus *Martes* may be *M. ginsburgi* (*Montoya, Morales* & *Abella, 2011*) from the late Miocene of Spain (MN 13), which is similar to both *M. anderssoni* (*Schlosser, 1924*) from latest Miocene/Early Pliocene and *M. zdanskyi* (*Teilhard de Chardin & Leroy, 1945*) from the late Pliocene of China. *M. ginsburgi* lacks an external median rootlet on the P4 and the m1 morphology is distinct from *Pekania* (*Montoya,* 

*Morales & Abella, 2011*). *M. ginsburgi* is also very similar to *M. martes*, suggesting that it may be similar to the ancestor of extant martens (*Montoya, Morales & Abella, 2011*). Detailed study of *M. ginsburgi, M. anderssoni*, and other late Miocene species referred to the genus should help resolve how these taxa are related to extant martens.

Various authors (*Anderson, 1994*; *Sato et al., 2003*; *Li et al., 2014*) have considered *Martes wenzensis* (*Stach, 1959*) from the Pliocene (MN 15) of Poland (*Wolsan, 1989a*) to be the earliest undoubted member of the genus *Martes. M. wenzensis* is known from multiple skulls and characterized by having longer and more robust carnassials than extant *Martes (Stach, 1959*). Measurements of *M. wenzensis* fall within the size range of extant and fossil samples of *Pekania* (Table 1), but it clearly lacks the external median rootlet of the P4 in that taxon. Ages of both species, about 7.1–5.3 Ma for *M. ginsburgi* and 4.0–3.3 Ma for *M. wenzensis*, are consistent with molecular divergence estimates of the *Martes/Gulo* clade from other gulonines (*Koepfli et al., 2008*; *Sato et al., 2012*; *Li et al., 2014*); comparable in age to *G. sudorus* and slightly younger than the earliest records of *Pekania*.

There are a number of distinct evolutionary trends that can be recognized by examination of the teeth of gulonines. Compared to other extant gulonine mustelids, *Gulo* has strongly reduced anterior premolars (Table 1, Fig. 4). Both *Bryant* (1987) and *Jung et al.* (2016) have suggested there is a general evolutionary trend in loss/reduction/rotation of the anterior premolars and enlargement of the carnassials in *Gulo*, which is a consequence of the hypercarnivorous diet of wolverines. Since the canines and carnassials are the primary teeth used to kill and process prey, and reduction in snout length increases the bite force of those teeth, it is not surprising that the anterior premolars of *Gulo* are frequently missing or rotated (*Jung et al.*, 2016). The P2 of *G. sudorus* is larger than all previously described members of the genus (Table 1). In terms of relative size (P2L/P4L), *G. sudorus* has anterior premolars intermediate in size between the means of *G. gulo* and related taxa like *Pekania* and *Eira*. The premolar morphology of *G. sudorus* suggests that the evolutionary trend of premolar reduction in the genus *Gulo* had already begun in the Early Pliocene.

In addition to reduction of the premolars, *Gulo* has relatively smaller upper molars than other gulonine mustelids (P4L/M1L<sub>int</sub>) (Table 1, Fig. 4; *Tseng et al.*, 2009). The P4 length is well over twice as long as the maximum length of the M1 (inner lobe) in all *Gulo* studied; the only other taxa that approach similar proportions are some specimens of *Eira* and the tayra-like *Sminthosinis bowleri* from the Pliocene (early Blancan) of Idaho (*Bjork*, *1970*). Similarly, the ratio of P4 length to the transverse width of the M1 was greater than 1.5 in nearly all *Gulo* studied, whereas it was less than 1.25 in all other taxa. As in the premolars, the relative size of the M1 and m1 talonid in *Gulo* likely reflect adaptations for hypercarnivory; reduction of the post-carnassial dentition and shortening the rostrum increase mechanical advantage when biting with both the canines and carnassials.

#### Plesiogulo and convergent evolution of wolverine-like mustelids

Plesiogulo, known from many mid to late Miocene and Pliocene sites in North America, Eurasia, and Africa, has long been discussed as a potential relative of *Gulo (Zdansky, 1924; Viret, 1939; Webb, 1969; Kurtén, 1970; Hendey, 1978; Harrison, 1981; Xu & Wei, 1987; Alcalá, Montoya & Morales, 1994; Pasitschniak-Arts & Larivière, 1995; Montoya, Morales*  & Abella, 2011). Careful consideration of the morphology of extant gulonine mustelids (Fig. 4) and recently discovered fossil material suggests that similarity between *Gulo* and *Plesiogulo* is likely a result of convergence on a similar niche, rather than the result of a close relationship. *Eira*, *Pekania*, *Martes*, and *Gulo* all have a distinct P4 parastyle (though it is variably well-developed), but only a distinct anterior cingulum or occasionally a weak parastyle are seen in *Plesiogulo* (contrary to indication that the parastyle is absent; *Harrison*, *1981*). The inner lobe of the M1 is expanded posterolingually in *Plesiogulo* to form a broad talon, but not in *Gulo*. Similarly, the m1 talonid is proportionately much longer and wider in *Plesiogulo* than *Gulo*, and has a shallow basin *Stehlin*, *1931*; *Teilhard de Chardin & Leroy*, *1945*; *Kurtén*, *1970*). A number of evolutionary reversals (P4 parastyle reappearance, M1 reduction, M1 talon reduction, m1 talonid reduction) would be required for *Gulo* to have been derived from something like *Plesiogulo*, as has been previously noted (*Zdansky*, *1924*; *Webb*, *1969*; *Harrison*, *1981*; *Xu & Wei*, *1987*). On the other hand, few changes, other than increased size and robustness of the carnassial and premolars, are necessary for derivation of *Gulo* from something similar to early species of *Pekania* (*P. occulta*, *P. palaeosinensis*).

Rather than showing similarity to gulonine mustelids, *Plesiogulo* is most similar to the ischyrictine *Iberictis*, which is known from the early Miocene (MN4) of Spain and France (*Ginsburg & Morales, 1992*). Both *Plesiogulo* and *Iberictis* display robust anterior premolars with strong cingula, a P4 with a large protocone and relatively broad metastyle, large M1 with a posterolingually expanded inner lobe, and relatively broad and elongate m1 talonid. In contrast, *Gulo* and *Pekania* have a relatively narrow P4 metastyle and M1 with inner lobe only slightly longer than the outer lobe, and *Gulo* also has a distinctly reduced M1 and m1 talonid. In *Iberictis* and most species of *Plesiogulo*, the m1 has a distinct metaconid that is approximately equal in height to the paraconid, while the m1 of *Gulo* has no distinct metaconid. As in *Gulo*, the m1 metaconid is absent in *Plesiogulo praecocidens* from the late Miocene of Asia and variably absent in the Pliocene *P. monspessulanus* (*Viret, 1939; Kurtén, 1970; Bonifay, 1971; Hendey, 1978; Alcalá, Montoya & Morales, 1994; Koufos, 2000*). Additionally, *P. monspessulanus* has a single-rooted p2, while the p2 is double-rooted in *Gulo*, further suggesting the two are not closely related (*Hendey, 1978*).

Another feature distinguishing *Gulo* from *Plesiogulo* are the proportions of the teeth (Table 1, Fig. 4). *Plesiogulo* and *Gulo* both have robust premolars, wide relative to their length, but the anterior premolars are smaller in proportion to the carnassial (P2L/P4L) in all samples of *Gulo*. Similarly, the relative size of the M1 (P4L/M1L<sub>int</sub>) in all samples of *Gulo* is relatively smaller than those of described and examined specimens of *Plesiogulo*. Additionally, no reported specimens of *Plesiogulo* display the premolar rotation commonly seen in *Gulo* (*Jung et al., 2016*).

Many of the features that are similar between *Gulo* and *Plesiogulo* are ones that are functionally important and observed in other carnivorans of similar size and ecology. The extant honey badger *Mellivora*, extinct *Eomellivora* (Mellivorinae), and *Megalictis* (Oligobuninae) all display some strong similarity to *Gulo* and *Plesiogulo*, despite having distinct evolutionary histories (*Zdansky*, 1924; *Kurtén*, 1970; *Hendey*, 1978; *Harrison*, 1981; *Valenciano et al.*, 2016; *Valenciano et al.*, 2017). Superficial similarity of the teeth (robust posterior premolars and carnassials, trenchant talonids, m1 metaconid loss),

skull (short rostrum, broad and robust zygomatic arches, prominent sagittal crest), and jaw (deep mandibular corpus) are seen in each of these clades. Additionally, the enamel microstructures of the posterior premolars and molars of *Gulo*, *Plesiogulo*, *Mellivora*, and *Eomellivora* all display zigzag Hunter-Schreger Bands (*Stefen*, 2001; *Tseng*, *Wang & Stewart*, 2009). Independent evolution of similar craniodental morphology and enamel microstructures in these taxa is likely attributable to relatively large body size and similar lifestyles, specifically a hypercarnivorous or durophagous diet (*Van Valkenburgh*, 1989; *Stefen*, 1997; *Stefen*, 1999).

Further support for the hypothesized convergence of Gulo and Plesiogulo, rather than close relationship, comes from a variety of molecular studies. The earliest occurrences of Plesiogulo are in the middle Miocene (MN 6, about 15.2–12.5 Ma; Schmidt-Kittler, 1976). That age predates some molecular estimates for the divergence of the Guloninae from other mustelids, including the approximately 11.0 Ma estimate of Koepfli et al. (2008), but not the 12.65 Ma estimate of Sato et al. (2012). However, those early occurrences of Plesiogulo precede the molecular estimates of divergence of the Gulo-Martes clade from other gulonines by nearly five million years. In contrast, the ages of recently described fossil specimens of Pekania (Wang, Tseng & Takeuchi, 2012; Samuels & Cavin, 2013), and the new Gulo specimen described here, agree broadly with molecular divergence estimates of those extant genera (Koepfli et al., 2008; Hughes, 2012; Sato et al., 2012; Li et al., 2014; Malyarchuk, Derenko & Denisova, 2015). In addition, the ages of Gulo sudorus and G. minor are similar to the latest records of *Plesiogulo* in North America and Asia. Given the timing of these finds, it is possible that *Plesiogulo* was ecologically replaced by *Gulo* in the Pliocene. It is important to note that the hypothesized convergence described here is supported by morphological and geochronologic evidence, but is not based on analysis of taxa within a cladistic framework, and is thus speculative.

#### 'Cold-Adaptation' in Gulo

Presence of Gulo, which is typically considered an inhabitant of boreal habitats (Kvam, Overskaug & Sorensen, 1988; Pasitschniak-Arts & Larivière, 1995), at a site with many vertebrate taxa characteristic of warm and/or humid forested habitats (Alligator, Heloderma, Pristinailurus, and Arctomeles) and a subtropical forest flora is a unique combination among North American biotas. An interesting analog is known from the middle Pliocene age (MN16a) Udunga fauna of the Transbaikal area of Russia (Sotnikova & Kalmykov, 1991; Erbajeva, Alexeeva & Khenzykhenova, 2003; Sotnikova, 2006; Erbajeva & Alexeeva, 2013). The Udunga fauna also has forest-adapted taxa like Parailurus, Parameles, and Arctomeles occurring along with Gulo (Sotnikova, 2006; Ogino et al., 2008; Erbajeva & Alexeeva, 2013). Another site with Gulo outside its current range is the latest Blancan and Irvingtonian (early Pleistocene) age Vallecito Creek Local Fauna of extreme southern California (Cassiliano, 1999). Like Gray, the Vallecito Creek fauna includes Tapirus and the procyonid, Nasua, which has a current and prehistoric range restricted to the southwestern United States, through Mexico and Central America, and into portions of South America. Occurrences and associated faunas of Gray, Udunga, and Vallecito Creek suggest the boreal distribution of extant Gulo gulo may not represent the potential range of habitats occupied by wolverines, at least in the past. Adaptation to cold, boreal habitats within wolverines may have occurred later, in the late Pliocene or Pleistocene, as global climates became substantially colder and those habitats spread across northern Eurasia and North America.

#### Historical biogeography

These finds also have implications for understanding of historical biogeography and evolution of fishers and wolverines. Koepfli et al. (2008) and Zigouris et al. (2013) both estimate Eurasia as the ancestral area for the origin of these taxa, while Sato et al. (2012) restricted the area of origin to Asia. The oldest known probable gulonine is Ischyrictis zibethoides from the Early/Middle Miocene (MN 5-8) of Europe. Early records of Pekania are known from the late Miocene of North America (early Hemphillian) and Asia (Baodean) (Wang, Tseng & Takeuchi, 2012; Samuels & Cavin, 2013). Similarly, early records of Gulo are known from the earliest Pliocene (early Blancan) of North America and middle Pliocene (Yushean) of Asia (Sotnikova, 1982; Sotnikova, 1995). While there are many species of "Martes" described from the Early and Middle Miocene of Eurasia and North America, the most confidently placed early members of *Martes* are from the Pliocene (MN 15) of Europe (Stach, 1959; Anderson, 1994; Sato et al., 2003). Earliest members of the tayra clade appear later than the other clades, with *Eirictis pachygnatha* from the Early Pliocene (Yushean) of Asia (Teilhard de Chardin & Leroy, 1945; Qiu, Deng & Wang, 2004; Wang, Tseng & Takeuchi, 2012) and Sminthosinis bowleri from the middle Pliocene (Blancan) of North America.

Early members of multiple clades (fisher, wolverine-marten, and tayra) show similar distributions in the late Miocene and Pliocene, occurring in both Asia and North America. This suggests connection of these regions via the Bering Land Bridge at the time, which facilitated dispersal of carnivorans between Asia and North America (*Qiu*, 2003). Consequently, a hypothesis for intercontinental dispersals of the wolverine clade is: (1) origin of gulonines in Eurasia, (2) dispersal of *Pekania* from Asia to North America in the late Miocene, (3) divergence of *Gulo* from an ancestor similar to *P. occulta* in the earliest Pliocene of North America, (4) dispersal of *Gulo* to Asia in the Pliocene, following the extinction of *Plesiogulo*, and (5) dispersal of *Gulo* to Europe in the Pleistocene.

#### **CONCLUSIONS**

The new material of *Gulo* described here demonstrates the presence of wolverines in the Early Pliocene of North America, more than 1 million years earlier than other known records of the genus. Differences from the extant species and previously described extinct wolverines indicate the presence of a distinct new species, *G. sudorus*. Similarity of the new species to both early fishers (*Pekania*) and later *Gulo* species suggests that this may represent an intermediate form, which evolved in North America and later dispersed to Eurasia. Presence of *Gulo* in the Pliocene of Tennessee, at a site with a variety of floral and faunal elements indicative of warm/humid climates, suggests that the 'cold-adapted' nature of extant *G. gulo* may be a relatively recent phenomenon. Comparisons of *Gulo* and other members of the Guloninae to *Plesiogulo* suggest that the latter is not closely related to gulonines, but instead likely represents convergence on a similar niche to that occupied

by wolverines. The similar timing of the last records of *Plesiogulo* with the appearance of *Gulo* in North America and Asia suggest the former may have been ecologically replaced by the latter in the Early Pliocene.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The following curators and collection managers kindly allowed access to specimens in their care: A Nye and B Compton (ETMNH); K Molina (Donald R. Dickey Collection of the University of California, Los Angeles); C Conroy (University of California, Berkeley— Museum of Vertebrate Zoology); J Dines (Museum of Natural History, Los Angeles County); B Akersten and M Thompson (Idaho Museum of Natural History); and M Brett-Surman and L Gordon (National Museum of Natural History). Constructive reviews by Mieczyslaw Wolsan and an anonymous reviewer helped improve this manuscript greatly.

# **ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND DECLARATIONS**

#### Funding

Specimen collection at the Gray Fossil Site in Tennessee was partially funded through a National Science Foundation Grant (NSF Grant #0958985) to SC Wallace and BW Schubert. The remainder of the funding for the project was provided by internal funding from the Don Sundquist Center of Excellence in Paleontology at East Tennessee State University. There was no additional external funding received for this study. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

# **Grant Disclosures**

The following grant information was disclosed by the authors: National Science Foundation Grant: #0958985. Don Sundquist Center of Excellence in Paleontology at East Tennessee State University.

#### **Competing Interests**

The authors declare there are no competing interests.

# **Author Contributions**

- Joshua X. Samuels and Keila E. Bredehoeft conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, prepared figures and/or tables, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, approved the final draft.
- Steven C. Wallace conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, approved the final draft.

#### **Data Availability**

The following information was supplied regarding data availability: The raw data are included in the Tables S1 and S2.

#### **New Species Registration**

The following information was supplied regarding the registration of a newly described species:

Publication LSID:

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:29DF929D-D054-4912-A2B1-FFEEFD4BDE1B; *Gulo sudorus* sp. nov.:

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:C969FE74-0659-474D-8068-E82DCBF21271.

#### **Supplemental Information**

Supplemental information for this article can be found online at http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/ peerj.4648#supplemental-information.

# REFERENCES

- Alcalá L, Montoya P, Morales J. 1994. New large mustelids from the Late Miocene of Teruel Basin (Spain). *Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences de Paris* 319(série II):1093–1100.
- Anderson E. 1970. Quaternary evolution of the genus *Martes* (Carnivora, Mustelidae). *Acta Zoologica Fennica* 130:1–132.
- Anderson E. 1994. Evolution, prehistoric distribution and systematics of *Martes*. In: Buskirk SW, Harestad AS, Raphael MG, Powell RA, eds. *Martens, sables and fishers: biology and conservation*. Ithaca: Cornell University Press 13–25.
- Anderson E. 1998. Quaternary wolverines (*Gulo gulo*) from Idaho. In: Akersten WA, McDonald HG, Meldrum DJ, Flint MET, eds. *Papers on the vertebrate paleontology of Idaho honoring John H. White, volume 1*. Pocatello, Idaho: Idaho Museum of Natural History Occasional Paper 36, 173–185.
- **Baryshnikov GF. 2015.** Late Pleistocene Ursidae and Mustelidae remains (Mammalia, Carnivora) from Geographical Society Cave in the Russian Far East. *Proceedings of the Zoological Institute RAS* **319**(1):3–22.
- **Baskin JA. 1998.** Mustelidae. In: Janis CM, Scott KM, Jacobs LL, eds. *Evolution of Tertiary Mammals of North America, volume 1: terrestrial carnivores, ungulates, and ungulatelike mammals.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 152–173.
- Bell CJ, Lundeliu Jr EL, Graham RW, Barnosky AD, Lindsay EH, Ruez Jr DR, Semken Jr HA, Webb SD, Zakrzewski RJ, Woodburne MO. 2004. The Blancan, Irvingtonian, and Rancholabrean mammal ages. In: Woodburne MO, ed. *Late Cretaceous and Cenozoic mammals of North America; biostratigraphy and geochronology*. New York: Columbia University Press, 232–314.
- **Bjork PR. 1970.** The Carnivora of the Hagerman local fauna (late Pliocene) of southwestern Idaho. *Transactions of the American Philosophical Society* **60**(7):3–54.
- **Boardman GS, Schubert BW. 2011.** First Mio-Pliocene salamander fossil assemblage from the southern Appalachians. *Palaeontologia Electronica* **14**(**2**):Artcile 16A.
- **Bonifay MF. 1971.** Carnivores Quaternaires du Sud-Est de la France. *Mémoires du Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle* **21**:43–377.

- **Bourque JR, Schubert BW. 2015.** Fossil musk turtles (Kinosternidae, *Sternotherus*) from the late Miocene–early Pliocene (Hemphillian) of Tennessee and Florida. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* **35(1)**:e885441.
- **Bowdich TE. 1821.** *An analysis of the natural classifications of Mammalia for the use of students and travelers.* Paris: J Smith.
- **Brandon S. 2013.** Discovery of bald cypress fossil leaves at the Gray Fossil Site, Tennessee and their ecological significance. Undergraduate honors thesis, East Tennessee State University.
- Bryant HN. 1987. Wolverine from Pleistocene of the Yukon: evolutionary trends and taxonomy of *Gulo* (Carnivora: Mustelidae). *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences* 24:654–663 DOI 10.1139/e87-063.
- **Carrasco MA, Barnosky AD, Kraatz BP, Davis EB. 2007.** The Miocene mammal mapping project (MIOMAP): an online database of Arikareean through Hemphillian fossil mammals. *Bulletin of the Carnegie Museum of Natural History* **39**:183–188 DOI 10.2992/0145-9058(2007)39[183:TMMMPM]2.0.CO;2.
- **Cassiliano MJ. 1999.** Biostratigraphy of Blancan and Irvingtonian mammals in the Fish Creek-Vallecito section, southern California, and a review of the Blancan-Irvingtonian boundary. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* **19**:169–186 DOI 10.1080/02724634.1999.10011131.
- **Dalquest WW. 1978.** Early Blancan mammals of the Beck Ranch Local Fauna of Texas. *Journal of Mammalogy* **59**:269–298 DOI 10.2307/1379912.
- **Dehm R. 1950.** Die Raubtiere aus dem Mittel-Miozän (Burdigalium) von Wintershof-West bei Eichstätt in Bayern. *Abhandlungen der Bayerischen Akademie der Wissenschaften, Mathematisch-naturwissenschaftliche Klasse, Neue Folge* **58**:1–141.
- **DeSantis LR, Wallace SC. 2008.** Neogene forests from the Appalachians of Tennessee, USA: geochemical evidence from fossil mammal teeth. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* **266(1)**:59–68 DOI 10.1016/j.palaeo.2008.03.032.
- **Döppes D. 2001.** *Gulo gulo* (Mustelidae, Mammalia) im Jungpleistozän Mitteleuropas. *Beiträge zur Paläontologie* **26**:1–95.
- **Erbajeva M, Alexeeva N. 2013.** Late Cenozoic Mammal Faunas of the Baikalian Region: Composition, Biochronology, Dispersal, and Correlation with Central Asia. In: Wang X, Flynn LJ, eds. *Fossil Mammals of asia: neogene biostratigraphy and chronology.* New York: Columbia University Press, 495–507.
- **Erbajeva M, Alexeeva N, Khenzykhenova F. 2003.** Pliocene small mammals from the Udunga site of the Transbaikal area. *Coloquious de Paleontologia* 1:133–145.
- **Eshelman RE, Whitmore Jr FC. 2008.** Early Pliocene (Late Hemphillian) Land Mammals from the Lee Creek Mine, Aurora, North Carolina. Geology and Paleontology of the Lee Creek Mine, North Carolina, IV. *Virginia Museum of Natural History Special Publication* 14:17–38.
- Farlow JO, Sunderman JA, Havens JJ, Swinehart AL, Holman JA, Richards RL, Miller NG, Martin RA, Hunt Jr RM, Storrs GW, Curry BB. 2001. The Pipe Creek Sinkhole biota, a diverse late Tertiary continental fossil assemblage from Grant County, Indiana. *The American Midland Naturalist* 145(2):367–378 DOI 10.1674/0003-0031(2001)145[0367:TPCSBA]2.0.CO;2.

- **Fischer von Waldheim G. 1817.** Adversaria zoologica. *Mémoires de la Société Imperiale des Naturalistes de Moscou* **5**:357–472.
- Fortelius M. 2013. New and Old Worlds Database of Fossil Mammals (NOW). University of Helsinki. Available at http://www.helsinki.fi/science/now/ (accessed on 9 November 2017).
- Gidley JW, Gazin CL. 1933. New mammalia in the Pleistocene fauna from Cumberland Cave. *Journal of Mammalogy* 14(4):343–357 DOI 10.2307/1373954.
- Gidley JW, Gazin CL. 1938. The Pleistocene vertebrate fauna from Cumberland Cave, Maryland. *Unites States National Museum Bulletin* 171:1–99.
- **Ginsburg L. 1999.** Order carnivora. In: Rössner GE, Heissig K, eds. *Land mammals of Europe*. München: Pfeil, 109–148.
- **Ginsburg L, Morales J. 1992.** Contribution à la connaissance des Mustélidés (Carnivora, Mammalia) du Miocène d'Europe *Trochictis* et *Ischyrictis*, genres affines et genres nouveaux. *Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences de Paris* **315 (série II)**:111–116.
- **Graham RW, Lundeliu Jr EL. 2010.** FAUNMAP II: new data for North America with a temporal extension for the Blancan, Irvingtonian and early Rancholabrean. FAUNMAP II Database. version 1.0. *Available at http://www.ucmp.berkeley.edu/faunmap* (accessed on 9 November 2017).
- **Gray JE. 1825.** On the natural arrangement of vertebrose animals. *The London Medical Repository Monthly Journal and Review* **15**:296–310.
- **Gustafson EP. 2012.** New records of rhinoceroses from the Ringold Formation of central Washington and the Hemphillian-Blancan boundary. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* **32**:727–731 DOI 10.1080/02724634.2012.658481.
- Haile-Selassie Y, Hlusko LJ, Howell FC. 2004. A new species of *Plesiogulo* (Mustelidae: Carnivora) from the Late Miocene of Africa. *Palaeontologia Africana* 40:85–88.
- Hall ER. 1936. Mustelid mammals from the pleistocene of North America. *Carnegie Institution of Washington Publication* 473:41–119.
- Harrison JA. 1981. A Review of the extinct wolverine, *Plesiogulo* (Carnivora: Mustelidae), from North America. *Smithsonian Contributions to Paleobiology* **46**:1–27.
- Hendey QB. 1978. Late Tertiary Mustelidae (Mammalia, Carnivora) from Langebaanweg, South Africa. *Annals of the South African Museum* 76(10):329–357.
- Hughes SS. 2012. Synthesis of *Martes* evolutionary history. In: Aubry KB, Zielinski WJ, Raphael MG, Proulx G, Buskirk SW, eds. *Biology and conservation of martens, sables, and fishers*. Ithaca: Comstock Publishing Associates, Cornell University Press, 3–22.
- Janis CM, Manning E. 1998. Dromomerycidae. In: Janis CM, Scott KM, Jacobs LL, eds. *Evolution of tertiary mammals of North America*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 477–490.
- **Jasinski SE. 2013.** Fossil *Trachemys* (Testudines: Emydidae) from the Late Hemphillian of Eastern Tennessee and its implications for the evolution of the Emydidae. MS thesis, East Tennessee State University.
- Jung TS, Rivest G, Blakeburn DA, Hamm ER, Van Eyk A, Kukka PM, Robitaille J. 2016. Dental anomalies suggest an evolutionary trend in the dentition of wolverine (*Gulo gulo*). *Mammal Research* 61(4):361–366 DOI 10.1007/s13364-016-0284-y.

- Kalmykov NP. 2015. Mammals of the framing of Lake Baikal in the fossil record, carnivores (Carnivora, Mammalia). *The Baikal Zoological Journal* 16(1):58–70.
- Koepfli K-P, Deere KA, Slater GJ, Begg C, Begg K, Grassman L, Lucherini M, Veron G, Wayne RK. 2008. Multigene phylogeny of the Mustelidae: Resolving relationships, tempo and biogeographic history of a mammalian adaptive radiation. *BMC Biology* 6(10):1–22 DOI 10.1186/1741-7007-6-1.
- Kolfschoten TV. 2001. A fossil wolverine *Gulo schlosseri* (Carnivora, Mustelidae) from Nieuwegein, The Netherlands. *Lynx* (*Praha*) 32:183–191.
- **Kormos T. 1914.** Drei neue Raubtiere aus den Präglazial-Schichten des Somlyóhegy bei Püspökfürdö. *Annals of the Hungarian State Geological Institute* **22**:223–247.
- **Koufos GD. 2000.** Revision of the late Miocene carnivores from the Axios valley, Macedonia, Greece. *Münchner Geowissenschaftliche Abhandlungen* **39**(**A**):51–92.
- Krajcarz MT. 2012. Small fossil wolverine *Gulo* from Middle Pleistocene of Poland. *Acta Zoologica Cracovensis* 55(1):79–87.
- Kurtén B. 1970. The Neogene wolverine *Plesiogulo* and the origin of *Gulo* (Carnivora, Mammalia). *Acta Zoologica Fennica* 131:1–22.
- **Kurtén B. 1973.** Fossil glutton (*Gulo gulo* (L.)) from Tornewton Cave, South Devon. *Commentationes Biologicae* **66**:1–8.
- **Kurtén B, Anderson E. 1980.** *Pleistocene mammals of North America*. New York: Columbia University Press.
- Kvam R, Overskaug K, Sorensen OJ. 1988. The wolverine *Gulo gulo* in Norway. *Lutra* 31:7–20.
- Li B, Wolsan M, Wu D, Zhang W, Xu Y, Zeng Z. 2014. Mitochondrial genomes reveal the pattern and timing of marten (*Martes*), wolverine (*Gulo*), and fisher (*Pekania*) diversification. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 80:156–164 DOI 10.1016/j.ympev.2014.08.002.
- Lindsay EH. 2008. Cricetidae. In: Janis CM, Gunnell GF, Uhen MD, eds. *Evolution of tertiary mammals of North America vol. 2: small mammals, Xenarthrans, and Marine Mammals*. New York: Cambridge University Press, 456–479.
- Linnaeus C. 1758. Systema naturae per regna tria naturae, secundum classes, ordines, genera, species, cum characteribus, differentiis, synonymis, locis. Vol. 1: Regnum animale. Editio decima, 1758. Stockholm: Societatis Zoologicae Germanicae.
- Madden CT, Dalquest WW. 1990. The last rhinoceros in North America. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* 10:266–267 DOI 10.1080/02724634.1990.10011812.
- Malyarchuk BA, Derenko MV, Denisova GA. 2015. Mitochondrial genome variability in the wolverine (*Gulo gulo*). *Russian Journal of Genetics* 51(11):1113–1118 DOI 10.1134/S1022795415090069.
- Martin RA. 2000. The taxonomic status of *Peromyscus sawrockensis* Hibbard, 1964. *Paludicola* 2:269–272.
- Martin RA. 2010. The North American *Promimomys* immigration event. *Paludicola* 8(1):14–21.
- Martin RA, Goodwin HT, Farlow JO. 2002. Late Neogene (Late Hemphillian) rodents from the Pipe Creek Sinkhole, Grant County, Indiana. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleon-tology* 22(1):137–151 DOI 10.1671/0272-4634(2002)022[0137:LNLHRF]2.0.CO;2.

- Martin RA, Peláez-Campomanes P. 2014. Diversity dynamics of the late Cenozoic rodent community from southwestern Kansas: the influence of historical processes on community structure. *Journal of Quaternary Science* 29:221–231 DOI 10.1002/jqs.2695.
- Martin RA, Peláez-Campomanes P, Viriot L. 2017. First report of rodents from the late Hemphillian (late Miocene) Zwiebel Channel and a revised late Neogene biostratigraphy/biochronology of the Sand Draw area of Nebraska. *Historical Biology* 2017:1–10 DOI 10.1080/08912963.2017.1313251.
- Marx FG, Fordyce RE. 2015. Baleen boom and bust: a synthesis of mysticete phylogeny, diversity and disparity. *Royal Society Open Science* 2:Article 140434 DOI 10.1098/rsos.140434.
- Mead JI, Schubert BW, Wallace SC, Swift SL. 2012. Helodermatid lizard from the Mio-Pliocene oak-hickory forest of Tennessee, eastern USA, and a review of monstersaurian osteoderms. *Acta Palaeontologica Polonica* 57:111–121 DOI 10.4202/app.2010.0083.
- Montoya P, Morales J, Abella J. 2011. Musteloidea (Carnivora, Mammalia) del Mioceno Superior de Venta del Moro (Valencia, España). *Estudios Geológicos* 67(2):193–206 DOI 10.3989/egeol.40576.183.
- Nadachowski A, Stefaniak K, Szynkiewicz A, Marciszak A, Socha P, Schick P, August C. 2011. Biostratigraphic importance of the Early Pleistocene fauna from Zabia Cave (Poland) in Central Europe. *Quaternary International* 243:204–218 DOI 10.1016/j.quaint.2011.04.037.
- Ochoa D, Whitelaw M, Liu YS, Zavada M. 2012. Palynology from Neogene sediments at the Gray Fossil Site, Tennessee, USA: floristic implications. *Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology* 184:36–48 DOI 10.1016/j.revpalbo.2012.03.006.
- Ochoa D, Zavada MS, Liu Y, Farlow JO. 2016. Floristic implications of two contemporaneous inland upper Neogene sites in the eastern US: Pipe Creek Sinkhole, Indiana, and the Gray Fossil Site, Tennessee (USA). *Palaeobiodiversity and Palaeoenvironments* **96(2)**:239–254 DOI 10.1007/s12549-016-0233-4.
- **Ogino S, Nakaya H, Takai M, Fukuchi A. 2008.** Notes on carnivore fossils from the Pliocene Udunga fauna, Transbaikal area, Russia. *Asian Paleoprimatology* **5**:45–60.
- **Pallas PS. 1780.** Spicilegia zoologica, quibus novae imprimus et obscurae animalium species iconibus, descriptionibus atque commentariis illustrantur cura P.S. Pallas. New York: Gottl August Langed.
- **Parmalee PW, Klippel WE, Meylan PA, Holman JA. 2002.** A late Miocene-early Pliocene population of *Trachemys* (Testundines: Emydidae) from east Tennessee. *Annals Carnegie Museum* **71**:233–239.
- Pasitschniak-Arts M, Larivière S. 1995. Gulo gulo. Mammalian Species 499:1-10.
- Peigné S. 2012. Les Carnivora de Sansan. In: Peigné S, Sen S, eds. Mammifères de Sansan. Paris: Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, 559–660(Mémoires du Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, 203).
- **Prothero DR. 2005.** *The evolution of North American rhinoceroses.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

- Prothero DR, Manning EM. 1987. Miocene rhinoceroses from the Texas Gulf Coastal Plain. *Journal of Paleontology* 61:388–423 DOI 10.1017/S0022336000028559.
- **Qiu Z, Deng T, Wang B. 2004.** Early Pleistocene mammalian fauna from Longdan, Dongxiang, Gansu, China. *Palaeontologia Sinica, New Series C* **27**:1–198.
- Qiu Z-X. 2003. Dispersals of Neogene carnivorans between Asia and North America. Vertebrate fossils and their context: contributions in honor of Richard H. Tedford. *Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History* 279:18–31 DOI 10.1206/0003-0090(2003)279<0018:C>2.0.CO;2.
- Samuels JX, Cavin J. 2013. The earliest known fisher (Mustelidae), a new species from the Rattlesnake Formation of Oregon. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* 33(2):448–454 DOI 10.1080/02724634.2013.722155.
- Sato JJ, Hosoda T, Wolsan M, Tsuchiya K, Yamamoto M, Suzuki H. 2003. Phylogenetic relationships and divergence times among mustelids (Mammalia: Carnivora) based on nucleotide sequences of the nuclear interphotoreceptor retinoid binding protein and mitochondrial cytochrome *b* genes. *Zoological Science* 20:243–264 DOI 10.2108/zsj.20.243.
- Sato JJ, Wolsan M, Prevosti FJ, D'Elía G, Begg C, Begg K, Hosoda T, Campbell KL, Suzuki H. 2012. Evolutionary and biogeographic history of weasel-like carnivorans (Musteloidea). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 63:745–757 DOI 10.1016/j.ympev.2012.02.025.
- Schlosser M. 1924. Tertiary vertebrates from Mongolia. *Palaeontologia Sinica, Series C* 1:1–132.
- Schmidt-Kittler N. 1976. Raubtiere aus dem Jungtertïar Kleinasiens. *Palaeontographica* 155:1–131.
- Shunk AJ, Driese SG, Clark GM. 2006. Latest Miocene to earliest Pliocene sedimentation and climate record derived from paleosinkhole fill deposits, Gray Fossil Site, northeastern Tennessee, USA. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 231:265–278 DOI 10.1016/j.palaeo.2005.08.001.
- **Shunk AJ, Driese SG, Dunbar JA. 2009.** Late Tertiary paleoclimatic interpretation from lacustrine rhythmites in the Gray Fossil Site, northeastern Tennessee, USA. *Journal of Paleolimnology* **42**:11–24 DOI 10.1007/s10933-008-9244-0.
- Sotnikova MV. 1982. On the history of the genus *Gulo* in Eurasia. *Trudy Zoologicheskogo Instituta Akademii Nauk SSSR* 111:65–73.
- **Sotnikova MV. 1995.** Extinct wolverine from the former USSR: review of the genus *Plesiogulo* (Carnivora: Mustelidae). *Lutreola* **6**:1–8.
- **Sotnikova MV. 2006.** Pliocene—Early Pleistocene Carnivora assemblages of Transbaikalian Area, Russia. In: *Stratigraphy, paleontology and paleoenvironment of pliocene—pleistocene of transbaikalia and interregional correlations.* Ulan: Ude, 84–85.
- **Sotnikova MV, Kalmykov NP. 1991.** Pliocene Carnivora from Udunga locality (Transbaikalia, USSR). In: Vangengeim EA, ed. *Pliocene and anthropogene paleogeography and biostratigraphy*. Moscow: Geological Institute, 146–160.
- **Stach J. 1959.** On some Mustelinae from the Pliocene bone breccia of Węże. *Acta Palaeontologica Polonica* **IV(2)**:101–120.

- Stefen C. 1997. Differentiations in Hunter-Schreger bands of Carnivores. In: Von Koenigswald W, Sander PM, eds. *Tooth enamel microstructure*. Rotterdam: AA Balkema, 123–136.
- Stefen C. 1999. Enamel structure of Recent and fossil Canidae (Carnivora, Mammalia). *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* 19:576–588 DOI 10.1080/02724634.1999.10011166.
- Stefen C. 2001. Enamel structure of arctoid Carnivora: amphicyonidae, ursidae, procyonidae, and mustelidae. *Journal of Mammalogy* 82:450–462 DOI 10.1644/1545-1542(2001)082<0450:ESOACA>2.0.CO;2.
- **Stehlin HG. 1931.** Paléontologie des couches paléolithiques. In Dubois A & Stehlin HG. *La grotte de Cotencher, Station Mousterienne, Premiere partie, Mémoires de la Société Paléontologique Suisse* **52**:33–178.
- Teilhard de Chardin P, Leroy P. 1945. Les Mustélidés de Chine. *Publications de l'Institut de Géobiologie* 12:1–56.
- Tseng ZJ, O'Connor JK, Wang X, Prothero DR. 2009. The first old world occurrence of the North American mustelid *Sthenictis* (Mammalia, Carnivora). *Geodiversitas* 31(4):743–751 DOI 10.5252/g2009n4a743.
- Tseng ZJ, Wang X, Stewart JD. 2009. A new immigrant mustelid (Carnivora, Mammalia) from the middle Miocene Temblor Formation of central California. *PaleoBios* 29(1):13–23.
- Valenciano A, Abella J, Göhlich UB, Álvarez-Sierra MÁ, Morales J. 2017. Re-evaluation of the very large *Eomellivora fricki* (Pia, 1939)(Carnivora, Mustelidae, Mellivorinae) from the Late Miocene of Austria. *Palaeontologia Electronica* 20(1):1–22.
- Valenciano A, Baskin JA, Abella J, Pérez-Ramos A, Álvarez-Sierra MÁ, Morales J, Hartstone-Rose A. 2016. *Megalictis*, the bone-crushing giant mustelid (Carnivora, Mustelidae, Oligobuninae) from the Early Miocene of North America. *PLOS ONE* 11(4):e0152430 DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0152430.
- Van Valkenburgh B. 1989. Carnivore dental adaptations and diet: study of trophic diversity within guilds. In: Gittleman JL, ed. *Carnivore behavior, ecology, and evolution*. New York: Cornell University Press, 410–436.
- **Viret J. 1939.** Monographie Paléontologique de la Faune de Vertebrés des Sables de Montpellier. III. Carnivora Fissipedia. *Travaux du Laboratoire de Géologie de la Faculte des Sciences de Lyon* **37**:7–26.
- **Voorhies MR. 1990.** Vertebrate biostratigraphy of the Ogallala Group in Nebraska. In: Gustavson TC, ed. *Geologic framework and regional geology: upper cenozoic blackwater draw and Ogallala formations, great plains.* Austin: University of Texas at Austin, Bureau of Economic Geology, 115–151.
- Wallace SC, Wang X. 2004. Two new carnivores from an unusual late Tertiary forest biota in eastern North America. *Nature* 431:556–559 DOI 10.1038/nature02819.
- Wang X, Tseng ZJ, Takeuchi GT. 2012. Zoogeography, Molecular Divergence, and the Fossil Record—the Case of an Extinct Fisher, *Pekania palaeosinensis* (Mustelidae, Mammalia), from the Late Miocene Baogeda Ula Formation, Inner Mongolia. *Vertebrata PalAsiatica* 50(003):293–307.

- Webb SD. 1969. The burge and minnechaduza clarendonian mammalian fauns of North-Central Nebraska. University of California Publications in Geological Sciences 78:1–191.
- **Webb SD, Hulbert Jr RC, Morgan GS, Evans HF. 2008.** Terrestrial mammals of the Palmetto Fauna (early Pliocene, latest Hemphillian) from the central Florida phosphate district. *Natural History Museum Los Angeles County Science Series* **41**:293–312.
- Wolsan M. 1988. Morphological variations of the first upper molar in the genus Martes (Carnivora, Mustelidae). Mémoires du Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Série C 53:241–254.
- Wolsan M. 1989a. Drapieżne–Carnivora. In: Kowalski K, ed. *Historia I ewolucja la dowej fauny Polski, Folia Quatern*. Vol. 59–60. Wrocław: Zakład Narodowy im. Ossolińskich, 177–196.
- Wolsan M. 1989b. Dental polymorphism in the genus *Martes* (Carnivora: Mustelidae) and its evolutionary significance. *Acta Theriologica* 34(40):545–593 DOI 10.4098/AT.arch.89-55.
- Wolsan M. 1993. Phylogeny and classification of early European Mustelida (Mammalia: Carnivora). *Acta Theriologica* **38**(**4**):345–384 DOI 10.4098/AT.arch.93-29.
- **Wolsan M, Ruprecht AL, Buchalczyk T. 1985.** Variation and asymmetry in the dentition of the pine and stone martens (*Martes martes* and *M. foina*) from Poland. *Acta Theriologica* **30(3)**:79–114 DOI 10.4098/AT.arch.85-3.
- Wolsan M, Sato JJ. 2010. Effects of data incompleteness on the relative performance of parsimony and Bayesian approaches in a supermatrix phylogenetic reconstruction of Mustelidae and Procyonidae (Carnivora). *Cladistics* 26:168–94 DOI 10.1111/j.1096-0031.2009.00281.x.
- Worobiec E, Liu Y, Zavada MS. 2013. Palaeoenvironment of late Neogene lacustrine sediments at the Gray Fossil Site, Tennessee, USA. *Annales Societatis Geologorum Poloniae* 83:51–63.
- Xu X, Wei H. 1987. Fossil Gulo in China. Vertebrata PalAsiatica 25(4):306–315.
- Zdansky O. 1924. Jungtertiäre Carnivoren Chinas. *Palaeontologia Sinica, Series C* 2(1):1–149.
- Zhu S, Gao Y, Liu H, Zhang S, Bai X, Zhang M. 2016. Phylogenetic relationship of wolverine *Gulo gulo* in Mustelidae revealed by complete mitochondrial genome. *Mitochondrial DNA Part A* 27(4):2937–2938 DOI 10.3109/19401736.2015.1060449.
- **Zigouris J, Schaefer JA, Fortin C, Kyle CJ. 2013.** Phylogeography and post-glacial recolonization in wolverines (*Gulo gulo*) from across their circumpolar distribution. *PLOS ONE* **8**:e83837 DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0083837.
- Zobaa MK, Zavada MS, Whitelaw M, Shunk AJ, Oboh-Ikuenobe FE. 2011. Palynology and palynofacies analyses of the Gray Fossil Site, eastern Tennessee: their role in understanding the basin-fill history. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 308(3–4):433–444 DOI 10.1016/j.palaeo.2011.05.051.